

Comments to Singapore Survey Feedback from the ccNSO Meetings Programme Working Group

Question/request: *"It would be good to have pre-assigned questions from participants in the audience who, with the moderator, develop 1-2 questions to spark more debate and to keep the motivation of the presenters who might feel discouraged by the lack of participation from the audience."*

REPLY: Thank you – this is a good suggestion! We already use this approach in some cases for major presentations/topics discussed but will try to do it more often. However, for smaller presentations where it is hard to know what to expect, it might be hard to prepare any meaningful questions.

Question/request: *"Cut short by one day."*

REPLY: Given the amount of requests we receive from the community on topics the ccNSO should cover, we strongly believe this is quite an isolated opinion. Should we, however, sense that there is a broader support for this suggestion, we will launch a survey on this issue and - depending on the outcome - look more carefully into the options.

Question/request: *"More Internet Governance related sessions"*

"/... / more sessions on the IANA stewardship transition and the accountability process; more on Internet governance landscape and ccTLDs."

"More /... / discussion on transition and accountability"

"Internet Governance"

"The final consensus on IANA transition / ICANN accountability please be more practical"

"More on IANA Stewardship and Accountability"

"Accountability, transition /... /"

REPLY: We understand the urgent need to properly cover these very important topics. Therefore, over five hours of the Buenos Aires agenda have been assigned to IANA Stewardship Transition and ICANN Accountability issues. Please participate in person or remotely.

Question/request: *“Ways to grow Registry market beyond TLDs Tools to better know the TLD market”*

“An excellent marketing speech re new gTLDs, so we can learn what comes next; can you pls invite leader of dot berlin project.”

REPLY: We did make a call for presenters for a session, which would have covered these issues (*“the ccTLD Market Landscape: trends, changes, challenges”*) – unfortunately due to lack of contributions, we had to cancel that session. Nevertheless, we hope to be able to revisit the topic in future meetings.

Question/request: *“Updating on relevant court cases.”*

REPLY: We also envisaged a legal session, but didn’t receive enough volunteers to fill it. However – we will make sure to schedule legal sessions for future meetings.

Question/request: *“/.../ country code registry updates are welcome”*

REPLY: We are holding on to our popular “ccTLD News Session” to ensure that.

Question/request: *“More LAC presentations /.../”*

REPLY: How many presentations from a region we have depend on how many people from that region volunteer to give a presentation. We are often reaching out directly to people from various regions to ensure a diverse, international agenda – however, if no one from a specific region agrees to present, there is not much we can do about it.

We are also increasing our efforts towards presenters from the region where the meeting is held – and we are happy to announce that LACTLD, .ar and .py will present during the ccTLD News session in Buenos Aires.

Question/request: *“Panels /.../”*

“More panel discussions”

REPLY: This is a reoccurring feedback that we often receive to these surveys.

We have noted that the Community likes the format of Panel Discussions and therefore we offer this setup whenever possible. However, the topic is not always suitable to be discussed in that format (for instance the ccTLD News session), nor do we always find volunteers for the panel.

Again, the possibility of arranging panels depends a lot on the community’s willingness to participate.

Question/request: *DRP Examples*

REPLY: We were unable to unanimously decipher the meaning of “DRP”. Disaster Recovery Plan? Dispute Resolution Process? Distribution Resource Planning? Clarification is needed, please!

Question/request: *“...FOI in conjunction with GAC principles.”*

REPLY: Due to the limited time available, this topic has not a separated slot at the Buenos Aires agenda. It will most likely be raised during the joint meeting with the GAC, though.

Question/request: *“Meaningful meetings.”*

REPLY: Only the Community can make the meetings meaningful. We are counting on your meaningful input to be able to do so.