

I C A N N
ANNUAL GENERAL

63

BARCELONA

20-25 October 2018



IANA Naming Function Review (IFR)

Trang Nguyen
Vice President, GDD Strategic Programs

October 2018



IANA Naming Function Review

The IANA Naming Function Review (IFR) has been initiated

- On 16 September 2018, the [ICANN Board convened the IANA Naming Function Review](#).

What It Is

- New accountability mechanism created as part of the IANA stewardship transition to ensure that PTI meets the needs and expectations of its naming customers
- Review of PTI's performance of the IANA naming function against the contractual requirements set forth in the IANA Naming Function Contract and the IANA Naming Function SOW
- Subsequent reviews shall be convened no less frequently than every five (5) years, measured from the date the previous review was convened

What It Is Not

- Organizational or Specific Review
- Replacement for the work of the Customer Standing Committee ("CSC")

Scope of IFR

- ⦿ Review and evaluate PTI's performance of the IANA naming function against the contractual requirements in the IANA Naming Function Contract and determine whether to recommend any changes to account for the needs of the naming customers and/or community at large
- ⦿ Review and evaluate PTI's openness and transparency procedures and oversight structures PTI's performance, including reporting requirements and budget transparency
- ⦿ Review and evaluate performance and effectiveness of the EC powers on areas related to PTI/the IANA naming functions
- ⦿ Review and evaluate whether there are any systemic issues that are impacting PTI's performance
- ⦿ Initiate public comment and other processes for community input on PTI's performance, including the CSC's
- ⦿ Identify process or other areas for improvement in the performance of the IANA naming function, and of the CSC and EC as it relates to oversight of PTI

IFRT Composition per Bylaws

Members

<u>ccNSO</u>	two (2) <u>ccNSO ccTLD</u> representatives; AND one (1) <u>non-ccNSO ccTLD</u> representative
<u>RySG</u>	two (2) representatives
<u>RrSG</u>	one (1) representative
GNSO CSG	one (1) representative
GNSO NCSG	one (1) representative
GAC	one (1) representative
SSAC	one (1) representative
RSSAC	one (1) representative
ALAC	one (1) representative

Liaisons

CSC	one (1) representative
ASO	one (1) representatives (optional)
IAB	one (1) representative (optional)

Points of Contact for IFRT

PTI	one (1) staff member appointed by PTI Board
ICANN	one (1) staff member appointed by ICANN Board

Co-Chairs:

ccNSO and GNSO shall each select one representative from its appointments to serve as co-chairs of the IFRT

18.8.c: To the extent reasonably possible, the appointing organizations for the IFRT members and liaisons shall work together to achieve an IFRT that is balanced for diversity (including functional, geographic and cultural) and skill, and should seek to broaden the number of individuals participating across the various reviews; provided, that the IFRT should include members from each ICANN Geographic Region, and the ccNSO and Registries Stakeholder Group shall not appoint multiple members who are citizens of countries from the same ICANN Geographic Region.

CSC Effectiveness Review

- The Bylaws requirement for the CSC Effectiveness Review, in its entirety states “17.3.b: The effectiveness of the CSC shall be reviewed two years after the first meeting of the CSC; and then every three years thereafter. **The method of review will be determined by the ccNSO and GNSO** and the findings of the review will be published on the Website.”
- Both the [ccNSO](#) and [GNSO](#) Councils’ adopted the proposed review [CSC Effectiveness Review Template](#)
- Timing of the CSC Effectiveness Review coincides with the IFR, and there is some overlap in scope, so that the [GNSO resolved](#) that the “findings of the [CSC Effectiveness] Review, as adopted by both the GNSO and ccNSO Councils, will become an input to the IANA Naming Function Review”