Attendees:

Nashwa Abdelbaki, NomCom
Bart Boswinkel, ICANN
Lesley Cowley, .uk
Chris Disspain, .au (Chair)
Mohamed El Bashir, .sd
Ondrej Filip, .cz
Hiro Hotta, .jp
Juhani Juselius, .fi
Han Chuan Lee, ccNSO Liaison to the GSNO
Young-Eum Lee, .kr
Vika Mpisane, .za
Paulos Nyirenda, .mw
Patricio Poblete, .cl
Gabriella Schittek, ccNSO Secretariat
Ron Sherwood, ccNSO Liaison to the ALAC
Dotty Sparks de Blanc, .vi
Rudi Vansnick, ALAC Liaison to the ccNSO
Jian Zhang, NomCom

Apologies:

Olga Cavalli, GNSO Liaison to the ccNSO

1. Confirmation of Approval of Minutes and Actions from Council call 12th May 2009

No comments on the minutes or actions were noted.

2. Terms of Reference of ccNSO Review

_Bart Boswinkel_ informed the group that the board committee dealing with the reviews sent out a terms of reference document for input. The document is focused on the liaison with other SO’s and AC’s. He noted that since the ccNSO currently is running the IDN PDP, which also is dealing with the ccNSO structure, it will be slightly out of scope for the evaluators. He thought it was worth drawing attention to the issue and therefore a session on the topic has been scheduled for the Sydney meeting. Members from the board oversight committee, as well as Marco Lorenzoni, will be present to give an update and to reply to questions.

The Chair added that he had spoken to Marco Lorenzoni and pointed out to him that since the ongoing PDP needs to define how to deal with the membership of possibly two different ccTLD managers in one territory, a review would be pretty pointless at the moment.
*Dotty Sparks de Blanc* and *Paulos Nyirenda* asked for more background information on who is conducting the review and how the reviewers are selected.

The Chair explained that it will be done by an independent external company, which will be paid for conducting the review. An ICANN Board sub-committee, chaired by Jean-Jaques Subrenat will decide whom to award the contact. However, since it is not clear whether the ccNSO will have a review done at this point, given the current situation, it is not clear who would be awarded the contact in the case of the ccNSO.

### 3. Working Group Update

#### 3.1 ccNSO/GAC Liaison Working Group

The Chair said that the Working Group Chair *Keith Davidson* is trying to persuade ccNSO members to join the Working Group. The goal is to have two ccNSO representatives from each region on the group, hopefully from the GAC side too.

#### 3.2 Tech Working Group

The Chair informed the group that *Eberhard Lisse*, the Tech Working Group Chair will not be present in Sydney. A special meeting for the Working Group members will be organised, where the future of the Working Group will be discussed. Its charter needs to be redrafted in order to include some of the IANA responsibilities. The group also needs to be re-peopled.

#### 3.3 Strategic and Operational Planning Working Group

*Lesley Cowley* updated the Councillors that a session on ICANN’s new Strategic Plan is scheduled to take place in Sydney, facilitated by Patrick Sharry. A panel will be arranged, followed by a discussion. The Councillors were encouraged to take an active part in the discussions.

Lesley furthermore said that one thing the Councillors should try to ensure is that people actually participate in the Working Groups they have signed up for. She suggested that the Councillors should approach people in person and encourage them to get involved.

#### 3.4 Geographic Regions Working Group

*Bart Boswinkel* said that the charter of the Working Group is up for approval at the next ICANN Board meeting. In the meantime, the group is working on a topic issues paper, listing all issues the Supporting Organisations and Advisory Committees have with respect to geographic regions. The aim is to publish the issues paper before the Sydney meeting. The NRO has also finally decided to join the Working Group.

#### 3.5 Delegation and Re-delegation Working Group

##### 3.5.1 Approval of Delegation and Re-delegation Working Group Charter

The Chair pointed out that the charter for the Delegation and Re-delegation Working Group had been sent to the list for the Councillor’s consideration prior to the meeting. If approved by the Council, a call for volunteers would be launched and the Working Group
members would be appointed during the Sydney meeting.

The floor then opened for comments.

Young-Eum Lee asked to have the scope of the working group clarified.

The Chair explained that the working group is not going to draft a delegation and re-delegation paper, but is much more intended to come up with a list of questions and topics to provide an overview of what the situation is like at the moment and to recommend further steps.

The resolution was proposed by Dotty Sparks de Blanc and seconded by Vika Mpisane.

Resolution 41-01
THE COUNCIL RESOLVED to approve the ccNSO Delegation and Re-delegation Working Group Charter, as proposed.

The resolution was passed unanimously.

Action 41-01
The ccNSO Secretariat to make a call for volunteers to the ccNSO Delegation and Re-delegation Working Group.

3.6 Meeting Programme Working Group

3.6.1 Approval of Programme Working Group Charter

The Chair pointed to the revised Programme Working Group Charter, which was posted prior to the meeting. He thanked those who had been involved in drafting the charter and asked then asked for comments.

No comments were noted.

The resolution was proposed by Ondrej Filip and seconded by Lesley Cowley.

Resolution 41-02
THE COUNCIL RESOLVED to approve the ccNSO Programme Working Group Charter.

The resolution was passed unanimously.

4. Closure of Joint ccNSO/GAC IDN Working Group

The Chair noted that the Joint ccNSO/GAC IDN Working Group had been inactive for a long time and that its tasks had been carried over to the new IDN Working Group 1. He therefore asked the Councillors to agree to formally close the Working Group.

The resolution was proposed by Young-Eum Lee and seconded by Vika Mpisane.
Resolution 41-03
THE COUNCIL RESOLVED to formally close the Joint ccNSO/GAC IDN Working Group.

The resolution was passed unanimously.

Action 41-02
The ccNSO Secretariat to inform Janis Karklins and the Joint ccNSO/GAC IDN Working Group members about the closure of the Working Group and thank for their participation.

The Chair then asked for an update on the PDP WG1.

Bart Boswinkel informed the group that the email list has been made active, a charter will be sent to the email list soon and a topic paper is prepared for discussion in Sydney. The working group members will most likely meet prior to the ccNSO meeting.

5. Liaison Update

5.1 Update from GNSO Liaison

Han Chuan Lee informed the Councillors that GNSO discussions currently are focusing on inter-registrar transfer request, domain name hijacking and GNSO bylaw revisions. A PDP is currently conducted on the expiration of domain names, recovery issues and the consequences of losing a domain name. A letter was also sent to the GAC Chair in reply to the GAC’s correspondence to the ICANN Board on Geographic Names with respect to new gTLDs.

Han Chuan further reminded the group that there will be a joint GNSO/ccNSO lunch in Sydney, where issues such as inserting names into the root following the fast-track will be discussed.

The Chair added that basing on an earlier agreement with Avri, a small liaison group, dealing with the release of IDN ccTLDs and gTLDs, is to be formed. He pointed out that it looks very likely that there will be a gap in the timing, which could cause some resentment.

5.2 Update from ALAC Liaison

Ron Sherwood gave an update on the background and structure of the ALAC and pointed out that the ALAC is the largest of all ICANN institutions.

The ALAC is currently dealing with issues such as Domain Name Tasting, WHOIS, IDNs, RAA, IPv6 and new gTLDs.

Dotty Sparks de Blanc asked what the ccNSO should be concerned about.

Ron replied that he would like to see more support of ALAC in the ccNSO. At-Large currently has 88 At-Large Structures (ALS’s) and needs many more. Ron suggested that the ccNSO could help in that respect, or even help groups forming ALSs.

The Chair noted that there have been some historical challenges within the ccNSO community towards At-Large, but expressed his hope that these have been overcome.
and agreed the ccNSO should try to find ways to work more closely with At-Large in the future.

6. AOB

6.1. Resolutions for Demi Getschko and Michael Silber

The Chair referred to the suggested resolutions, thanking Demi Getschko and wishing Michael Silber good luck on the ICANN Board, and asked the Councillors for their input.

*Bart Boswinkel* suggested reading out the resolution during the Sydney meeting, to make it more powerful. He would ensure to have Demi attend the meeting.

The Chair agreed and suggested to adjourn the resolutions to the Sydney meeting.

6.2 Other Matters

*Gabriella Schittek* reminded all Councillors to read the papers on IDN implementation prior to the Sydney meeting.

*Action 41-03*

The ccNSO Secretariat to send out the IDN implementation papers to the ccNSO Council.

Gabriella further asked all Councillors to submit their profile page information for the new profile pages on the ccNSO website.

The Chair then closed the meeting.