Attendees:

Hiro Hotta, .jp
Siavash Shahshahani, .ir
Demi Getschko, .br
Daniel Kalchev, .bg
Jian Zhang, APTLD

ICANN Staff:

Bart Boswinkel
Gabriella Schittek

Attending via telephone: Kristina Nordström

_Bart Boswinkel_ re-iterated the purpose of the Working Group:

_The purpose of the working group is to report on changes to Article IX and relevant Annexes in the ICANN Bylaws to include IDN ccTLD's as full members in the ccNSO on equal footing as the current members (ASCII ccTLDs)._  

_Bart_ also explained the process. Any suggested changes to Article IX by the wg would first be published for public comments. After that they would be included as part of the recommendations in the IDN ccPDP. Together with the recommended overall policy for the selection and delegation of the IDN ccTLD, they will be sent to the ccNSO Council for a vote and after adoption to the ccNSO members for a members vote. Only after adoption by the members the recommendations will be submitted to the ICANN Board for adoption.

Initial tasks for the IDN PDP Working Group 2 are:

- To select a Chair and a Vice Chair for the Working Group, either online or on the first telephone conference.

- To set up a schedule for future meetings, approximately one call/month, and to decide whether to have a rotating or a fixed schedule.

_Bart_ informed the group that he is working on a starting document listing the issues that possibly need to be addressed by the group.
Bart further noted that he would try to get staff from the legal team involved in the process since they would be able to provide the group with useful input.

Demi noted that the options for the group is either to start from the Bylaws and suggest changes or to formulate principles saying how the different issues should be handled and work them into the Bylaws. Bart replied that the way the changes are suggested is completely up to the Working Group and the Chair will be responsible for the focus of the group.

Demi pointed out that the IDN PDP WG 2 will only be focused on IDN ccTLDs and not address any issues related to country names.

Daniel Kalchev felt that the definition of a ccTLD could be clearer. Bart replied that the only thing the group needs to focus on is who can or cannot be a ccNSO member.

Jian asked whether there is a timeframe for the process. Bart replied that this group to some extent is a sequel to the IDN PDP WG 1 since both groups are part of the overall Policy Development Process, but that the IDN PDP WG 2 at the same is free to set its own pace, as long as the final PDP recommendations are connected.

Bart noted that he would go through the starting document once more to clean up the issues and that times for upcoming calls would be set up.

The meeting closed.