1. Present / apologies

Attendees:

Jaap Akkerhuis, ISO
Martin Boyle, .uk
Becky Burr, NomCom
Keith Davidson, .nz (Chair)
Steven Deerhake, .as
Chris Disspain, .au
Dejan Djukic, .rs
Vernita Harris, USG - GAC
Eberhard Lisse, .na
Desiree Miloshevic, .gi
Paulos Nyirenda, .mw
Nigel Roberts, .gg
Bill Semich, .nu

ICANN staff:

Bart Boswinkel
2. Draft meeting report for May 26th, 2011

2.1. Approved.

3. Election of Vice Chair

3.1. KeithD confirmed the wg tradition of not making binding decisions at any single meeting and asked if there was any opposition to the nomination of BeckyB.

3.2. BeckyB was also confirmed on this call as vice chair by acclamation.

4. FOIWG Charter
4.1. The initial WG Charter contained paragraphs for options relating to the GAC engagement in this WG. Since the GAC have agreed to full participation, the Charter should be amended to reflect this option, and delete the alternative paragraph. The attached re-draft highlights this amendment. As this is a straightforward administrative procedure, it should be approved at this meeting, unless there is some discomfort expressed on list or on the call.

4.2. Approved

5. Work Plan for the FOI

5.1. NR noted that the wording Definition of Consent in section 3.2.2 may be incorrect or inappropriate given the mandate of the wg is to interpret. He further suggested that Interpretation of Consent may be more appropriate.

5.1.1. Notes from BT

5.1.1.1. The actual text concerned with this in RFC1591 is “5.6.2.1. “For any transfer of the designated manager trusteeship from one organization to another, the higher-level domain manager (the IANA in the case of top-level domains) must receive communications from both the old organization and the new organization that assure the IANA that the transfer in mutually agreed, and that the new organization understands its responsibilities.”

5.1.1.2. As per NR’s request this section should be renamed “Interpretation of Agreement to Re-delegate”.

5.1.1.3. This is logical and clear and the title will be changed.

5.2. NR requested that a new section be added, similar to the Definition of Consent section to address the use of the term Delegation as raised in the Nominet draft RFC on this topic.
5.2.1. JA noted that draft RFCs have no status and as such do not exist and should not be quoted officially.

5.2.2. KeithD noted that not all wg members were familiar with this paper, which will not refer to any RFC status, and that NR should distribute it to the list for consideration by the wg members.

5.2.3. This topic should be considered at the Singapore meeting of the wg after members have reviewed the paper.

5.2.4. NR agreed to distribute the paper via the wg mailing list.

5.3. BS noted that the term “local” was in the text of section 3.2.6 which read “IANA vs. applicable local law and legislation for a ccTLD”.

5.3.1. BT will correct in the final version.

6. Preparations for Singapore ICANN

6.1. KeithD noted that there will be a face to face meeting of the wg in Singapore in Thursday 23 June 2011 14:00 to 17:00 local time. Teleconferencing facilities will be available (please advise ahead of time if you are planning on using these).

6.2. Main topics:

6.2.1. Terminology

6.2.2. Schedule of meeting going forward.

7. Document from EL regarding property rights of manager of ccTLDs
7.1. EL presented the paper and a general discussion ensued.

7.2. It was agreed that this topic was out of scope for the FOIWG.

7.3. Given this topic is of interest to several members of the wg it was recommended that they form an ad-hoc working group to prepare a presentation to the ccNSO Council on this subject.

8. Any other business

8.1. No participants had any other business

9. Future Meetings

9.1. The next meeting of the wg will be in Singapore on Thursday June 23rd, 2011.

10. Conclusion of the meetings 07:45UTC

10.1. The meeting was concluded at about 23:30 UTC.