**Decision making ccNSO on CCWG proposal**

**The decision and scope of decision**
By 21 December 2015 the ccNSO is expected to decide on the 3rd Proposal of the CCWG, i.e. to decide whether it can support the recommendations contained in the proposal or not.

In anticipation of this decision the ccNSO Council has discussed the parameters around the decision making process during prior Council meetings and at the Council workshop in Dublin and follows the process with respect to the CWG Stewardship Proposal.

Using this methodology, the Council should assess whether:

- The ccNSO appointed members on the CCWG and ccTLD participants support the proposal (by consensus, noting that unanimity is NOT required\(^1\))
- The ccTLD community has reached a consensus support for the recommendations contained in the CCWG Report.

If the Council is of the view that these conditions are met, the Council supports submission of the Final Report and the recommendations contained in it to the ICANN Board of Directors. In assessing whether there is (rough) ccTLD community consensus support, the Council will take into consideration the level of outreach to the community and results of the discussions to date.

As became clear during the discussions at the Dublin meeting, moving this decision-making process forward is a necessary step with respect the IANA Stewardship transition, and timeliness of that decision is critical to stay within the anticipated window of opportunity for the Transition.

**CCWG SO/AC Timeline**
According to latest information (see timeline CCWG), the CCWG will submit its recommendations and summary report by 15 November 2015. The full report is expected to be submitted by 30 November to the chartering organisations. The chartering organisations are expected to provide feed-back (meaning: **decision to approve or need for additional work**) by 21 December 2015.

In addition to seeking support from the chartering organisations, the CCWG will also seek public comment from the community on its 3rd Proposal. Stakeholders organised through a chartering organisation will most likely be explicitly requested/urged to submit their views through their chartering organisation.

---

**Dublin meeting**
During the Dublin meeting in October 2015, the ccTLD community present extensively discussed the direction of travel of the CCWG, following the public comments and deliberations of the CCWG in Dublin.

The purpose of these sessions was three-fold:

1. Inform and discuss the recommendations to date of the CCWG with the ccTLD community present (both in person and on-line)
2. Seek “temperature of level of support” for the direction of travel for those recommendations
3. Establish baseline for comparison between what was presented under 1 and 2, and final set of recommendations.

The results of the Dublin sessions have been summarized and published².

**ccNSO Decision schedule and ccNSO Decision**
Based on the CCWG Timeline, and taking into account the ccNSO Council discussions to date a schedule for decision making has been prepared, leading to a decision by the ccNSO Council at a special additional Council meeting scheduled for 23 December 2015, noon UTC. Taking into account the rules of the ccNSO³, a decision of the Council becomes effective seven (7) days after publication.

The combined timeline of CCWG and ccNSO Council is included in Annex A.

Annex A: Timeline Council decision making

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Action/Decision</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>November 15</td>
<td>Summary report with recommendations and summary of changes distributed to SO/AC</td>
<td>CCWG -&gt; Byron, worldwide list, include link</td>
<td>Secretariat to distribute to ccTLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 15</td>
<td>Beginning of Public Comment period</td>
<td>CCWG, announcement</td>
<td>Secretariat to inform community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 19</td>
<td>ccNSO Council Call</td>
<td>GAP analysis discussion Dublin and results ccTLD discussion</td>
<td>Q &amp; A with co-chair &amp; WS leads CCWG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 30</td>
<td>Detailed Report CCWG</td>
<td>Share detailed report with Council</td>
<td>Secretariat to inform community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 30</td>
<td>Detailed Report CCWG published for Public comment</td>
<td></td>
<td>Secretariat to inform community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 10</td>
<td>Scheduled Council meeting</td>
<td>Additional Discussion, taking into account comment made to date</td>
<td>Discuss draft resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 21</td>
<td>Closure Public comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 23</td>
<td>Special Council meeting</td>
<td>Formal Decision ccNSO Council</td>
<td>At closure inform CCWG and publish decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 24</td>
<td>Inform co-chairs of CCWG and chairs other SO/AC on decision</td>
<td>Chair of the ccNSO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 30</td>
<td>Council Decision is effective, unless 16 ccNSO Members object.</td>
<td>Secretariat to inform chair and co-chairs CCWG/</td>
<td>If 16 or more members object, members vote required.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legenda:
- Council meeting
- CCWG to Council related
- CCWG Public comment related