

## Council Comments on ccNSO Nominations Specific review Teams

### *Current text Section 4.3*

If Councillors eligible to nominate are of the view that one or more candidates do not meet the Selection Criteria, the candidate(s) will be informed accordingly and their response/Expressions of Interest will not be considered.

Comment: Unclear how many councilors could effectively make determination that a candidate should not be eligible. If only one Councillor, this would be effectively be a veto. If by all Councillor it would effectively be a veto to block a person who should not be eligible because he or she does not meet the selection criteria/ be a pre-decision by all Councillors eligible to vote.

Suggested is to include 4 Councillors from at least two different regions:

Proposed text:

**If 4 or more Councillors from at least two different Geographic Region who are** eligible to nominate are of the view that one or more candidates do not meet the Selection Criteria, the candidate(s) will be informed accordingly and their response/Expressions of Interest will not be considered.

Also requested is clarification around section 6 - removal of ccNSO appointed members.

### *Current text Section 6*

Any review team member nominated by the ccNSO Council may be removed and replaced at any time by the ccNSO Council, for example, but not limited to, major conflict of interest (as described under 3.3 or 3.4 above), non-attendance or misbehaviour.

*Comment.* It refers to some examples of when the member might be removed or replaced and includes “non-attendance”. I’m aware that these are guidelines and so not intended to be detailed but an argument could be made that if a member misses one meeting that is non-attendance and they could be removed. Could we look at having something like ‘regular non-attendance’ or missed X number of consecutive meetings. Given the geographic spread that the members are likely to have, and the difficulty to find times for meetings/calls that suit everyone, it is likely that there will be instances of non-attendance and consideration needs to be given to that.

Suggested is to include “regular non-attendance”. Note that the ccNSO Council may remove and replace a member at any time. However, predictability is needed, and people from different geographic Regions should be encouraged to participate.

*Proposed text:*

Any review team member nominated by the ccNSO Council may be removed and replaced at any time by the ccNSO Council, for example, but not limited to, major conflict of interest (as described under 3.3 or 3.4 above), **regular non-attendance** or misbehaviour.