ICANN – CCNSO - DRDWG

Report (DRAFT Version 1) for October 14th, 2010 (22:00 UTC)

1. Present / apologies

   Jaap Akkerhuis (expert invited by the Chair)
   Keith Davidson, .nz (Chair)
   Patricio Poblete, .cl
   Nigel Roberts, .gg
   Oscar Robles, .mx
   Bill Semich, .nu
   Dotty Sparks de Blanc

ICANN Staff:

   Bart Boswinkel
   Kim Davies
   Kristina Nordström
   Bernard Turcotte

Apologies:

   Paulos Nyirenda, .mw
   Eberhard Lisse, .na
   Suzanne Sene, GAC

2. Confirmation of agenda

   2.1. Confirmed.

3. Confirmation of past meeting reports

   3.1. October 7th, 2010 – Confirmed.

4. Final Report on the Retirement of ccTLDs (3rd round - final)

   4.1. KDavidson noted that JA emailed him the retirement procedures for ISO 3166. It is uncertain if these are public. JA will verify with the ISO3166 secretariat to confirm that this information can be released to the DRD WG. If it can be an item should be added to
the Recommendation Section of the report and the complete information will be added as an annex to the report.

4.2. The report was accepted by the WG pending a confirmation of the ISO material. If the material is public it will be added and the report re-circulated to the WG for the approval of this specific insertion. If not the report will be treated as complete and approved.

5. Draft Delegation report and discussions (2nd round)

5.1. KDavies noted that he objected to the wording describing RFC1591 in section 3.3.2 as having been approved by the RFC process given this was an informational posting and that J. Postel was the Editor for this posting. It was agreed that BT would review the wording.

5.1.1. NR noted that although this was true that RFC1591, without News Memo #1, enjoyed general support from almost all ccTLDs including a majority of legacy ccTLDs. KDavidson noted that given the operating rules of the WG that the WG required publicly available documentation supporting this position if it is to be considered and included in the material. It was agreed that BT would track down the IATLD web site from the late 90’s for documentation on this.

5.1.1.1. http://web.archive.org/web/20040226171613/http://iatld.org/ provides the following text approved by some 28 ccTLDs of varying sizes at the time (2004):

5.1.1.1.1. The following top-level domains answered "YES" to the question of whether the new Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN) should put a phrase in its by-laws to the effect that it agrees to continue to abide by the spirit of RFC 1591 for any and all actions it takes, or any role it assumes, with regard to Country Code Top Level Domains (ccTLDs).

5.1.2. WWTLD.org (ccTLD subset of the then ICANN-DNSO organisation http://web.archive.org/web/20040108161121/http://www.wwtld.org/index.html) published the Best Practices for ccTLDs and was supported by over 40 ccTLDs including most of the larger and influential ccTLDs. These Best Practices were, for the most part, a simplification of RFC1591 and did not incorporate the changes of News Memo #1 nor ICP1.

5.1.3. Summing this up one could say that “RFC1591 or the principles it contained were accepted by a majority of ccTLDs active in ccTLD governance issues in 2001”.
5.2. It was noted that in section 3.3.4 that the wording should indicate that there is no “publicly available” or “published” process for updating these. BT will correct (completed in V4 BT).
5.3. General agreement that the potential recommendation 4.1.1 is unacceptable to the WG.
5.4. The participants discussed the merits of 4.1.2 (request non-PDP changes) and 4.1.3 (PDP) and agreed to revisit this at the next meeting after staff and the WG Exec review the available options.
5.5. Except for the recommendation the remainder of the report, pending approval of changes to 3.3.2 and 3.3.4, is generally acceptable to all participants.

6. Confirmation of future meetings:

- Note: there may be a need for an additional meeting on November 4th. This will be discussed at the October 28th meeting.

- October 28th (06:00 UTC, for 2.0 hours)
  - Review final report on Delegation (round 3 – final)
  - Redelegation report discussion (round 1)

- November 11th (14:00 UTC, for 2.0 hours)
  - Review final report on redelegation (round 3 – final)
  - Un-approved redelegation report discussion (round 2)

- November 25th (22:00 UTC, for 2.0 hours)
  - Review final report on un-approved Redelegation (round 3 – final)

- ICANN Cartegena meeting December 5-10
  - Review final report on un-approved redelegation