Wildcard Study Group Telephone Conference
21 April 2010

Attendees:

Ondrej Filip, .cz (co-chair)
Young Eum Lee, .kr (co-chair)
Rungang Mo, .cn
Kathryn Reynolds, .ca
Yoshiro Yoneya, jp

Staff support:
Bart Boswinkel, ccNSO
Kristina Nordström, ccNSO

The agenda was based on action items identified by the Working Group in Nairobi (see: http://www.ccnso.icann.org/workinggroups/wildcardwg.htm, Nairobi presentation slide 22).

1. Gather Information from cc’s using wildcards
Action: a. Bart to contact Kim Davies to check which ccTLD are currently listed as using wildcards
b. Bart to contact ICANN regional liaisons to try contact ccTLD managers who use wildcards currently and who have not yet responded. RL are asked to send questionnaire to ccTLDs
Responses, if any to be forwarded to working group, for further processing.

2. Summarize independent findings
Review and check impact of actual use of wildcards by workinggroup (fact finding). Members of wg have reviewed use of wildcards by listed ccTLDs. Further review and checks to be conducted to ascertain impact.
Actions:
Ondrej to send items to be checked to wg email list (23 April)
WG members to review list of items (28 April) (by email)
Finalise list of items 28 April.
Ondrej to conduct review on technical and report to wg (5 May)

3. Evaluate and summarize the SSAC documents and ICANN staff Report (see slide 8 Nairobi presentation.
Actions:
Ondrej: to provide summary of items listed (23 April)
WG members: comments and suggestions on summary and raise issues (29 April) by email
Ondrej: Consolidate comments and propose follow-up actions if needed.

4. “Map” the cc’s according to the ‘harms’ list
Extend fact finding on use and impact and verify harms list (on items not included under item 2)
Actions:
Kathryn, Mo to provide overview (12 May)
Members of working group: comment and provide input on findings Kathryn and Mo (19 May) by email.

5. Summarize Use cases and reasons for use of “wildcards”
Action:
Young Eum to circulate summary to list (12 May). Scope dependent on completion and outcome Item #1.
All: comment and feed-back on summary (19 May).

6. Initial draft Report
Draft Report to be presented to ccNSO and broader community
Action:
Young Eum, Kathryn and Ondrej (26 May)
Members of WG, provide input and feed-back on draft (2 June)
Young Eum, Kathryn, Ondrej: update draft for adoption by wg (9 June)
Members: adoption of report and publication 11 June