




Dansk Internet Forum

Increase trust – remove fraud

Problem

We have over the last years seen a rise in incidents where a .dk domain name has been used as platform for IPR violations/abuse.

The abuse has been referred to as scam shops, where a web shop that appears to be a completely normal web shops actually is selling Counterfeit products.



How to seize a domain name



The person/organization reports the problem to the police



The Police investigates the case and ask the courts for a court order to seize the domain name



The court issues a court order to seize the domain name. DK Hostmaster seizes the domain name. The police becomes the registrant of the domain name



What we do – and what we don't do

DIFO **does** perform control of the identity of the person or company with the right to use a domain name.

We are obliged to do so due to the Domain act



DIFO **does not** perform any control of the content on websites.

This is not compatible with our function as infrastructure provider.



DIFO

DK Hostmaster has taken two initiatives


Mandatory use of NemID for Danish customers

This ensures the identity of the customer as good as possible, and will remove the current possibilities to abuse a Danish identity.

Risk based assessment of foreign customers

All foreign customeres will be explicitly assessed to evaluate the risk based on a number of different parameters. If the risk-score is higher than a given threshold it will trigger that the customer must document his identity.

Risk based assessment of foreign customers



What are we looking at ?








But I can say ...

Characteristics around the creation of the domain name

What we have learnt from 2.000+ seizures

DIFC

The starting point

					
Websites suspected of intellectual property rights infringement in relation to total number of online stores in local zone.	0,43%	0,25%	0,05%	0,17%	0,28%
Websites suspected of intellectual property rights infringement in relation to total number active websites in local zone.	9,08%	9,52%	2,90%	6,33%	6,73%

Source: Analysis by EIT DK ApS. Note: Only the .dk and .se zones were examined 100% by the analysis.

0,28% doesn't sound like a problem
6,73% of all webshop being a scam shop is a BIG problem

DIFCO

Result

Number of customers that have been requested to document their identity during application for a domain name and where no answer has been received or the documentation have been rejected	236*
Number of deleted domain names of existing customers after the execution of identity control where no answer has been received or the documentation has been rejected	2.781**
Seized domain names after order by the court initiated by SØIK	799







** 4 had submitted documentation that was rejected*

*** 3,124 before seizures*

In total 3.816 domain names have been removed from the .dk zone, due to lack of documentation of identity



Result

					 Nov. 2017	 Mar. 2018
Websites suspected of intellectual property rights infringement in relation to total number of online stores in local zone.	0,43%	0,25%	0,05%	0,17%	0,28%	0,04%
Websites suspected of intellectual property rights infringement in relation to total number active websites in local zone.	9,08%	9,52%	2,90%	6,33%	6,73%	1,03%


Source: Analysis by EIT DK ApS. Note: Only the .dk and .se zones were examined 100% by the analysis.

Final remarks – What is the real problem ?

As a ccTLD I provide infrastructure

As a ccTLD I can remove domain name used for distributing counterfeit products (lack of identity)

As a ccTLD I **can not** remove the real problem that has to do with the production of counterfeit products



DIFC