

# ccNSO Members Meeting Report

## Introduction

The ccNSO is pleased to present its report for the meeting in New Delhi, India on the 11<sup>th</sup>, 12<sup>th</sup> and 13<sup>th</sup> February 2008.

Approximately 40 ccTLDs were represented at the meeting, as well as many observers.

All of those present express their appreciation for the work done in facilitating the meeting by the members of the local host organising committee.

## 1) Joint ccNSO Council/GAC Council Meeting

The ccNSO Council met with the gNSO Council on Monday 11<sup>th</sup> to discuss issues that had arisen from the gNSO resolution sent to the ICANN Board early January 2008. A fruitful discussion took place and many uncertainties were clarified.

## 2) ccNSO Workplan

A ccNSO workplan for the coming two years, defined by the ccNSO Council, was presented to the members. Some major changes in the way members will be kept updated about ICANN's and the ccNSO's work will take place. Various reforms will also be implemented during the Paris meeting and evaluated in the following meeting.

It was also discussed how many ICANN meetings there should be held per year. Most were in favour of either two to three meetings per year.

## 3) ICANN Board Update

*Peter Dengate-Thrush, Demi Getschko and Paul Twomey* attended the meeting to give an ICANN Board update

Much of the discussions focused on the JPA midterm review. It was explained that although it is felt that ICANN already has fulfilled much of the JPA, it is not seeking the termination of the agreement before the end of its term. It was also underlined that even after the JPA, there will still be "strong ties" to the US through other remaining links, for example that ICANN remains to be a non-for-profit company incorporated in California.

#### 4) IANA Update

*Olivier Guillard*, .fr and chair of the ccNSO IANA WG, updated the meeting on the first part of the report the ccNSO IANA Working Group has written on DNSSEC. A “checklist” for what ccTLDs should think of when considering implementing DNSSEC will be provided, deriving from what is in the report: <https://delhi.icann.org/files/20080212-quillard-ccnsoianawg-update.pdf>

*Ken Silva*, VeriSign informed that VeriSign has added AAAA records to their A and J root servers. Root servers can now be queried via native IPv6.

A testbed of a DNSSEC signed root zone has also started. DS records will be added manually in the initial phase, but a method will be designed allowing more automated submission of DS records by TLD managers.

*Barbara Roseman*, IANA, gave an update on IANA’s activities during the last months. IPv6 has been added to six of the 13 root servers. A small increase in the load on the servers has been noticed, but no known problems have occurred. Barbara also called for more volunteers to test the new IANA-ccTLD interface.  
<https://delhi.icann.org/files/ccNSOIANAUpdate.pdf>

#### 5) ccTLD Update

*Rajesh Aggarwal*, .in, gave an overview of the lingual challenges the registry is facing with an implementation of IDNs in the top level: <https://delhi.icann.org/files/IDN12FEB-RajeshAggarwal.pdf>

*Bart Vastenburger*, nl updated on the last developments in the Dutch registry, such as a reduction of rules and regulations in the general terms and conditions:  
[https://delhi.icann.org/files/ccnso\\_vastenburger\\_nl\\_update.pdf](https://delhi.icann.org/files/ccnso_vastenburger_nl_update.pdf)

*Lesley Cowley*, uk reported on the UK registry activities and an initiative to create a “National IGF process” in order to find out themes and priorities to bring to the next IGF meeting:  
[https://delhi.icann.org/files/ccNSOParticipationWGUpdate\\_UK\\_Cowley\\_0208.pdf](https://delhi.icann.org/files/ccNSOParticipationWGUpdate_UK_Cowley_0208.pdf)

*HanSang Lee*, .kr informed about the implementation of two new services offered by .kr: Dynamic Update and WHOIS open API:  
[https://delhi.icann.org/files/ccnso\\_KRupdateHanSangLee.pdf](https://delhi.icann.org/files/ccnso_KRupdateHanSangLee.pdf)

*Hiro Hotta*, .jp presented two new services which the Japanese registry recently introduced - a redemption service for expired domain names as well as IDN clickable applications, which hyperlinks plain text automatically into IDNs:  
<https://delhi.icann.org/files/080213JPUpdateinccNSO.pdf>

*Slobodan Markovic*, .rs gave an introduction of the Serbian registry. .rs registrations will be launched by the end of February 2008:  
[https://delhi.icann.org/files/RS\\_Markovic\\_0208.pdf](https://delhi.icann.org/files/RS_Markovic_0208.pdf)

## 6) Joint ccNSO/GAC meeting

*Mathieu Weill*, .fr presented on the French registry and security needs of the registry and its customers: [https://delhi.icann.org/files/Registry-Functions\\_fr\\_MathieuWeill.pdf](https://delhi.icann.org/files/Registry-Functions_fr_MathieuWeill.pdf)

*Elisabeth Markot*, European Commission, gave an overview on a ccTLD workshop on contingency planning, organised by the European government.

*Anke Sikkema*, Dutch GAC representative, explained how the formalised relationship between the .nl registry and the government works, allowing the government to ask for a re-delegation in case of a “trigger moment”: [https://delhi.icann.org/files/08-02-21Safeguarding\\_nl-domain.pdf](https://delhi.icann.org/files/08-02-21Safeguarding_nl-domain.pdf)

*Christian Singer*, Austrian GAC representative, gave an overview on how the “Domain Name Council” – an advisory board to the .at registry is comprised and how it works: [https://delhi.icann.org/files/ChristianSinger\\_AustrianGAC.pdf](https://delhi.icann.org/files/ChristianSinger_AustrianGAC.pdf)

*Lesley Cowley*, .uk, informed about how the ccNSO participation working group works and its objectives.

*Janis Karklins*, Latvian GAC representative and chair of the GAC, explained what the GAC is doing to attract new members. Intergovernmental meetings are used as opportunities to present what the GAC is doing, letters have been written to several ministers, inviting representatives to attend and the UNESCO has also been briefed about IDN issues. Participation will remain an important issue for the GAC as well.

## 7) Regional Organisation Update

*Don Hollander*, APTLD informed that the key issues for the AP region is IDN, Surety & Security and Partnerships: [https://delhi.icann.org/files/APTLDPresentation-DEL\\_Hollander-Feb08.pdf](https://delhi.icann.org/files/APTLDPresentation-DEL_Hollander-Feb08.pdf)

*Peter Van Roste*, CENTR presented CENTR's upcoming projects, including a comprehensive survey on survey operations, as well as a NTIA response: [https://delhi.icann.org/files/CENTR-ccNSO-PeterVanRoste\\_Feb2008.pdf](https://delhi.icann.org/files/CENTR-ccNSO-PeterVanRoste_Feb2008.pdf)

*Vika Mpisane*, AfTLD explained that the organisation has been working on solving problems with its bylaws during the last months. Membership forms have now been sent out and about 13 members have applied so far. An annual meeting will take place in April. <https://delhi.icann.org/files/AfTLDUpdateICANNND08.pdf>

*Margarita Valdes*, LACTLD, said that at the LA meeting a new approach had been taken, as contacts to non-Spanish speaking, Caribbean ccTLD managers had been established: [https://delhi.icann.org/files/LACTLDupdate-MargaritaValdes\\_Feb08.pdf](https://delhi.icann.org/files/LACTLDupdate-MargaritaValdes_Feb08.pdf)

## 8) IDN ccTLD Policy and WG Update

*Chris Disspain*, chair of the ccNSO, tested preliminary answers and models for the mechanisms identified by the IDNC WG. The meeting was informed on the outcome of the GNSO / ccNSO Council joint meeting, and the next steps in the Fast Track and ccPDP. <https://delhi.icann.org/files/IDNCWGInitialreport.pdf>

## **9) NomCom update**

*Hagen Hultzsch* and *George Sadowski* (NomCom) visited the ccNSO and asked the participants to come up with some ideas how to make the body more efficient. They also invited interested parties from the community to candidate for NomCom posts.

## **10) Participation Working Group**

*Lesley Cowley*, .uk informed about the work of the Participation Working Group. A survey on participation has been launched and it is hoped replies will be gained from both participating, as well as non-participating ccTLDs:

<https://delhi.icann.org/files/ICANN-ccNSOParticipationWorkingGroupUpdateDelhi2008.pdf>

## **11) IANA WG Session**

*Olivier Guillard*, .fr ran through the suggested changes to the ccNSO IANA WG charter:  
<https://delhi.icann.org/files/20080213-quillard-ccnsoianawg-charter-review.pdf>