

CCWG new gTLD Auction Proceeds

Update by Peter Vergote (.be)

ICANN
COMMUNITY FORUM

61

SAN JUAN

10–15 March 2018



What is the New gTLD Program?

The goal of the New gTLD Program is to foster competition, innovation and choice in the domain name industry.



- This is an Internet community-driven initiative that is enabling the largest expansion of the domain name system, ever.
- The New gTLD Program is managed by ICANN, which means it has taken shape through the multistakeholder model.
- ICANN expects over **1,200** new generic top-level domains to be introduced into the Internet over the next few years.

What are New gTLD Auctions?



Only one registry can operate a top-level domain. An auction is the mechanism of last resort for resolving contention between two or more applicants for a string through the New gTLD program.

- Most contention sets are resolved amongst the applicants prior to an ICANN auction of last resort (and ICANN expects this trend to continue)
 - To date, only 16 of the 218 contentions sets utilized a last resort auction conducted by ICANN's authorized auction service provider.
- Proceeds generated from auctions of last resort are being separated and reserved until the multistakeholder community develops a plan for their use. This plan must be authorized by the ICANN Board.

What are Proceeds?

ICANN Operating and Reserve Funds

Contain day-to-day cash and reserves for ICANN operations.

Tied to ICANN budget and planning processes.

New gTLD Applicant Evaluation Fees

Pay for application evaluation costs, historical development costs repayment and “hard to predict” costs, including risks.

Unspent application fees fully segregated in dedicated bank and investment accounts.

New gTLD Program Auction Proceeds

“Ring-fenced” proceeds from last resort auctions to resolve string contention conducted via ICANN-authorized auction provider.

Proceeds (net of direct auctions costs) fully segregated in separate bank and investment accounts.

Development of CCWG on Auction Proceeds

1

In March 2015, the Chair of the GNSO asked all of the SO/AC Chairs if there was interest in creating a Cross Community Working Group (CCWG) to determine how ICANN should use the New gTLD auction proceeds.

2

After broad SO/AC interest, the community began initial discussions at ICANN53 in Buenos Aires. The outcomes of these discussions were published in a Discussion Paper, which defined a CCWG as a way forward. The Discussion Paper was issued public comment in Sep 2015.

3

After incorporating comments received, the community nominated a Drafting Team (DT) to begin developing a Charter for the CCWG. The DT presented a draft Charter in June 2016 at ICANN56 in Helsinki for additional community feedback.

4

The DT submitted the final Charter to Chartering Organizations in Oct 2016 at ICANN57 in Hyderabad.

5

The new gTLD Auction Proceeds CCWG (CCWG-AP) was formed in Jan 2017.

Goals and Objectives of the CCWG

The CCWG-AP was formed in **January 2017**. It is chartered by all of ICANN's Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees and, as of September 2017, has:



26 members



49 participants



30 observers

The CCWG-AP Charter defines its goals & objectives as:

- Developing a proposal(s) on the mechanism(s) to allocate the new gTLD auction proceeds. This will be provided to the ICANN Board for consideration
- As part of this proposal, the CCWG-AP is expected to review:
 - The scope of fund allocation
 - Due diligence requirements to uphold accountability and proper use of funds
 - How to deal with directly related matters such as potential or actual conflicts of interest
- This group will **not** be making determinations on particular uses of the proceeds (i.e. which specific projects or organizations are to receive funding)

Legal and Fiscal Constraints

As part of its deliberations, the CCWG-AP is required to factor in the following legal and fiduciary constraints:



Consistency with ICANN's Mission as set out in Bylaws:

Due to ICANN's 501(c)(3) tax exempt, public charity status, it must adhere to its Mission and act exclusively in service to its charitable purpose.



Private benefit concern:

As an 501(c)(3) organization, ICANN cannot provide its funds towards the private benefit of individuals.



Must not be used for political activity:

ICANN is barred from engaging in any activity that intervenes in a political campaign for a candidate for public office.



Should not be used for lobbying activities:

ICANN engages in a small amount of activity that is classified as lobbying, which in the U.S. focuses on attempts to influence legislation.

Legal and Fiscal Constraints (cont.)



Conflict of interest considerations:

Taking decisions without conflict of interest is paramount. ICANN is prohibited from benefitting insiders to ICANN.



Procedural concerns:

ICANN will always be responsible for making sure that funds are provided to the appropriate organization both in confirmation of mission and in making sure that funds are provided in a manner consistent with ICANN's 501(c)(3) status.



Financial and fiduciary concerns

The Board and Officers of ICANN hold fiduciary duties to the organization to make sure that self-dealing does not occur and their private interests are not benefited through ICANN's decision making and actions.



Learn more ▶

<https://community.icann.org/x/CbDRAw>

CCWG-AP Work Plan

- Phase 1: Initial Run Through of Charter Questions
- Phase 2: Address Charter Questions that Require Immediate Work and Response before Moving Forward
- **Phase 3: Compile List of Possible Mechanisms that could be Considered by CCWG and Consultation with Identified Experts to Obtain Input and Briefing on List**
- Phase 4: Determine Which Mechanism(s) Demonstrate Most Potential to Meet CCWG Expectations and Conform with Legal and Fiduciary Constraints
- Phase 5: Answer Charter Questions as Organized by Phase 1 for Mechanism(s) Determined in Phase 4

Deliverables and Reporting

Work Plan

- As a first step, the CCWG developed and adopted a work plan and associated plan of activity

Initial Report

- CCWG is expected, at a minimum, to publish an initial report for public comment

Final Report

- to be submitted to the chartering organisations for their consideration


Board consideration

- The Board will consider the Final Report, following adoption by the Chartering Organizations.

Reporting

- The Chair(s) of the CCWG shall ensure regular updating of the Chartering Organizations on the progress made.

Process Development for Auction Proceeds Allocation



Questions for the CCWG-AP to Consider

1. What framework should be designed and implemented to allow for the disbursement of new gTLD Auction Proceeds, taking into account the legal and fiduciary constraints outlined above as well as the existing memo on legal and fiduciary principles?
2. As part of this framework, what will be the limitations of fund allocation, factoring in that the funds need to be used in line with ICANN's mission while at the same time recognizing the diversity of communities that ICANN serves?
3. What safeguards are to be put in place to ensure that the creation of the framework, as well as its execution and operation, respect the legal and fiduciary constraints that have been outlined in this memo?
4. What aspects should be considered to define a timeframe, if any, for the funds allocation mechanism to operate as well as the disbursements of funds?
5. What conflict of interest provisions and procedures need to be put in place as part of this framework for fund allocations?
6. Should any priority or preference be given to organizations from developing economies, projects implemented in such regions and/or under represented groups?
7. Should ICANN oversee the solicitation and evaluation of proposals, or delegate to or coordinate with another entity, including, for example, a foundation created for this purpose?

Questions for the CCWG-AP to Consider (cont.)

8. What aspects should be considered to determine an appropriate level of overhead that supports the principles outlined in this charter?
9. What is the governance framework that should be followed to guide distribution of the proceeds? The issues addressed by a governance framework could include (but does not have to be limited to):
 - a) What are the specific measures of success that should be reported upon?
 - b) What are the criteria and mechanisms for measuring success and performance?
 - c) What level of evaluation and reporting should be implemented to keep the community informed about how the funds are ultimately used?
10. To what extent (and, if so, how) could ICANN, the Organization or a constituent part thereof, be the beneficiary of some of the auction funds?
11. Should a review mechanism be put in place to address possible adjustments to the framework following the completion of the CCWG-AP's work and implementation of the framework should changes occur that affect the original recommendations (for example, changes to legal and fiduciary requirements and/or changes to ICANN's mission)?

ccNSO Appointed members

- Stephen Deehake
- Peter Vergote
- Pablo Rodriguez

Others: <https://community.icann.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=63150102>

Can I participate?

ICANN61 CCWG-AP sessions

- **Sun, 11 March**
17:00 – 18:30: room 104
- **Thu, 15 March**
08:30 – 10:15: room 104

Learn more about CCWG-AP and its work:
<https://community.icann.org/x/yJXDAw>

How to get involved?
<https://www.icann.org/news/announcement-2-2016-12-13-en>

Engage with ICANN – Thank You and Questions



One World, One Internet

Visit us at icann.org



[@icann](https://twitter.com/icann)



facebook.com/icannorg



youtube.com/icannnews



flickr.com/icann



linkedin/company/icann



slideshare/icannpresentations



soundcloud/icann