# Programme Working Group Telephone Conference

09 October 2013

#### Attendees

Ondrej Filip, .cz Hiro Hotta, .jp Eberhard Lisse, .na (Observer) Alejandra Reynoso, .gt Katrina Sataki, .lv (Chair) Jonathan Shea, .hk/APTLD Barrack Otieno, AfTLD Peter Van Roste CENTR

#### Staff

Gabriella Schittek

## Apologies

Byron Holland, .ca

## 1) Short Update

• The Chair ran through the Action items from the previous call:

ACTION 1: The Programme Working Group members to consider alternative ways of surveying meeting participants: No input was received from the Working Group members. The Chair summarised the background to the action by noting that there is some concern that the current survey is too detailed and that people do not really consider what they pick when ranking the sessions – which makes the feedback less helpful. A new kind of survey is therefore to be drafted, with questions focusing on what participants wish to change or add to future meetings.

**ACTION 8:** *Gabriella Schittek* to draft a first alternative survey during the week 14 – 18 October for the Working Group to review.

ACTION 2: The Programme Working Group Chair to contact Keith Davidson, the ccNSO/GAC Working Group Chair, asking to try to revive The ccNSO/GAC Working Group: The Chair was in contact with Keith Davidson, however, he explained that due to the lack of participation of GAC members, the Programme Working Group can not rely on the GAC Working Group to revive.

The topic could possibly be revisited by the ccNSO Council.

ACTION 3: Gabriella Schittek to contact Keith Davidson and ask him to give a comprehensive overview, including the basics when presenting the Framework of Interpretation work results: This action has been completed, with Keith Davidson taking note of the request.

ACTION 4: Gabriella Schittek to ask the Regional Organisation Managers from the African, Asian and Latin American region if they can think of similar presentations which would fit into a session: This action was not completed, as it turned out quite early that the session concerned (Collaboration with Governments on Botnet attacks) will rather turn into a single presentation during the ccTLD News Session.

ACTION 5: The Working Group members to communicate topics they think could fit into the Buenos Aires agenda: Except some feedback from Carolina Aguerre, no further feedback was received.

ACTION 6: The Programme Working Group Chair and Gabriella Schittek to revise the current agenda, based on feedback received: This action was completed.

ACTION 7: Gabriella Schittek to send out a doodle poll for a telephone conference to be held at the end of September: This action was completed.

#### Current Agenda – brainstorming/discussions

 The Chair informed the group that the ccNSO Chair Byron Holland agreed to open the ccNSO Meeting, by giving a short presentation on the most interesting sessions that will occur during the ccNSO meeting. This presentation is to be prepared by the Working Group Chair.

**ACTION 9:** *The Working Group Chair* to prepare opening slides for the ccNSO Chair on meeting highlights.

 The group was informed that it is not yet definite whether there will be a joint session with the GAC, as the GAC has indicated they may not want to meet with the SO/ACs at every meeting. It is furthermore not sure that they will accept to meet on the current Tuesday slot. Feedback was also received that the GAC was unhappy with the current setup of the SO/AC sessions, as they had an impression they were "spoken at" and that there was only little, or no interaction between the groups.

As the Programme Working Group has noted that the sessions are unsatisfactory to the ccNSO as well, it was decided to look into the issue more thoroughly after the Buenos Aires meeting.

It was also suggested that those who have a good relationship with various GAC members could approach them and ask them for more input on the matter.

**ACTION 10:** *The Programme Working Group members* to approach individual GAC members, in case there is a good relationship, and ask for input on the joint ccNSO/GAC meeting.

• The Chair noted that Alejandra Reynoso volunteered to run a Google Calendar, listing all ccNSO sessions during the ICANN meetings. She asked for Working Group members to help Alejandra in reviewing the calendar.

**ACTION 10:** *Peter Van Roste* to help reviewing the google calendar for ccNSO Sessions.

The Chair noted furthermore that a suggestion was received to incorporate the google calendar into MyICANN, once it's functional.

 A discussion was held on how to improve the quality of presentations. It was debated whether "bad" presenters could be refused a speaking slot and whether presentations could be previewed, in order to get a sense of the quality.

It was suggested that Working Group members should carefully monitor the various presentations and write notes on what they found problematic, if applicable. These notes would then be reviewed and analysed by the group.

In case there is a good personal relationship established between the reviewer and speaker, the reviewer could then approach the speaker with suggestions for improvements. The Regional Organisation managers could also get involved to speak to the members from their region, if suitable.

As no consensus could be found on this matter, it was suggested to keep up the discussion on the topic on the mailing list.

**ACTION 11:** *The Programme Working Group members* to think of means of improving the quality of speakers and sharing their thoughts on the mailing list.

- It was discussed whether there would be interest in a presentation on ISO certification during the ccNSO members meeting. It was suggested that this could be a topic for the Tech Day instead.
- A wish was expressed to receive an update on New gTLD developments, perhaps during the joint session with the GNSO, alternatively by appropriate ICANN staff.

**ACTION 12:** *Gabriella Schittek* to look into the possibilities of incorporating such a session into the joint GNSO meeting and inviting relevant ICANN staff for an update.

• It was suggested that the Panel Discussion should deal with Internet Governance. Although the issue has been discussed several times, it was argued that there are currently dramatic changes going on in this area, which will be of importance for every registry.

It was felt that relevant players, such as IANA and the IP community, could be invited to participate in the panel. It was also suggested to invite Chris Disspain as a participant. **ACTION 13:** *The Programme Working Group Chair* to ask if Chris Disspain is available to participate in the Internet Governance Panel Discussion.

## AOB

• The Chair and the ccNSO Secretariat will work on further developing the agenda over the coming days. The Chair will keep the Working Group Members informed on the progress of the agenda on the email list. The ccNSO Secretariat will send out a call for speakers to the ccTLD News session.

**ACTION 14:** *Gabriella Schittek* to post a call for speakers to the ccTLD News session.

## Next Call

• There might be one more call needed prior to the Buenos Aires meeting to agree on final adjustments to the agenda. The details of such a call will be established on the email list.