

Open

INTEL

Creating a "long-term memory" for the global DNS

UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.



Introduction

- Almost **five years ago**, we started with **an idea**:

"Can we measure (large parts of) the global DNS on a daily basis?"


- In this talk, we will discuss:
 - **Why** we wanted to do this
 - **How** we do it
 - And examples of **what we** have **learned** so far

Why measure the DNS?

- **DNS translates** from the **human world** to the **machine world**
(and also helps in machine-to-machine interaction)
- (Almost) **every networked service relies on the DNS**
- Consequently, **measuring what is in the DNS** tells a story about the **evolution of the Internet** and its protocols

Hasn't someone tried this before?

- You may be familiar with **passive DNS** (popular in the security community)
- Has **two downsides**:
 1. Only sees what clients ask for (and is thus **biased!**)
 2. No control over query timing, so **unsuitable for time series**



How we measure

- **OpenINTEL performs an active measurement**, sending a fixed set of queries for all covered domains **once every 24 hours**
- We do this **at scale**, covering **over 216 million domains** per day:
 - **gTLDs:**
.com, .net, .org, .info, .mobi, .aero, .asia, .name, .biz, .gov
+ almost 1200 "new" gTLDs (.xxx, .xyz, .amsterdam, .berlin, ...)
 - **ccTLDs:**
.nl, .se, .nu, .ca, .fi, .at, .dk, .ru, .ppp, .us, **<your ccTLD here?>**

Grab your bingo cards folks!

- On the next slide, I am going to call this:

(a) A blockchain

(c) Big data

(b) "Agile" and "lean"

(d) Cyber!!!

Big data? Big data!


- Calling your research big data is all the rage -- **research funders love it!**
- So would our work qualify as big data?
- One **human genome** is about **$3 \cdot 10^9$ DNA base pairs**
- We collect **over $2.3 \cdot 10^9$ DNS records each day** (about $\frac{3}{4}$ of a human)
- **Since February 2015** we collected **over $3.1 \cdot 10^{12}$ results (3.1 trillion)** or: **over 1047 human genomes** (I bet there's fewer people in this room)



We think we measure responsibly

- We have **clearly marked the address space** from which we measure (including **reverse DNS**)
- We have **reached out to large operators** in our datasets
- **Very few complaints** received (less than 5 since February 2015)

```
inet6num:      xxxx:xxx:xxxx::/48
netname:       UTwente-OpenINTEL
descr:         University of Twente
descr:         Faculty EEMCS/DACS
descr:         OpenINTEL Active DNS Measurements
descr:         See http://www.openintel.nl/
                for more information
country:       NL
admin-c:       RVR180-RIPE
tech-c:        RVR180-RIPE
status:        ALLOCATED-BY-LIR
mnt-by:        SN-LIR-MNT
mnt-irt:        irt-SURFcert
created:       2018-06-26T08:53:10Z
last-modified: 2018-06-26T08:53:10Z
source:        RIPE
```





What can we do with all this data?


- We will illustrate the use of OpenINTEL with **three examples**:
 - Example 1: DNSSEC operational practices
 - Example 2: Improving DNS resilience
 - Example 3: The stupidest thing you can put in a TXT record

Example 1: DNSSEC

- (Hopefully) it is **well known** that **.nl** and **.se** have a **high level of DNSSEC deployment**, due to **financial incentives**
- **(Small) financial incentives** economically **only benefit large DNS operators**
- We hypothesised that the **incentives** would **encourage deployment *en masse*** but that deployments would **not necessarily follow security best practices**



.nl Just 14 operators responsible for over 80% of signed domains



.se Just 3 operators responsible for over 80% of signed domains

TLD	Large operators			Small operators		
	#Domains	#Signed	%	#Domains	#Signed	%
.com	93,464,626	712,162	0.76%	23,349,922	224,251	0.96%
.net	10,412,405	114,687	1.10%	2,598,823	26,409	1.02%
.org	7,501,310	85,166	1.14%	1,871,904	20,342	1.09%
.nl	4,353,518	2,736,393	62.85%	1,087,457	92,791	8.53%
.se	1,153,129	723,332	62.75%	287,115	13,794	4.80%


Example 1: DNSSEC

- We checked DNSSEC practices against guidelines from NIST
- **Result:** operators use (too) small ZSKs (1024-bit) they never roll
- **Similar results for all large operators in .se and .nl**

DNS operator	Master NS†	#Signed	Algorithm	ZSK size	ZSK size	ZSK Rollover
Loopia AB	*.loopia.se.	282,604	✓	✓	⚠	✗
One.com	*.one.com.	221,372	✓	⚠	⚠	✗
Binero AB	*.binero.se.	123,131	✓	✓	⚠	✗


Example 1: DNSSEC

- **Impact: IIS (.se operator) decided to change their incentive policy and set explicit security requirements. This is already having an effect!**



Example 2: DNS resilience

- The **attack on Dyn in 2016** shows the risk of sharing DNS infrastructure
- **Data from OpenINTEL shows that many key customers switched to using two DNS providers**




Example 2: DNS resilience

- Recently started a collaborative project on DNS resilience against DDoS attacks called "**MADDVIPR**"
- Collaboration between UTwente (NL) and CAIDA/UCSD (US)
- Makes extensive use of OpenINTEL to map points of failure, e.g.:
 - *Parent/child delegation mismatches*
 - *Parent/child delegation TTL mismatches*
 - *Shared infrastructure*
 - *Topological bottlenecks*


Example 2: DNS resilience

- We are currently **studying parent/child delegation TTL mismatches**
- These **impact resilience under DDoS** (time to change) and how long a **DNS hijack lingers**





Example 2: DNS resilience

- **Topological diversity** is important to **protect against denial-of-service**
- Vast **majority of .com** domains has **name servers located in a single AS**
- For **.nl** almost **half of domains** have **name servers in at least two AS-es**



Example 2: DNS resilience

- **Majority of .com and .nl have name servers in multiple prefixes, yet 15% only have name servers in a single prefix (IPv4)**
- **Student project: use RIPE Atlas to check if name servers share a location (using speed-of-light triangulation)**



Example 3: put it in a TXT record

- In TXT records we find:


- HTML snippets
- JavaScript
- Windows Powershell code
- Other scripting languages (bash, python, ...)
- PEM-encoded X.509 certificates
- Snippets of DNS zone files
- ... (you literally can't make this stuff up)

→ **Studying these closely, as they appear (partly) malicious**

Hanlon's maxim

“Never attribute to malice, that which can adequately be explained by stupidity”

Drum roll...



And the winner is...

-----BEGIN RSA PRIVATE KEY-----

MIICXwIBAAKBgQC36kRNc5OwG3uDIRy0OxU+9X5LYIhdj0D+ax6BiC27W7iweVwf
wupxsMvLBhhgegptc5tqb1puXPkCxA6aHwhToFtKSEy4fIWTjWoRthy07SSLsFAC
koXP++JxZ7blakqdj5wAyIJ53zSJ7wKImH1Eha7+Myip9LG8HPfsZtY3wIDAQAB

... ← I left this part out...

-----END RSA PRIVATE KEY-----

- Why, oh why, oh why...
- And this is just one example, we've seen quite a few of these.
- What on Earth are these people doing?!

And the winner is...

-----BEGIN RSA PRIVATE KEY-----

MIICXwIBAAKBgQC36kRNc5OwG3uDIRy0OxU+9X5LYIhdj0D+ax6BiC27W7iweVwfwupxsMvLBhhgegptc5tqb1puXPkCxA6aHwhToFtKSEy4fIWTjWoRthy07SSLsFACkoXP++JxZ7blakqdj5wAylJ53zSJ7wKImH1Eha7+Myip9LG8HPfsZtY3wIDAQAB

... **<— I left this part out...**

-----END RSA PRIVATE KEY-----

- Why, oh why, oh why... **oh wait, someone's trying to configure DKIM --- D'oh!**

```
<redacteddomain.tld> IN TXT "v=DKIM1; k=rsa;
p=MIGfMA0GCSqGSIb3DQEBAQUAA4GNADCBiQKBgQC36kRNc5OwG3uDIRy0OxU+9X
5LYIhdj0D+ax6BiC27W7iweVwfwupxsMvLBhhgegptc5tqb1puXPkCxA6aHwhToFtKSEy4fI
WTjWoRthy07SSLsFACkoXP+JxZ7blakqdj5wAylJ53zSJ7wKImH1Eha7+Myip9LG8HPfsZt
Y3wIDAQAB"
```



MATCH!!!



Future of the project

- **Short term challenges:**
 - Ensure **robust data archival**
 - **Expand the number of ccTLDs we cover** ← **can you help us?**
- **Long term goals:**
 - **Be the "long-term memory" of the DNS** -- if someone in 2025 wants to know what DNS looked like in 2015, we have the answer
 - **Have real-world impact**, by improving the performance, resilience and security of the DNS

Questions?

Thank you for your attention!

Visit our webpage for more information:

<https://openintel.nl/>