

# Minutes

ccNSO Council meeting 214  
Thursday, 13 February 2025 at 12:00 UTC

[Decisions](#)

[Action Items](#)

[Attendees](#)

[Apologies received](#)

[Background and supporting documents](#)

[Agenda](#)

[1. Welcome and roll call](#)

## [ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS](#)

[2. Relevant Correspondence](#)

[3. Minutes & Action Items](#)

[4. Intermeeting Decisions \(since meeting 212, January 2025\)](#)

[5. Intermeeting Decisions Triage Committee](#)

## [UPDATES](#)

[6. Update ECA & CSC \(written updates\)](#)

[7. Update Working Groups \(written updates\)](#)

[8. Update Liaisons \(written updates\)](#)

[9. Update ccNSO Website redesign](#)

[10. Progress ccPDP3-RM and ccPDP4-IDNs Board Consideration](#)

[11. Update CWG, IFRT and RRSAC working party \(Peter Koch\)](#)

[12. Update Chair, Vice-chairs, Councillors, Regional Organisations and Secretariat](#)

## [ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS & DECISIONS](#)

[13. Board Seat 12 Nomination Process by the Nomination Process Manager](#)

[14. Roles and Responsibilities Councillors, including Chair and Vice-Chair Election](#)

[15. Appointment members and chairs/vice chairs to committees and working groups, if any](#)

## [SUBSTANTIVE MATTERS & DECISIONS](#)

[16. Assessment feedback on change of affiliation of elected Councillor](#)

[17. Next steps developing roadmap increasing capacity of ccNSO](#)

[18. Progress Pilot Holistic Review](#)

[19. Preliminary Block Schedule ICANN82](#)

[20. AOB](#)

[21. Next Council Meetings](#)

[22. Adjourn](#)

## Decisions

- **Resolution 214-01**

The ccNSO Council appoints the following people to:

- The IGLC: Devesh Tyagi (.in), Davi Severiano Goia Cabral D'almada (.gw), Maria Camila Rojas Azula (.co), Margarita Valdés (.cl)
- The MPC: Devesh Tyagi (.in), Heidy Isabel Hernández Lopez (.gt), Erdem Bayrak (.tr)

The secretariat is requested to inform the committees and persons appointed and publish this resolution as soon as possible. This resolution becomes effective upon publication.

- **Resolution 214-02**

The ccNSO Council requests the ccNSO Guideline Review Committee (GRC) to document the current practice regarding the change of affiliation of elected Councillors, and include it in the review of the ccNSO Council Election Process Guideline, which is already undertaken. If, in documenting the practice, issues become apparent, the GRC is requested to suggest to Council ways in which these issues may be addressed. The secretariat is requested to publish this resolution as soon as feasible. This resolution becomes effective seven (7) days after publication. The secretariat is requested to inform the GRC, as soon as this resolution becomes effective.

## Action Items

- **Action item 214-01**

Councillors are requested to complete the Council 360 review surveys by 3 March

- **Action item 214-02**

GRC is requested to draft a proposed Council comment on the Proposed Updates to the Operating Standards for Specific Reviews

- **Action item 214-03**

The ccNSO Secretariat and Peter Koch are requested to draft input for Council's discussion and consideration, regarding input for the work by the RSS GWG.

- **Action Item 214-04**

Regarding the appointment of the members to IGLC and MPC, the secretariat is requested to inform the respective working groups and the secretariat is requested to publish this resolution as soon as possible on the ccNSO website.

- **Action Item 214-05**

The Secretariat is requested to inform the GRC that the review of the ccNSO Council Selection Process Guideline should include current practice when a Councillor or Councillor-elect changes affiliation.

- **Action Item 214-06**

The Secretariat is requested to send out meeting invites for a closed Council discussion, to discuss the next steps for the Councillor-elect who changed affiliation.

## Attendees

### Africa

- Ali Hadji Mmadi, .km
- Biyi Oladipo, .ng (Vice-Chair)
- Molehe Wesi, .za

### Asia/Australia/Pacific

- Jordan Carter, .au (Vice-Chair)
- Ai-Chin Lu
- Jiankang Yao, .cn

### Europe

- Chris Disspain
- Peter Koch, .de
- Nick Wenban-Smith, .uk

### Latin America/ Caribbean

- Demi Getschko, .br
- Jenifer Lopez, .pa
- Alejandra Reynoso (Chair)

### North America

- Sean Copeland, .vi
- Stephen Deerhake, .as
- Pablo Rodriguez, .pr

### NomCom appointed Councillors

- Jaijit Bhattacharyya
- Olga Cavalli
- Wafa Dahmani

### Liaisons to ccNSO, appointed by ALAC and GNSO

- Desiree Miloshevic, GNSO liaison to ccNSO Council
- Laura Margolis, ALAC liaison to ccNSO Council

### ICANN Staff

- Joke Braeken
- Bart Boswinkel
- Claudia Ruiz

### Invited Guests

- None

## Apologies received

Molehe Wesi  
Ai-Chin Lu

## Background and supporting documents

- Council workspace for this meeting:  
<https://icann-community.atlassian.net/wiki/x/6YslBg?atlOrigin=eyJpIjoiYzQwMGYyODA1MzQwNDVhNDIhNjRkOWRkOGRmMTFIYWUiLCJwIjoiYyJ9>
- ccNSO correspondence: statements and responses:  
<https://ccnso.icann.org/en/about/statements.htm>

## Agenda

### 1. Welcome and roll call

Welcome by Alejandra Reynoso, the Chair of the ccNSO Council. Alejandra thanks all who contributed to the 2024 Achievements Report and confirmed that good feedback was received. Alejandra furthermore mentioned that the Board Seat 12 Nomination Process agenda item is merely an update: no-one needs to recuse themselves from the meeting. There is no AOB to the proposed agenda as circulated.

#### *a. Establish whether meeting is Quorate*

Claudia Ruiz, ccNSO Secretariat, confirmed the meeting was quorate, and that apologies were sent by Molehe Wesi and Ai-Chin Lu.

#### *b. Update Statement of Interest*

No updates were disclosed.

## ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

### 2. Relevant Correspondence

No relevant correspondence was shared

### 3. Minutes & Action Items

#### *a. Minutes Meeting 213: circulated 28 January 2025*

The 213th meeting's minutes are approved. No questions or comments were raised.

#### *b. Action Items*

All action items are completed. No questions or comments were received.

- **From meeting 212:** Alejandra Reynoso noted that the updates included matters of importance for the ccNSO Council to consider. Firstly, the upcoming public comment on the IANA Function Review (IFR), and secondly, regarding the Root Server System Governance Working Group (RSS GWG). Peter Koch, ccNSO appointee to both IFRT and RSS GWG, suggested that the Council should examine these topics in more detail. Discussion scheduled for meeting 214.  
**Completed see item 11 a.**

- **Action Item 213-01**  
 As soon as possible and after adoption of the resolution, the Chair of the ccNSO Council is requested to submit the *ccNSO response to the request for public comments on the Continuous Improvement Framework* to ICANN, on behalf of the Council and the GRC.  
**Completed**
- **Action item 213-02**  
 The ccNSO Secretariat is requested to keep the progress of the Pilot Holistic Review as a standing item on the Council agenda.  
**Completed**
- **Action item 213-03**  
 The secretariat is requested to publish the resolution regarding the adoption of the Council Election Report as soon as possible and to inform the GRC about the Council request to review the ccNSO Council Election Guideline, taking into account the recommendations by the Council Election Process Manager as included in the 2025 Election Report.  
**Completed**
- **Action item 213-04**  
 Regarding the appointment of the leadership team to the second ccNSO Finance WG, the secretariat is requested to inform the working group and the secretariat is requested to publish this resolution as soon as possible on the ccNSO website.  
**Completed**
- **Action item 213-05**  
 Regarding the adoption of the DASC Review Report, the secretariat is requested to inform the working group and ask the working group to take appropriate action. The secretariat is requested to publish this resolution as soon as possible on the ccNSO website.  
**Completed**
- **Action item 213-06**  
 The ccNSO Council asked the DASC review team, with the help of the ccNSO Secretariat, to document their review methodology by Council meeting 214, to serve as a blueprint for future reviews of the effectiveness and efficiency of other committees in the coming years.  
**Pending/ongoing**
- **Action item 213-07**  
 The ccNSO Secretariat is requested to circulate the outline and background materials with all invited, prior to the virtual Council workshop on 23 January.  
**Completed**
- **Action item 213-08**  
 The ccNSO Secretariat is requested to circulate to Council the proposed timeline and background materials and to take all other measures necessary to start the next round of Council 360 reviews.  
**Completed**

#### 4. Intermeeting Decisions (since meeting 212, January 2025)

212-A: Approval Timeline 360 Review

- **Action item 214-01**

Councillors are requested to complete the 360 review surveys by 3 March

#### 5. Intermeeting Decisions Triage Committee

Triage committee suggest that the Council, with assistance of the ccNSO Guidelines Review Committee, provides comments on Proposed Updates to the Operating Standards for Specific Reviews

(<https://www.icann.org/en/public-comment/proceeding/proposed-updates-to-the-operating-standards-for-specific-reviews-23-12-2024>)

Alejandra Reynoso confirmed that GRC started looking into this. No questions or comments were raised regarding this item.

- **Action item 214-02**

GRC is requested to draft a proposed Council comment on the Proposed Updates to the Operating Standards for Specific Reviews

#### UPDATES

Alejandra Reynoso suggested skipping items 6, 7 and 8, in the interest of time. No questions or comments were raised.

#### 6. Update ECA & CSC (written updates)

#### 7. Update Working Groups (written updates)

- a. *ccPDP3-Review Mechanism - Dormant*
- b. *ccPDP4-IDN - Dormant*
- c. *DASC*
- d. *FIN2 WG (New)*
- e. *GRC*
- f. *IGLC*
- g. *MPC*
- h. *OMC*
- i. *PGA WG*
- j. *SOPC*
- k. *Tech WG*
- l. *TLD-OPS SC*
- m. *Triage Committee*
- n. *UAC*
- o. *CIP-CCG*
- p. *Planning Prioritization Process group*
- q. *RZERC*
- r. *RSS GWG*

## **8. Update Liaisons (written updates)**

- a. Update ccNSO Liaison to ALAC**
- b. Update ccNSO Liaison to GNSO Council**
- c. Update ccNSO Liaison to UASG**

## **9. Update ccNSO Website redesign**

Alejandra Reynoso mentioned that ICANN org completed the wireframing, and a small team of ccNSO volunteers and staff is now preparing content for the website, where needed.

## **10. Progress ccPDP3-RM and ccPDP4-IDNs Board Consideration**

### **a. Update ccPDP4-IDN ccTLDs**

Bart Boswinkel, ccPDP4 Issue Manager, mentioned that the ccPDP4-IDN policy is currently under Board review: the Board Caucus started reviewing the ccPDP4 recommendations, comparing them with the Fast Track Process and the GNSO EPDP. In developing its policy, the ccNSO was asked to align the policy proposals with related GNSO PDP efforts. The caucus will continue to meet.

### **b. Debrief conversation ccPDP3-RM**

On 30 January, a small group met with ICANN legal and the Board Caucus, led by Becky Burr, dealing with the proposed policy. Jordan Carter, Council Vice Chair, mentioned it was a good conversation. ICANN legal and the Board Caucus raised some questions for further clarification. The meeting concluded with no unresolved questions, and the assumption is that the implementation process will kick off swiftly. Stephen Deerhake, Councillor from the North American Region, agreed with the update and assessment by Jordan. Nick Wenban-Smith, Councillor from the European region, confirmed the same, while suggesting that it might have been better to have had that conversation earlier in the process, since providing a written answer to the 45 questions required a lot of time and effort. Alejandra Reynoso concluded by saying that we learned from the process.

## **11. Update CWG, IFRT and RRSAC working party (Peter Koch)**

Alejandra Reynoso recalled that Peter Koch, Councillor from the European region, had previously raised the work of the IANA Function Review (IFR) and the Root Server System Governance Working Group (RSS GWG). Peter, as one of the ccNSO appointees to both groups, suggested that the Council should examine these topics in more detail.

Peter Koch provided a detailed update on the second IANA Functions Review (IFR2). Highlights:

- This is the second time the naming functions contract is under review.
- IFR team consists of appointees from various stakeholders, including three from ccNSO: Olga Cavalli, Sami Ali, and Peter Koch.
- ICANN staff provides support, and an editor is working on the draft report, expected to be ready around ICANN82.
- No systemic issues found in the review.
- Performance of PTI is being evaluated against the naming functions contract.
- The CSC conducts a monthly review of PTI's reports, checking them against SLAs.

The CSC does not handle individual cases; it focuses on systemic issues.

- SLAs measure defined metrics, but they may not fully reflect customer experience.
- A carry-over issue from the previous review involved the addition of a non-ccNSO member, which was a challenge.
- Previous review recommendations included adjusting the frequency of reviews. The new review team supports this adjustment.
- The ccPDP-RM has introduced a potential intersection with the Ombuds team in the customer complaint process. The Ombuds team's role in unresolved IANA complaints has never been executed. Consideration for ccNSO: Could there be confusion between review mechanisms and new policy tools?

Peter furthermore mentioned that the gTLDs recently held a short session in Istanbul, and he suggested a similar approach for the ccNSO. Peter's proposal would be to host a webinar-style awareness sessions to introduce the IFR report to ccTLDs in a more lightweight format than the public comment process. The purpose would be to raise awareness and introduce key topics to ccTLDs. Bart Boswinkel, ccNSO Secretariat, raised a concern that scheduling a webinar before ICANN82 may reduce interest in the public comment period, as the lead-up to Seattle is already packed. He suggested holding the webinar later. Peter clarified that the idea was to gather input before finalizing the draft report to identify overlooked aspects, so it might not make sense to hold the webinar once the report went out.

Alejandra Reynoso inquired about the adjustments suggested from the previous review and whether they had been implemented. Peter clarified that the suggestion was not included in the review report but arose during a subsequent public comment period. It was neither denied nor delayed but had already been concluded by the team.

Bart Boswinkel pointed out the review timelines:

- IFR occurs every five years.
- CSC review is every three years.
- Potential confusion arises regarding when the review clock starts.

Peter clarified that the clock should start ticking at the conclusion of the review, not at the beginning. No further questions were raised. Next steps include monitoring the draft report's release and determining the best timing for awareness sessions, if any.

Peter furthermore provided an update on the Root Server System Governance Working Group (RSS GWG), highlighting key discussions and developments. Highlights:

- The group has a large number of members, including 12 root server operators, RZ maintainer, IANA, RySG, and ccNSO.
- ccNSO appointees: Peter Koch and Luis Diego Espinoza.
- Focus areas include governance issues such as:
  - Managing voluntary resignation or shutdown of an RZ operator.
  - Balancing the power of RZ operators regarding joining, leaving, or being succeeded.
  - Establishing a governance structure to address these issues.
- The group is on its second iteration; the first attempt stalled and was restarted.
- Financing concerns:
  - Funding for the governance structure itself.
  - Funding for root server operators (currently self-funded).
  - Potential financial regulations or obligations resulting from governance, such as SLAs or reporting requirements.
- Growing external attention to root server governance and financial sustainability.
- Structural considerations:



- Should governance be similar to PTI or independent of ICANN with a more formalized structure?
- Both sides have expressed opposition to a domain tax imposed on ccTLDs.
- Current model under discussion: Equal representation for operators and TLDs (12 seats for root server operators, 6 for ccTLDs, 6 for gTLDs).
- Challenge: Finding six regular ccTLD representatives.
- Possible solution: Weighted voting to adjust for participation gaps.
- ICANN Board Chair attended ICANN81 to express concerns about slow progress.
- Ongoing debate about whether governance should be inside or outside ICANN and financial implications.

Pablo Rodriguez, Council member from the North American region, questioned whether ICANN should finance root servers instead of entities like NASA. Peter clarified that IANA is involved as an operator, but U.S. government-affiliated operators have not requested funding. Chris Disspain, ccNSO Councillor from the European region, noted that U.S.-based root servers were initially university-run and volunteer-based, but operational costs have since increased. Peter emphasized that root server operations should not be profit-driven and should not sell data. He furthermore added that governance must prevent corporate takeovers and ensure technical criteria remain the primary selection factor.

Peter referenced ongoing discussions in Istanbul about governance and its intersection with broader internet governance models, including GAC's involvement. Pablo highlighted the credibility, respect, and educational value of university-hosted L-root instances.

Alejandra inquired about the timeline for governance implementation, where Peter outlined two possible approaches:

- Inject unresolved questions into the new governance structure.
- Resolve key issues first before formalizing the structure.

Peter suggested forming a ccNSO working group or subgroup to develop a position on RSS governance.

Alejandra asked if a formal position should be prepared for public comment. Peter agreed, noting the importance of establishing a written stance to prevent individual representation.

- **Action item 214-03**

The ccNSO Secretariat and Peter Koch are requested to draft input for Council's discussion and consideration, regarding input for the work by the RSS GWG.

## **12. Update Chair, Vice-chairs, Councillors, Regional Organisations and Secretariat**

Alejandra referenced the meeting she had with the ICANN CEO on 29 January and the recent update to APTLD. Alejandra also referred to a webinar for Caribbean ccTLDs, which is further discussed under item 13.b

## **ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS & DECISIONS**

Alejandra Reynoso repeated what was stated at the outset, that there is no requirement for Nick Wenban-Smith, candidate for Board seat 12, to recuse himself. The subject under discussion is of a non-substantive nature. The purpose of the update is to inform on progress and the GRC initiatives.

### **13. Board Seat 12 Nomination Process by the Nomination Process Manager**

#### **a. Update Voting Process**

Joke Braeken, Board Seat 12 Nomination Process Manager, confirmed that voting opened on 4 February and will close on Tuesday, 18 February, at 23:59 UTC. The two candidates, Byron Holland and Nick Wenban-Smith have already participated in a Q&A session at ICANN 81 and a virtual Q&A on 4 February with ccNSO members and the broader ccTLD community. Joke furthermore added that as of approximately one hour prior to meeting start, 82 votes had been received, representing 46% of the total emissaries, and that there is no quorum requirement under the ccNSO Rules and applicable guidelines. No irregularities have been reported.

#### **b. Continuous Improvement initiatives**

##### **i. Regional promotion**

Pablo Rodriguez, ccNSO Councillor for the North American region, reported on the Trinidad and Tobago IGF, which took place on 31 January. The event was organized by the Caribbean ITU and included representatives from 29 island states. During the session, a presentation was given on the ccNSO, highlighting its benefits and promoting participation in the Board Seat 12 vote. The importance of engagement in the voting process was emphasized. Pablo added that an ongoing discussion is planned to continue within the LAC space at the upcoming ICANN meeting in Seattle. Pablo suggested that the approach taken during this event could potentially be applied to other regions worldwide.

Alejandra Reynoso, who also attended the outreach session, noted that it was well received. However, she pointed out that it is difficult to measure its success at this stage. She inquired whether there were any expectations regarding outcomes from the session. Pablo responded that the event helped to raise awareness about the ccNSO. The impact of this outreach could be assessed by tracking any increase in participation in the voting process and potentially identifying three to five new ccNSO members. However, the results remain to be seen.

Alejandra asked if there had been participation in other events that promoted the vote, as it would be beneficial to include this information in the report. Tracking the number of incoming votes would provide useful insights.

No further questions or comments were raised.

##### **ii. Vote tracker**

Joke Braeken, Board Seat 12 Nomination Process Manager, mentioned that the list of Territories involved in the selection process is published and updated daily. This list includes details on which Territories have voted and their corresponding ICANN Region, and is available in a Google Sheet for consultation and is included in the Election Notice. The sheet also automatically calculates the number of received and pending votes per region.

Regarding Caribbean ccTLD participation in the voting process, Joke noted that, to date, 4 out of 18 ccTLD Managers have cast their votes. Additionally, it was highlighted that Caribbean ccTLD Managers who are members of the ccNSO are spread across different ICANN geographic regions: EU (6), LAC (12), and NA (2).

Wafa Dahmani, ccNSO Councillor from the African region, offered to reach out to African ccTLD Managers who have not yet voted and encourage them to participate. Alejandra supported this initiative and requested to be informed once the outreach was completed.

No further questions or comments were raised.

#### **14. Roles and Responsibilities Councillors, including Chair and Vice-Chair Election**

Alejandra Reynoso informed the attendees that an important agenda item for the Seattle meeting will be the review and assignment of individual roles and responsibilities in various committees. The current overview of roles and responsibilities is available on the ccNSO website. Alejandra provided a heads up that during the Seattle meeting, Council members will be asked to sign up for one of these roles, and they are encouraged to start considering their preferences.

Alejandra furthermore added that additionally, the annual chair and vice-chair elections will take place as part of the role assignment process. Alejandra invited Council members to think about potential nominations, secondments, or whether they would like to stand as chair or vice-chair. It was noted that the chair and vice-chair(s) are expected to be from different ICANN regions. Formal nominations, secondments, and acceptances will occur during the Council meeting in Seattle. Alejandra provided this information as a heads-up to ensure members are prepared for the process. No further questions or comments were raised.

#### **15. Appointment members and chairs/vice chairs to committees and working groups, if any**

The ccNSO Council approved the resolution regarding the appointment of the various members to the committees and working groups. The resolution was moved by Pablo Rodriguez, and seconded by Wafa Dahmani. No questions or comments were raised regarding this agenda item.

- **Resolution 214-01**

The ccNSO Council appoints the following people to:

- The IGLC: Devesh Tyagi (.in), Davi Severiano Goia Cabral D'almada (.gw), Maria Camila Rojas Azula (.co), Margarita Valdés (.cl)
- The MPC: Devesh Tyagi (.in), Heidi Isabel Hernández Lopez (.gt), Erdem Bayrak (.tr)

The secretariat is requested to inform the committees and persons appointed and publish this resolution as soon as possible. This resolution becomes effective upon publication.

- **Action Item 214-04**

Regarding the appointment of the members to IGLC and MPC, the secretariat is requested to inform the respective working groups and the secretariat is requested to publish this resolution as soon as possible on the ccNSO website.

### **SUBSTANTIVE MATTERS & DECISIONS**

#### **16. Assessment feedback on change of affiliation of elected Councillor**

The ccNSO Council approved the resolution regarding the formalizing of the regular practice regarding changes in affiliation, for inclusion in the applicable ccNSO Guideline. The resolution was moved by Wafa Dahmani, and seconded by Pablo Rodriguez. Sean Copeland and Peter Koch abstained

Alejandra Reynoso mentioned that just before the previous Council meeting, and following the closure of the election process, the Council was informed that Nicklas is no longer affiliated with the .se ccTLD manager. Alejandra confirmed that in response, the Regular Practice in handling such situations was followed. This practice includes the following steps:

- Confirming Regional Support – Consultation with ccTLD managers from the relevant region to confirm their support for the selected candidate.
- Former Employer Check – If the candidate’s former employer is a ccTLD, they are approached unless an objection has been raised.
- New Employer Check – If applicable, the candidate’s new employer is also asked for a no-objection, provided no prior objections have been raised.

Both the confirmation of regional support and the former employer check were completed when Nicklas informed the Council about his change in affiliation. Additionally, he confirmed that there was no objection from the company he currently consults for to assume his role as Councillor. Alejandra suggested addressing the specific case of Nicklas later in the agenda. However, at this stage, a suggestion was presented to formalize this regular practice within the Council Election Guideline, which is under review by the Guidelines Review Committee (GRC).

Chris Disspain, Councillor from the European Region, suggested the ccNSO membership should be consulted on this. Alejandra confirmed that the proposed revised guideline will go out to the ccNSO membership for review and comments, before being adopted by Council.

- **Resolution 214-02**

The ccNSO Council requests the ccNSO Guideline Review Committee (GRC) to document the current practice regarding the change of affiliation of elected Councillors, and include it in the review of the ccNSO Council Election Process Guideline, which is already undertaken. If, in documenting the practice, issues become apparent, the GRC is requested to suggest to Council ways in which these issues may be addressed. The secretariat is requested to publish this resolution as soon as feasible. This resolution becomes effective seven (7) days after publication. The secretariat is requested to inform the GRC, as soon as this resolution becomes effective.

- **Action Item 214-05**

The Secretariat is requested to inform the GRC that the review of the ccNSO Council Selection Process Guideline should include current practice when a Councillor or Councillor-elect changes affiliation.

Alejandra Reynoso noted that the .se ccTLD manager and Nicklas Pousette’s current consulting organization have no objections to him continuing in his elected role. However, some European ccNSO members expressed concerns about his change of affiliation and withdrew their support. This differs from past cases, where similar changes occurred without objections. Given this, Nicklas was advised to step down, but the final decision remains his, and he is still considering his position.

Biyi Oladipo, councillor from the African Region, highlighted that there is no formally documented process for handling such situations. He suggested that, since some voters have withdrawn support while Nicklas still wishes to continue, the decision should be left to the European region. He stressed the importance of establishing a clear documented process going forward.

Chris Disspain, councillor from the European Region, argued that a precedent exists, and the Council should rely on past practice. Given the objections, he proposed that if Nicklas intends to continue, the European election should be re-run. He also suggested setting a formal deadline for Nicklas to decide before taking office.

Nick Wenban-Smith, councillor from the European Region, expressed surprise at the existence of this practice and noted that his ccTLD had not been consulted. Bart Boswinkel, ccNSO Secretariat, clarified that the Emissaries were consulted as the designated representatives.

Biya Oladipo, councillor from the African Region, pointed out that this situation is unique because it is occurring before the individual assumes office, unlike past cases where affiliation changes happened mid-term. Alejandra Reynoso clarified that the objections were not personal but rather related to the implications of the affiliation change.

Peter Koch, councillor from the European Region, acknowledged inconsistencies in how such cases have been handled and emphasized the need for clear documentation. He suggested that the Guidelines Review Committee (GRC) should develop a formal proposal.

Chris Disspain, councillor from the European Region, further questioned past categorization, noting that Alejandra had changed jobs mid-term under the same process, while Nicklas' case differs as he has not yet taken his seat. He emphasized that if objections remain, the election should be re-run, though Nicklas would be free to stand again.

Alejandra confirmed that current guidelines do not explicitly address this situation, so the final decision remains with Nicklas. Chris Disspain suggested holding a special closed Council meeting to discuss next steps and setting a deadline for Nicklas to respond.

- **Action Item 214-06**

The Secretariat is requested to send out a calendar invite for a closed Council-only discussion, to discuss the next steps for the Councillor-elect who changed affiliation.

## **17. Next steps developing roadmap increasing capacity of ccNSO**

In the interest of time, Bart Boswinkel, ccNSO Secretariat, deferred the introduction of the materials to another moment in time.

## **18. Progress Pilot Holistic Review**

Demi Getschko, ccNSO appointee, confirmed the next meeting would be held shortly. Bart Boswinkel, ccNSO secretariat, suggested updates to be deferred until after that meeting.

## **19. Preliminary Block Schedule ICANN82**

Alejandra Reynoso noted that the block schedule has not changed much compared to previous versions shared with the council. She invited all to attend the 25 February newcomer session.

## **20. AOB**

No items were suggested.

## **21. Next Council Meetings**

Seattle changes to daylight saving time (Pacific Daylight Saving Time, PDT / UTC -7) on Sunday, March 9, 2025 at 2:00 am local time ⚠️

- 27 February 2025 | 19:00 UTC, ccNSO Council Prep call for ICANN82
- 9 March 2025 | 18:30 UTC - 10:30 local, Council Working session (ICANN82)
- 13 March 2025 | 22:15 UTC - 13:15 local, Meeting 215 (ICANN82)
- 17 April 2025 | 21:00 UTC, Meeting 216
- 15 May 2025 | 12:00 UTC, Meeting 217
- 12 June 2025 | time TBC, Meeting 218 (ICANN83)
- 17 July 2025 | 18:00 UTC, Meeting 219
- 21 August 2025 | 12:00 UTC Meeting 220

- 18 September 2025 | 21:00 UTC Meeting 221
- 30 October 2025 | time TBC, Meeting 222 (ICANN84)
- 20 November 2025 | 12:00 UTC, Meeting 223
- 18 December 2025 | 18:00 UTC, Meeting 224

## **22. Adjourn**