

ICANN – CCNSO – FOIWG

Meeting Notes (draft) for 20 February 2014 – 11:00 - UTC

**1. Present / apologies**

**ccNSO:**

Martin Boyle, .uk  
Keith Davidson, .nz (Chair)  
Chris Disspain, .au  
Dejan Djukic, .rs  
Daniel Kalchev, .bg  
Eberhard Lisse, .na  
Paulos Nyirenda, .mw  
Patricio Poblete, .cl  
Nigel Roberts, .gg

**Other Liaisons:**

Cheryl Langdon Orr, ALAC

**Staff Support and Special Advisors:**

Jaap Akkerhuis, ICANN / ISO  
Bart Boswinkel, ICANN  
Kristina Nordström, ICANN  
Bernard Turcotte, ICANN

**Apologies:**

Kim Davies IANA  
Maureen Hilyard, ALAC  
Stephen Deerhake, .as

## **2. Confirmation of Agenda- Approved**

### **3 Meeting Notes**

3.1 6 February 2014 Meeting – Approved

## **4. Review of responses to public consultation on Revocation**

### 4.1 - ALAC

4.1.1 General agreement with annotated comments from BT

4.1.2 CLO noted that the ALAC understood some of the comments and suggestions that it made were out of scope for the FOIWG but the ALAC felt it was important to include these given they were important issues for ALAC members and could serve for implementation.

4.1.3 It was noted that the question regarding how the GAC Principles were being integrated in the FOIWG would require follow-up.

### 4.2 - Andrew Eggleton, University of New Hampshire School of Law

4.2.1 General agreement with annotated comments from BT

## **5. “Terminology”**

5.1 Title page - NR objected to the use of the word Official and we need to add a To.BT agreed.

5.2 Consent (section 4.1 Terminology) – NR do not need to use the word Definition. BT agreed.

5.3 Delegation (section 4.2 Terminology) – NR strict points regarding proper English writing will be discussed with BBurr. Objection to the use of the word Process.BT Note – definition is FOIWG agreed text that has been published in Revocation.

5.4 Manager (section 4.3 Terminology) BT Note – this definition has not been agreed or published.

5.4.1 NR suggests changing ‘as the term is used in RFC1591’ to ‘as the term trustee is used in RFC1591’. BT no objection.

5.4.2 NR suggests changing ‘operates the domain name system in the country’ to ‘for the country’. BT objects given this is a quote from RFC1591 – will be reviewed with BBurr.

5.4.3 PN notes that there is no IANA database of ccTLDs but rather a database of TLDs. BT agrees.

5.4.4 MB concern – Does the list of requirement imply that if an entity does not meet one of these they cannot be considered a ‘manager. NR notes that this is a definition and not policy, but generally agrees with MB. MB concern vs. Managers that contract the operation of their ccTLD to a third party. BT requires discussion with BBurr.

5.4.5 PP – Concern regarding the use of the word ‘supervise’. MB suggests simplifying clause to ‘have the responsibility for the domain name system for the country and are listed in the IANA database of TLDs’.

5.5 Revocation (section 4.4 of Terminology) – NR objects to the use of the word Process similarly to its use in Delegation. BT Note – definition is FOIWG agreed text that has been published in Revocation.

5.6 SIP (section 4.5 of Terminology) – no comments.

5.7 Stakeholders (section 4.6 of Terminology) BT Note – this definition has not been agreed or published.

5.7.1 NR – Current text is not a definition, suggest using something along the lines of ‘A stakeholder is someone who has a direct or indirect interest in the operation of the ccTLD, it includes significantly interested parties, and other parties referenced in RFC159’. BT no objection but will need to verify with BBurr.

5.7.2 NR – formatting request. BT final report format will be different.

5.8 Transfer (section 4.7 of terminology) – NR issue around RFC1591 being distracting. BT Note – definition is FOIWG agreed text that has been published in Consent.

## **6. Other business – none.**

## **7. Future meetings schedule:**

- Mar 6 19:00 UTC
- Mar 27 F2F at ICANN Singapore