

IDN PDP WG 2 Telephone Conference

26 August 2010

Attendees:

Dejan Djukic, .rs
Hiro Hotta, .jp
Siavash Shahshahani, .ir

Staff support:

Bart Boswinkel
Kristina Nordström
Gabiella Schitteck

Apologies:

Demi Getschko, .br
Giovanni Seppia, .eu

1. Action Items Previous Call

Bart Boswinkel ran through the action items from the previous working group call. He noted that the suggested call dates always could be changed, depending on how work proceeds.

2. Selection of Working Group Chair and Alternate Chair.

The only nomination received was suggesting appointing Hiro Hotta and Demi Getschko to Chair and/or Vice Chair to the Working Group.

It was felt that it is unlikely that more nominations will be made, so assuming that both Hiro Hotta and Demi Getschko accept their nominations, they would be asked to sort out the Chair and Vice Chair position amongst themselves.

Action: *Hiro Hotta* and *Demi Getschko* to define who will act as Chair and Vice Chair of the IDN PDP WG 2.

3. Initial Identification of Topics/ Issues

Bart noted that there had been a lively discussion on the email list on potential problems and solutions. He suggested that he would consolidate all discussions and suggested solutions into one document, which would give the working group members a better overview.

He thought that it would be easiest to sort all items into clusters; in that way it would also be easier to see what area of the bylaws would be impacted.

So far he could identify three main areas: 1) Definition of Membership 2) Content and Possible Changes of Membership 3) Voting Rights

Bart further said he would make a call to the email list for more input. The call would be open until the 1st September. He would then consolidate all input into one document and post it to the working group by the 3rd September.

Action: *Bart Boswinkel* to make a call for further input on topics/issues until the 1st September, then follow up with a consolidated paper, gathering all input, by the 3rd September.

4. Tentative Timeline

The group pointed out some minor mistakes in the tentative timeline, which Bart will change and then re-send an updated version to the email list.

Action: Bart to correct the tentative timeline and send out to the Working Group email list.

It was discussed whether the suggested timeline, where an interim report is expected to be presented to the Cartagena meeting, is feasible.

Bart explained that if the working group could not make the tentative timeline, it could easily be changed and adjusted according to how the work of the Working Group is progressing.

However, he also underlined that he does not think that the topic area which needs to be defined is too complex, which would make it possible to combine the writing of a topic paper together with an interim report. He furthermore pointed out that many of the discussions of the email list already define topics and suggest solutions, which could easily be presented in a combined paper.

5. AOB

It was asked whether IDN PDP Working Group 2 needs to have a close relationship with IDN PDP Working Group 1.

It was clarified that this was not necessary, unless it comes to the issue of describing what a member is. This description will probably need to change, and then some cooperation might be necessary.