

IDN PDP Working Group 1 Telephone Conference

6 October 2010

Attendees:

Bart Boswinkel, ICANN
Mandy Carver, ICANN
Chris Disspain, .au (Chair)
Avri Doria, GNSO
Patrick Fältstrom, Technical Community
Manal Ismail, .eg
Cheryl Langdon-Orr, At-Large
Kristina Nordstrom, ICANN
Minjung Park, .kr

Apologies:

Jaap Akerhuis, Expert on Standardisation
Hiro Hotta, .jp
Siavash Shahshahani, .ir (observer)

Introduction

- *Bart Boswinkel* reminded the group about version 2 of the Decision Points Document that he sent out a few days prior to the call. He read the changes from the redline version and asked for comments. *Manal Ismail* noted that she would be submitting comments on behalf of the GAC shortly and it was decided to postpone the adoption of the new version until the next call.
- *Bart* suggested to replace the term “Official language” with “Designated language” since the term official might cause confusion. *Avri Doria* asked how the term “Designated language” should be defined. *Bart* replied that the term would be defined similarly the same criteria would be apply.

Decision point 3, Section 4

- It was noted that the criteria of meaningfulness for a ccTLD string would be the same as for the Fast Track, that is; meaningfulness must be documented if it is not the long or short version of the name of the territory. The Chair asked Bart to

include information about the detailed implementation of meaningful representation for the Fast Track in the Decisions Document.

Action 1: *Bart Boswinkel* to include information about the detailed implementation of meaningful representation for the Fast Track in the Decisions Document.

Decision point 4, Section 4

- It was decided that since Decision point 4 was another way of expressing non-contentiousness, it should be taken out of the Decision Points Document for the time being to be revisited in the process segment of the document. The Chair asked Bart to replace the Decision Point with a note saying: "Implementation issues arising from the overarching principle must be carefully considered".

Action 2: *Bart Boswinkel* to replace Decision Point 4, Section 4, with a note saying: "Implementation issues arising from the overarching principle must be carefully considered".

Decision point 5, Section 4

- The group discussed whether only one IDN ccTLD string per official language should be accepted and the Chair noted that the members reached consensus on this. However, it was noted that an issue occurs if a country uses more than one script for the same language. The Chair asked Bart to seek advice from other Working Group members on the email list.

Action 3: *Bart Boswinkel* to send a note to the IDN PDP WG 1 Working Group email list, asking for advice on the issue of accepting only one DN ccTLD string per official language if a country uses more than one script for the same language.

Decision point 6, Section 4

- The Chair noted that that there was consensus within the group to approve Point 6 in the Decision Points Document.

Decision point 7, Section 4

- The Chair noted that decision point 7 could be accepted without modification but that it would need a note that explains the decision in more detail. It was decided that Bart would clarify the text and that the topic then should be revisited.

Action 4: *Bart Boswinkel* to include a note to Point 7 in the Decision Points Document explaining the issue in more detail in order for the topic to be revisited by the Working Group.

Decision point 8, Section 4

- The Chair noted that that there was consensus within the group to approve Point 8 in the Decision Points Document.

The meeting closed.