

ccNSO Council Face to Face Conference - Barcelona  
24 October 2018

**Attendees:**

**AF**

Abdalla Omari, .ke  
Abibu Ntahigiye, .tz  
Souleymane Oumtanaga, .ci

**AP**

Debbie Monahan  
Hiro Hotta, .jp  
Young Eum Lee, .kr

**EU**

Katrina Sataki, .lv  
Giovanni Seppia, .eu  
Peter Vergote, .be

**LAC**

Alejandra Reynoso, .gt  
Demi Getschko, .br  
Margarita Valdes, .cl

**NA**

Byron Holland, .ca  
Pablo Rodriguez, .pr  
Stephen Deerhake, .as

**NomCom**

Christelle Vaval

**Liaisons/Observers**

Biyi Oladipo  
Philippe Fouquart

**ICANN Staff**

Bart Boswinkel  
Kim Carlson  
Joke braeken

**1. Welcome and Apologies**

Attendance list is available at: <http://ccnso.icann.org/about/council/attendance.htm>

A quorum was met

**Recordings and transcript:** <https://63.schedule.icann.org/meetings/901682>

The ccNSO Council Chair reviewed the consent agenda.

## **Consent Agenda**

### ***RESOLUTION:***

The resolutions proposed under item 4, 5, 6 and 7 on the 24 October 2018 Council agenda are adopted.

Moved by Stephen Deerhake

Seconded by Byron Holland

Passed unanimously

## **2. Action Items**

The ccNSO Council Chair noted majority of the previous action items are completed, however a few remain open and ongoing. She reminded Councilors and regional organizations to be active and let the Secretariat know if any of the proposed calls for 2019 conflict with any know meetings.

## **3. Intersessional decisions**

The ccNSO Council Chair reviewed the following intersessional decisions:

- Selection members IFRT
- Submission WT1-4 Statement

## **4. Launch call for volunteers for additional members to the ccNSO Meetings Programme Committee & GRC**

4.1 Call for additional volunteers ccNSO Meetings Programme Committee

4.2. Call for additional volunteers ccNSO Guideline Review Committee

## **Resolution – part of consent agenda**

The ccNSO Council requests the Secretariat to launch calls for volunteers for the ccNSO Meeting Programme Committee and Guideline Review Committee, starting 5 November 2018 and closing 16 November 2018. The volunteers will be appointed by the Council.

## **5. Appointment Replacement Christelle Vaval to the Triage Committee and ccNSO Travel Funding Committee**

## **Resolution – part of consent agenda**

### **Background**

At the end of this Council meeting Christelle Vaval's term as NomCom appointed Councillor ends and consequently she will step down from the ccNSO Council Triage Committee and the ccNSO Travel Funding Committee.

### **Decision**

The ccNSO Council appoints Abdalla Omari (.ke) as member of its Triage Committee, and – after she has taken her seat on Council – Laura Margolis (NomCom) on the ccNSO Travel Funding Committee.

## **6. Participation 2019 Leadership Programme (LP) ICANN64 | Kobe**

## **Resolution – part of consent agenda**

The ccNSO Council expresses its interest to participate in the 2019 Leadership Programme and requests and mandates the Chair and Vice-Chairs to select two suitable candidates, one from Council and one of the WG/Committee chairs.

## **7. Participation ICANN Academy 2019 Chairing Skills Program (CSP)**

## **Resolution – part of consent agenda**

The ccNSO Council expresses its interest to participate in the ICANN Academy 2019 Chairing Skills Program and requests and mandates the Chair and Vice-Chairs to select two suitable candidates, one from Council and one of the WG/Committee chairs.

## **8. Update Council Elections: taken from the meeting**

The ccNSO Council Chair noted by now, everyone was aware there would be a European election. In the other regionals, there was only one candidate. The new Councilors will be seated after the Kobe meeting.

## **9. Update PDP: from the meeting**

The ccNSO Council Chair stated there was a great update from the PDP earlier in the week and it was not necessary to hear it again.

## **10. Update CSC, RZERC, ECA: taken from the meeting**

Again, there were updates earlier in the week and the Chair thanked everyone for providing these updates.

## **11. Updates WG (written updates/ updates taken from the meeting).**

Updates were provided/circulated prior to the meeting.

## **12. Update liaisons**

12.1 Update ALAC Liaison (written update)

12.2 Update GNSO Liaison (written update)

## **Regular meeting**

### **13. Adoption of CCWG Accountability WS 2 recommendations & Next Steps**

13.1 Adoption of Recommendations WS 2

The ccNSO Council Chair stated for many years, Work Stream 2 has provided reports and have recently completed their work. From the work, there are recommendations that the SOACs are asked to review and approve. Earlier in the day, a “temperature of the room” was taken and those present, were in support of adopting these recommendations.

#### **RESOLUTION 145-01:**

##### Background

The ccNSO Council notes and welcomes the exemplary, long and intensive efforts and process the CCWG-Accountability went through to deliver the WS 2 Recommendations dated 24 June 2018 for adoption to the ccNSO as one of the chartering organizations.

The ccNSO Council notes and considers the outreach, engagement and consultation efforts of the CCWG-Accountability, the outreach and engagement efforts of the ccNSO appointed members on the CCWG-Accountability, and the ccNSO on informing the ccTLD managers. The ccNSO Council further notes and considers the extensive consultation of the ccTLD community present at the successive meetings since the establishment of the CCWG-Accountability, including but not limited to the Panama (ICANN62) and Barcelona (ICANN63) meetings.

The Council notes that during the Panama and Barcelona sessions on the Final Proposal no material concerns were raised.

It is the understanding of the ccNSO Council that the ccNSO appointed members on the CCWG-Accountability support the Final Set of Recommendations.

The ccNSO Council notes that during the ccNSO meeting in Panama the vast majority of the ccTLD managers present support the full set of Recommendations.

##### Decision

Taking into account the very high level of support by the ccTLD managers present in Barcelona (with no indication of objection), the ccNSO Council supports and adopts the Final WS 2 Recommendations by the CCWG Accountability as included in the Final Report as submitted on 24 June 2018.

The ccNSO Council requests the Secretariat to publish this resolution as soon as possible after the closure of this ccNSO Council meeting. In accordance to the rules of the ccNSO (<http://ccnso.icann.org/about/ccnso-rules-dec04-en.pdf>), the decisions in this resolution will become

effective seven days after publication of these decisions by the Council. For the interim, the chair of the ccNSO is requested to inform the co-chairs of the CCWG-Accountability and the other chartering organizations of this decision of the ccNSO Council under the assumption that this decision will become effective and subsequently, after seven (7) days, whether the decision has become effective.

The ccNSO Council wholeheartedly thanks all members and participants of the CCWG-Accountability for their perseverance and hard work to deliver the Final Report.

Moved by Pablo Rodriguez  
Seconded by Abibu Ntahigiye  
Passed unanimously

The ccNSO Council Chair proposed following the same “pattern” used for Work Stream 1: temperature of the room, Council decision then wait 7 days for those not in attendance to comment.

***Action Item 145-01:***

The ccNSO Council requests the Secretariat to publish this resolution as soon as possible after the closure of this ccNSO Council meeting (CCWG-Accountability)

13.2 Mandate GRC to work on implementation of WS 2 Recommendations

Young Eum Lee asked if Council provide a summary of the activity [from earlier in the day] to the GRC as a guide.

***RESOLUTION 145-02:***

Background

The CCWG Accountability recommends that the ccNSO – and other SOs and ACs for that matter – implement recommendations themselves, in particular with regard to Diversity and SO/AC Accountability. Based on previous work the ccNSO Guideline Review Committee is best placed to implement the Recommendations on SO/AC Accountability and Diversity contained in the Final WS 2 Report of the CCWG Accountability and take into account the results of the discussion by the ccTLD managers present on implementation.

Decision

The ccNSO requests and mandates the ccNSO Guideline Review Committee to implement the CCWG Accountability WS 2 Recommendations with respect to Diversity and SO/AC Accountability independent of whether the Final Report is adopted by all other chartering organisations and the ICANN Board of Directors, starting with the Accountability Recommendations. The GRC is requested to inform the Council and broader community on progress at the next face to face meeting in Kobe.

***Action Item 145-02:***

The GRC is requested to inform the Council and broader community on progress at the next face to face meeting in Kobe.

#### 14. Amendment Charter of CCWG Internet Governance

For discussion and decision on next step.

The ccNSO Council Chair reminded Council that several questions were sent to the CCWG IG. Prior to that, the GNSO noted the format in which the working group operates does not correspond to requirements for a cross community working group. Because of this, the GNSO removed themselves from being a chartering organization for this group.

The group then proposed to be renamed or restructured to an engagement group [or something similar] and submitted a revised charter. The ccNSO had several questions regarding the revised charter. During bilateral meetings with ALAC and the ICANN Board, [we] tried to get a how they each feel about ICANN's activity with respect to internet governance and how to coordinate the work.

From discussions, it was clear ccTLDs are concerned about internet governance – they participate independently but are not active participants in the CC working group on internet governance. Questions to the Council: Why? Since there is clear interest of ccTLDs, how can we meet the needs and interests? Is this the right format? Personally speaking, once concern is providing staff effort staff efforts (which will take away from other activities).

She also noted from the meeting with the ICANN Board, Göran Marby suggested providing him with top priorities or something about ccTLDs that he could share during various internet governance meetings. There is a need to coordinate. What if there was a wiki space or something where we list all the ccTLDs that are going so they can share experiences where they are going to participate and where they need support from other ccTLDs who are going to participate?

Young Eum Lee noted she believes internet governance is an important subject: *“it is a very important subject that basically may have the ability to even threaten the Internet governance that ICANN is involved in today, and that is because of the power that the government have through ITU or UN. And that is a real threat because there are constant efforts within the ITU and the UN among others to enable the governments to have a stronger voice in the business of Internet governance because internet is so important to all the countries. And so, I think there is agreement there that ICANN also needs to be doing something.”* The question is how do we deal with this within ICANN?

The ccNSO Council Chair added as she remembers, one of the key elements of the group was to monitor whatever is going and alert the ICANN community when something is worth looking into. A few months ago, there was a resolution from the upcoming ITU Plenipot, which were closely related to ccTLDs. Nothing was mentioned from the group, who was tasked to alert the cc's. Maybe there's a way that we form something within the ccNSO and then we can liaise with the group

Giovanni Seppia added regarding ICANN's involvement in internet governance, he personally fails to understand how they are getting involved in the various internet governance forums at the national and international levels. He sees an unstructured approach currently – ICANN has been involved in some national IGFs but not others, why? He noted he has asked people at ICANN and has not received a reasonable answer. He agrees with Göran Marby's suggestion on having literature available when engaging in dialogue but believes this the material should be produced by ICANN, with the ccNSO

providing input once a draft is available. He continued noting he does not know how cc's can contribute/engage in the internet governance process because of the broad area of interest.

The ccNSO Council Chair summarized – [we] can ask a set of questions to ICANN org as to how much they spend, where they go, what message they deliver. Secondly, should the ccNSO do something? Help exchange information?

Pablo Rodriguez added agreement tasking one person to be devoted to this is impractical. Is the ccNSO the best platform to do this? He recommends taking these ideas and concerns to the regional organizations.

Giovanni Seppia suggested as a compromise, appoint one person but not as the repository of all the perspectives of the ccTLDs rather a contact person for ICANN staff to engage in internet governance dialogue to have the cc perspective and liaise between council, ccTLD community and ICANN staff.

Byron Holland stated agreement with some of the concerns raised by Young Eum Lee, but also believes individual cc's have a responsibility for their own self-interests and must manage their own risks. He does not believe the ccNSO is the right space for pushing or representing a message into the internet governance ecosystem – but should be an information facilitator for the community.

Young Eum Lee further clarified what the group has been involved in for the past four or five years. The group has been most concerned with ensuring the fact that ICANN remains the sole manager of the unique identifiers – more defensive than offensive position. Because the group is most interested in the issue of unique identifiers, the most attention has been given to the ITU Plenipots and the various meetings that lead to the ITU Plenipot and several meetings that stem from the Plenipot.

In summary, the ccNSO Council Chair stated – the ccNSO has decided not to participate in the engagement group as a chartering organization. Nevertheless, appoint IG liaison from of the councilors who could be a contact point.

### **Action Item 145-03:**

Secretariat to draft resolution and send it to the council list. Councilors to suggest edits and at the end have an online vote.

### **15. Establishing the ccNSO Onboarding /mentor mentee committee**

For discussion and decision

The ccNSO Council Chair explained the Mentor Program was discussed earlier in the week. There is an increasing role for mentors and will be expected to dedicate a significant amount of time as a coach.

### **RESOLUTION 145-03:**

Background

The ccNSO participated in the ICANN Mentor Programme. Following closure of this Programme, two Council members and one community member developed a proposal on how the ccNSO Could continue its work. Following discussions the ccNSO Council agrees to continue an on-boarding mentor/mentee

Programme on an experimental basis as one of the means to add new actively participating members or re-engage members in the ccNSO processes. The onboarding will need to be organized and administered properly to make it successful. The main activities that need to be undertaken are:

- Seeking, selecting and engaging with limited group of mentees per ICANN meeting. Starting point for mentees, could be WG members, or representatives of a ccTLD that just recently joined the ccNSO, and or others;
- Seeking, selecting and engaging with mentors. Mentors could include travel funded Councillors, members of the community who have received travel funding regularly, other volunteers;
- Ensuring proper matching between mentees and mentors;
- Assist in seeking travel funding if appropriate;
- General outreach and engagement and documenting procedures.

Decision

The ccNSO Council requests the ccNSO Secretariat and Alejandra Reynoso and Margarita Valdes to draft the Terms of Reference for a ccNSO mentor/mentee Committee, which will further develop the main activities identified, and to be presented at the next Council meeting.

Moved by Margarita Valdes

Seconded by Pablo Rodriguez

Passed unanimously

#### **16. Nominate Fellowship Program Mentors, deferred to next meeting on 15 November 2018.**

(see: <https://www.icann.org/news/announcement-2-2018-10-16-en> )

#### **17. Acceptance ccNSO Membership application .td (Chad)**

For decision

#### ***RESOLUTION 145-04:***

Background

The ccNSO Secretariat has received a new application for ccNSO membership, from .td (Chad). The regular checks have been completed for this ccNSO membership application, and no issues identified.

Decision

The ccNSO Council approves the ccNSO membership application by Agence de Developpement des Technologies de l Information et de la Communication ADETIC, the ccTLD manager of .td (Chad) and welcomes ADETIC as the 169th member of the ccNSO.

#### **18. Council Updates – no updates were brought forward**

17.1 Chair Update

17.2 Vice-Chair Update

17.3 Councilors Update

17.4 Regional Organizations Update

17.5 Secretariat update

#### **19. AOB**

Stephen Deerhake noted, the need to set up a working group to review the bylaws and find what is considered the “nonsense stuff that got left behind in the [sausage] making that was the bylaw creation process”. There is an issue with 10.1(a), we have an issue with 10.1(f). We have the non-ccNSO member requirement – if not a working group, maybe something informal (to start keeping a list). He has volunteered to be the “list maintainer”

## **20. Next meetings**

15 November – 11:00 UTC – Byron Holland noted conflict with IGF.

13 December – 17:00 UTC

## **21. Thank You and Welcome**

The ccNSO Council Chair thanked: Mike Silber, Christelle Vaval, local host Red.es and Eurid. She also welcomed new Councilors Laura Margolis and Ajay Data. Additionally, the Chair thanked the programme committee, the Councilors and staff support.

## **22. Closure**