Main Findings
CSC Charter Review
Purpose and Scope

Purpose:
• Does the Charter provide the CSC a sound basis to perform the responsibilities envisioned in IANA Transition Proposal?

Scope:
• The Charter enables the CSC to fulfill its role and responsibilities?
• Elements of work that should be captured in the Charter that were not captured at the time the Charter was originally drafted
Process, progress to date

• Process, Method & timeline provided in Terms of Reference

• Review team appointed by ccNSO Council and RySG
  • 2 members ccNSO
  • 2 members RySG

• Initial discussion with PTI liaison to CSC, CSC members and full CSC.

• Self-assessment of Charter by CSC

• Community Consultation during ICANN60

• Initial almost ready to publish
Major Findings: Mission and Scope

- Narrow Mission and Scope of Responsibilities of the CSC should **NOT** be expanded
  - Focused mission and scope important factor success of CSC and its effectiveness to date
  - Clear Understanding of CSC role and responsibilities clear lines of communication with all relevant stakeholders
Major Findings: Membership

• No change in membership composition

• No Change membership requirements

• Introduction mechanism to deal with changed circumstances of appointed members
Major Findings: Meetings and reporting

• Reduce number of meetings to inform stakeholders from “3 per year” to “at least 2 per year”

• Include in Charter provision that CSC meets with PTI Board

• Maintain practice to provide monthly reports on performance of PTI against SLEs

• Frequency of CSC meetings determined by need over time (operational decision)
Major Findings:
Changing SLE and Remedial Action Procedures

• Mandate CSC to discuss and agree to minor changes of SLE with PTI according to agreed and published change procedures.

• CSC to undertake remedial action to address poor performance according to agreed procedures (Remedial Action Procedures)
  • RAP to be agreed between CSC and PTI
  • RAP not to be included in charter
  • Include review mechanism in charter
Observations

• Concurrence CSC related reviews: CSC Effectiveness and 1\textsuperscript{st} IANA Function review. Concerns:
  • Potential overlap in scope
  • Competing resource demands (CSC volunteers & other stakeholders)
  • Risk of affecting proper function of CSC

• Create synergies, for example coordinating the scope of the reviews

• Travel Support CSC
  • CCWG recommended no travel support for members or liaisons, however need for travel funding members to meet with direct customers and other relevant stakeholders at ICANN meetings (not foreseen).
  • CSC RT recommends CSC members to be eligible for travel funding
Next Steps

• Initial report to be published shortly for public comments post ICANN61 (40 days)
  • Findings & observations
  • Amended charter & redline charter

• RT to update report & recommendations taking into account feedback and input (May 2018)

• Submission Final report and amended charter to ccNSO and GNSO Councils for adoption (May-June 2018)
Questions?