

Contact Repository Implementation Working Group 18 February 2014

Attendees:

Luis Diego Ezpinosa, expert
Cristian Hesselman, .nl
Antoinette Johnson, .vi
Hitoshi Saito, .jp

ICANN Staff:

Bart Boswinkel
Kristina Nordstrom
Gabriella Schitteck

Apologies:

Isak Jacobsen, .fo

- Cristian Hesselman talked about his recollection of the CRI survey results. He reminded the group about the summary he had sent by email. He mentioned that a lot more respondents had started filling in the survey than who had actually finished it. He noted that a small majority would be willing to pay for a contact repository survey and suggested that the group should come up with alternative funding models as well.
- Antoinette Johnson noted that the survey results show that the Working Group is on the right path, however she raised a concern about the low number of respondents. She also suggested a follow-up survey to address the issues that came out of the open questions.
- Gabriella Schitteck confirmed that the number of respondent were actually quite high in comparison to the general number of survey respondents.
- Luis Diego Espinoza noted that the willingness to pay for a repository service was not clearly connected to the size of the registry. He further noted that the repository would need to include a large number of ccTLDs, or it would lose it's purpose.
- Antoinette suggested the possibility of a reduced introductory rate for the repository.
Cristian agreed that the service could start out very small to a low cost, for example as an email list, and be developed depending on how well it would work.
- The group discussed how to present the results to the ccTLD community and how to explain the added value of the repository.
- Luis noted that it would have to be specified what sort of channels of

communication should be in the repository (fax, telephone, email etc) and pointed out that a low cost option would probably focus on an email list and exclude the other channels as they are more costly.

- It was decided that Cristian would give a presentation to the community about the survey results and the possible ways forward in Singapore. It was further decided that Cristian would provide a draft of the presentation before the next meeting.
- It was noted that the next meeting of the CRI Working Group would take place on the 25th of February.

The meeting closed.