

## Incident Response Working Group

28 September

### Attendees:

Fahd Batayneh, .jo  
Bart Boswinkel  
Wim Degezelle, CENTR (Observer)  
Paul McKittrick, .nz  
Kristina Nordström, ICANN  
Katrina Sataki, .lv  
Jörg Schweiger, .de (Chair)  
Zoran Vlah, .hr

### Apologies:

Ondrej Filip, .cz

- Referring to the information sent in advance to the conference call the Chair resumed what the tool "Trusted Introducer (TI)" could provide to implement the requirements set forth for the contact repository. He further noted that the services offered by TI would be compared with the information Stephen Deerhake will be able to find about the Packet Clearinghouse tool.
- The Chair asked Bart Boswinkel whether he could consult with ICANN regarding the budget for the setup and maintenance of the Contact Repository. *Bart* also offered to summarise the gathered information about TI in a document for easier comparison with other potential service providers.

**Action:** *Bart Boswinkel* to consult with ICANN about the budget for the Contact Repository.

**Action:** *Bart Boswinkel* to summarise the gathered information about TI in a document.

- The group discussed whether the IANA database could be another option of sharing information between ccTLDs. It was felt that it currently does not have the required features such as an active approach on updating information or alternative ways of communicating, such as text messaging.
- It was decided that Bart would talk to Yurie Ito and Patrick Jones to find out whether it would be possible that the IANA database could be updated to meet the criteria or provide an alternative.

**Action:** *Bart Boswinkel* to ask Yurie Ito and Patrick Jones whether it would be possible that the IANA database could be updated to meet the criteria or provide an alternative.

- *Paul McKittrick* pointed out that reliability should be important criteria when choosing service provider.
- It was agreed that
  - data is kept actual,
  - different communication channels and explicitly one that does not relay on the internet and
  - reliability/redundancy (24/7)

were the most important criteria any tool must provide. No further requirements were identified to be crucial.

- If TI would be employed, a setup fee and an annually maintenance fee would occur. Different potential models of financing were discussed
  - ICANN finances
  - ICANN finances the setup cost, each participant on TI (listed TLD) finances it's maintenance cost
  - Each participant finances it's setup and maintenance cost
  - Sponsoring models

The Chair added that the ccTLD community would be consulted before anything is decided.

- *Bart* informed the group that a group of ICANN staff published a Stability, Security and Resilience (SSR) Plan and that it is currently open for public comments. He also suggested that Patrick Jones could be invited to the group to explain more about the plan. The Chair asked Bart to send the Working Group some more information about the SSR plan in order for them to decide how much focus it would need.

**Action:** *Bart Boswinkel* to send information to the Working Group email list about the ICANN Stability, Security and Resilience (SSR) Plan.

The meeting closed.