

ICANN
COMMUNITY FORUM

64

KOBE

9-14 March 2019



IANA Naming Function Review (IFR)



Trang Nguyen
Vice President, GDD Strategic Programs

March 2019

IANA Naming Function Review

The IANA Naming Function Review (IFR) has been initiated

- On 16 September 2018, the [ICANN Board convened the IANA Naming Function Review](#).

What It Is

- New accountability mechanism created as part of the IANA stewardship transition to ensure that PTI meets the needs and expectations of its naming customers
- Review of PTI's performance of the IANA naming function against the contractual requirements set forth in the IANA Naming Function Contract and the IANA Naming Function SOW
- Subsequent reviews shall be convened no less frequently than every five (5) years, measured from the date the previous review was convened

What It Is Not

- Organizational or Specific Review
- Replacement for the work of the Customer Standing Committee ("CSC")

IFRT Composition per Bylaws

Members

<u>ccNSO</u>	two (2) <u>ccNSO ccTLD</u> representatives; AND one (1) <u>non-ccNSO ccTLD</u> representative
<u>RySG</u>	two (2) representatives
<u>RrSG</u>	one (1) representative
GNSO CSG	one (1) representative
GNSO NCSG	one (1) representative
GAC	one (1) representative
SSAC	one (1) representative
RSSAC	one (1) representative
ALAC	one (1) representative

Liaisons

CSC	one (1) representative
ASO	one (1) representatives (optional)
IAB	one (1) representative (optional)

Points of Contact for IFRT

PTI	one (1) staff member appointed by PTI Board
ICANN	one (1) staff member appointed by ICANN Board

Co-Chairs:

ccNSO and GNSO shall each select one representative from its appointments to serve as co-chairs of the IFRT

18.8.c: To the extent reasonably possible, the appointing organizations for the IFRT members and liaisons shall work together to achieve an IFRT that is balanced for diversity (including functional, geographic and cultural) and skill, and should seek to broaden the number of individuals participating across the various reviews; provided, that the IFRT should include members from each ICANN Geographic Region, and the ccNSO and Registries Stakeholder Group shall not appoint multiple members who are citizens of countries from the same ICANN Geographic Region.

Status of the Review Team Slate

Two issues with the review team composition are still pending:

- ⦿ Lack of APAC representation on the review team. The Bylaws require that the “IFRT should include members from each ICANN Geographic Region.”
- ⦿ The ccNSO must appoint "one non-ccNSO ccTLD representative who is associated with a ccTLD registry operator that is not a representative of the ccNSO“. Currently, a qualified volunteer has not been identified. The ccNSO proposed appointing a ccNSO ccTLD representative on an interim basis. This proposal received objection from the GNSO Non-Commercial Stakeholder Group as it does not meet the Bylaws requirements.
- ⦿ Start of the IFR is pending resolution of these issues
- ⦿ ICANN org seeking direction from ICANN Board with regard to next steps
- ⦿ Appointing organizations encouraged to continue to work toward resolution of the issues