

Programme Working Group Telephone Conference

10 February 2014

Attendees:

Carolina Aguerre, LACTLD
Don Hollander, APTLD
Eberhard Lisse, .na (Observer)
Allan MacGillivray, .ca
Alejandra Reynoso, .gt
Katrina Sasaki, .lv (Chair)

Staff:

Bart Boswinkel
Gabiella Schitteck

Apologies:

Hiro Hotta, .jp
Young-Eum Lee, .kr
Patricio Poblete, .cl
Peter Van Roste, CENTR

- The Chair informed the group that ICANN has announced that the Gala event in Singapore will be held on Monday night, instead of Wednesday night. She noted that this could have an impact on the rate of attendees to first sessions of ccNSO meeting day 1.
- The Chair then ran through the proposed agenda:
 - The meeting will start with highlighting the most interesting sessions, in the same fashion as in Buenos Aires;
 - After some discussions, it was decided to have an Internet Governance session on the various panels that exist (1Net, the two ICANN Strategy Panels, cross-community Working Group, Brazil meeting etc.), so that the attendees can get an understanding on what the various panels are dealing with. This session would be held in the form of an “interview” of the various representatives to these groups.
 - On Wednesday afternoon, the panel discussion will deal with the “globalisation of IANA” (as currently known), with representatives from ISOC, ICANN, RIRs and ccTLDs. Brad White is prepared to chair this session, if desired.

ACTION 1: *Bart Boswinkel* to post background material related to the proposed sessions on Internet Governance.

- In order to improve the Regional Organisation Update session, the Chair proposed to introduce a “ccMeter” – which means that each Regional

Organisation would be requested to ask 1-3 identical, “topical” questions to their respective communities – the results to these questions would then be presented at the end of the Update session, to see how the replies vary throughout the regions.

It was agreed that it would be worth to try out this model at the Singapore meeting.

ACTION 2: *Katrina Sataki* to contact all Regional Organisation managers, to discuss what questions should be asked for the “ccMeter”.

- The proposed name for the Marketing Session is “*Is it hard to market your cc?*” .eu, .me and .co are suggested speakers. There was no disagreement noted.

- It was discussed whether the session on Name Collision would need more time on the meeting agenda. It was explained that Akram Atallah will shortly send a letter to the ccNSO Chair on this topic and therefore, he should be invited to speak and explain the issue, so that ccTLDs get a high-level understanding what the issue is about. When the community is better informed, the ccNSO will need to consider whether to undertake any action. It was suggested to hold this session in a Q&A format.

It was also discussed whether this could be a topic for the Tech Day. The Tech Day Chair agreed to invite relevant ICANN Staff (Patrick Jones) to speak on the matter.

- Suggestions on what the proposed Security Session could deal with are still welcome. So far, only one suggestion – an overview of the CRI survey – has been noted.

- The Chair informed the group that a request was received from the GNSO Working Group on Privacy and Proxy Accreditation Issues for a joint session connected to the current ongoing survey on Privacy and Proxy Accreditation issues. The GNSO would like to ask the ccTLD community follow-up questions to the input gained through the survey.

The group did not have any strong opinion on this matter. However, it was also noted that whilst this session would be useful for the gTLD community, it would rather be of minor relevancy for the ccTLD community. Similar sessions on previous occasions had not scored very well in the meetings survey.

It was therefore suggested not to prioritise the inclusion of such a session at the moment; however, should there be any spare time left, a short session could be scheduled on this topic. The group was also encouraged to review the survey, in order to understand whether the proposed meeting would be relevant for the ccTLD community.

- The group was informed that a call for presentations for the ccTLD News session had been sent to the community and that several proposals had been received.

The last day for volunteering for a presentation is Friday, 14 February. A

summary of the input will be posted to the Programme Working Group for consideration on Monday, 17 February.

ACTION 3: *Gabriella Schittek* to post a summary of all presentation volunteers to the Programme Working Group on Monday, 17 February 2014.

- The Chair informed the group that the Agenda would now undergo a re-draft, based on the input received. It would then be posted to group for consideration.

ACTION 4: The Chair and *Gabriella Schittek* to re-draft the current Agenda, basing on the input received; post it to the Programme Working Group as soon as possible.

- The Chair felt that another call would be needed before the Singapore meeting.

ACTION 5: *Gabriella Schittek* to send out a doodle poll to the Programme Working Group, defining most optional time for the next telephone conference.