Hello everyone on this ccNSO Council Call, 17th of October 2019 12:00 UTC. We have received one partly apology. Jordan will try to join us, but we hasn’t sure that he will be able to. I think, okay, we have received also apology from Abdalla. So, I hope that others will be on the call. Either they are already are or they will join us shortly.

Okay, so Minutes on the September Meeting have been circulated. And, yeah, we haven’t received any apologies. Not apologies, I’m still thinking about apologies from Councilors. No, we haven’t received any comments so let’s consider them approved. Here you see Action Items. I think more or less ready, more or less completed. So, yes, I’ve circulated the draft for this letter to be sent to ICANN Board of Directors on Emoji. Yeah, thank you very much your support and Hiro, thanks a lot for the comment. I think I will send either on Friday or on Monday. Yeah, and then, more or less no questions about that, thanks.

Okay, intermeeting decisions since our last call, could you scroll down please? Yeah, thank you. We approved membership applications of .bs and .kh. We selected our member CSC, thank you very much, Alejandra, for stepping forward and good luck. I’m sure that everything is going to be great and you will follow steps that are already so well taken by Byron, Jay, and other ccNSO people. And we also approved full slate of CSC membership. I’ll touch up on that a little bit later. We have also very good progress regarding ccNSO membership following transferring of management of ccTLDs. Joke, could you give us a brief update on that?
JOKE BRAEKEN: Hi Katrina. Happy to do so. So, regarding the ccNSO membership, there were some outstanding issues during the last Council Meeting, but I’m pleased to inform you that those have been solved in the meanwhile. So, there’s a hundred percent match now between the ccTLD manager, as specified in the IANA Database and the ccNSO Member Database. Also, as you mentioned, there have been some new members approved in the meanwhile. So, that concludes my update for now. Thank you.

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah, thank you very much and thanks a lot for the good work. It’s done and I’m really pleased to hear that now we have a hundred percent match. I think it’s going to be easier in the future of keep track of everything. Okay then. Agenda Item Number 5, it’s Guideline, ccNSO Approval Action Process. I have a feeling that this is a cursed Guideline, really. Something like, we could give it a name, something like they use the name Scottish play in theatre, not to mention the exact name of the play. Because, well, we started working on it, then we had to put it away and started working on Rejected Action Process. Then we remembered about this Guideline, discussed it again with the Community, discussed it with GRC, presented to the Council, the Council discussed it, so we asked you all to look into the Guideline, and then again, for some reason, it managed to fall between the cracks. So, really, to me it seems like a little bit cursed Guideline, probably as the entire Approval Action Process.

But, anyhow, we need to approve the Guideline and make sure that we have this Guideline in place. We have worked really hard on it. So, thanks, Stephen, who did the most of the heavy lifting here. So, here I
hope you all had time to read the Guideline and have no questions. If you do, then after moving and seconding the thing, you will be able to ask them. So, anyone would like to move? Pablo. Any seconders? Stephen. Okay, Stephen seconded. Thank you. Any questions? No questions. Any comments? Stephen, you maybe as the father of the Guideline?

STEPHEN DEERHAKE: Thank you, Katrina. Good morning everyone. I just want to say I’m happy to see it finally getting approved. This is the first out of the chute and as Katrina points out, it has a rather convoluted history. So, it’s nice to get it adopted. It’s ironic it’s being adopted just in time for an Approval Action to which it will not apply, according to the resolution. So, the irony continues. But, thank you guys.

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah, that’s true. Irony continues. Nevertheless, we’ll be ready for any next action. Okay, so let’s move to the vote. Anyone against? Anyone abstains? No. In that case, thank you very much. The Guideline has been finally approved. Next one, next Agenda Item is ccNSO, this amendment. Well, actually, yeah, Approval Action in action. That’s the change to the Fundamental Bylaw that we submitted.

On Sunday, there’s going to be, Sunday at eight a.m., pretty early, there’s going to be a Community Forum. We have reached out to other SOs/ACs, personally no update from them yet. We know that ALAC supports. We know that it doesn’t look like there any objections either from GAC or from GNSO at the moment but we’re still waiting for them
to discuss that, and hopefully we’ll get enough support to move forward with this change. Stephen?

STEPHEN DEERHAKE: Thank you, Katrina. With regards to this Community Forum, it is scheduled, as you point out, from eight to nine on Sunday. It will be held in Room 517C as in Charlie at the Conference Center. I’ve been delegated my fellow [inaudible] Administration folks to take the lead responsibility on this to organize and manage it, so I’ll be running it.

I’ve sent invitations to the ccNSO Chair as well as two ccNSO ICANN Board Members to appear and present the Bylaw change to the Community and I hope they do, I have not heard back from anyone yet so I’m going to noodle them and remind them that it would be useful if they were going to be there because it would be pretty embarrassing for the ccNSO if nobody showed up to explain this to the Community.

As you rightly point out, we do have support from ALAC. No support yet, formal support one way or the other, from either the GNSO, the ASO, who are really disengaged in this process, or the GAC. So, hope to see everybody there early in the morning and I know it’s early, but the EAC has a Breakfast Meeting at seven so we’re going to be there even earlier than you guys. So, I hope you can all show up. Thank you.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you. I definitely will be there. Hopefully I can get up so early. And, yeah, thank you for organizing this. Now, please lower your hand because Nick has hand up. So, Nick.
NICK WENBAN-SMITH: Hi, can you hear me okay? Just checking.

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah, we can.

NICK WENBAN-SMITH: Yeah, I think, I read through all of the comments and there’s lots of positive comments on the public comments for the process and in particular I noticed that the Registry Stakeholder Group is supportive, so that’s not necessarily the GNSO but that’s a strong voice within the GNSO, which is extremely helpful. And just observer of we’re not the only people who have these sort of difficulties because they also have some constraints around the participation in their Bylaws mandated restrictions which may make it very inflexible to organize that personnel.

So, we’re not the only people in this boat and I think it’s useful. It’s a good example to the Community of setting a bit of leadership any changes to the Bylaws and trying to explore these processes. So, I think really interesting and I’ll definitely try to be there on the Sunday morning.

KATRINA SATAKI: Yes, thank you. On a related note, it’s about this IFR Team, as you know, we appointed the third member, non-ccNSO member, well, technically the group is ready to start the review. And according to the Bylaws,
ccNSO and the GNSO need to appoint Co-Chairs. So, as you remember, Frederico agreed to be the Co-Chair. Unfortunately, at the moment, there are no volunteers from the GNSO side. We hope that they will at some point, they will step forward but currently, there’s no Co-Chair from the GNSO.

And we spoke to Frederico and he is ready to start the Review as the only, probably cannot say Co-Chair, the only Chair, hoping, looking forward to Co-Chair from the GNSO side. We had a call earlier this week with Frederico and gave him more background information about Reviews as such and about the IFR in particular. He has read all available material so hopefully everything is going to be fine and this team will finally get together and start working. Okay. So, that’s about IFR, about the Bylaws change and everything.

Next one is of CSC, Customer Standing Committee, again, as you remember, the Council all accept Alejandra due to the conflict of interest. All others voted on the Candidates and the Council selected Alejandra as the second member from the ccNSO side to the CSC. Then, Selection Committee from the ccNSO Council and Registry Stakeholder Group approved all four members. And then we moved to the approval of the full slate.

So, our Selection Committee approved the full slate and the GNSO Council, as you can see, have approved a full slate on 14th October, that’s two days ago. So, it’s great to hear that we’re ready to move forward with CSC. Alejandra has already been added to the mailing list and will participate in this onboarding process with the CSC. So, great to
hear that everything is moving forward with a little delay but still according to plan. So, everything is in order.

Next one, it’s ccNSO selection of the Candidate for the Board Seat #11 and Council Election Procedures, 8.a, as this Board Selection, there are two things I wanted to say before we give the floor to our Nomination Manager. Two things. One, probably you saw already exchange on the mailing list. There was some concern, I don’t know if I should use the word concern, but yeah, there were some, okay I’ll use the word concerns, concerns from some Community members with regards to the Guideline that we’re applying, in particular there was a belief that all changes to all Guidelines are supposed to be approved by members by member’s vote.

That would mean, perhaps, that those two members expect to vote every month. I have, as I have explained in my responses that is definitely not the case, not what our internal procedures require. I have nothing else to add. If any of you would like to say anything regarding the process of approval of the Guidelines, so this is the time to do so. Anyone? Yes, Stephen.

STEVEN DEERHAKE: Thank you, Katrina. I think probably not at Montreal because it’s too soon, but I think certainly at the Cancun Meeting we should schedule some time in the Member’s Meeting to have a frank discussion about the Rules of the ccNSO and how we, now that we’ve evolved from a Community of 20-something that signed up and got curate in Rome years ago to where we’re now at 172 or something, we may want to
revisit all that. So, I would strongly suggest that we schedule a block at the Cancun meeting to have a frank discussion about the current Rules of the ccNSO and where we go forward from here. Thank you.

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah, thank you very much. We’ve had this preliminary first discussion some time ago because, again according to the mandate, GRC is supposed to look into the Rules of the ccNSO and propose a new way forward, and we kind of started the discussion, I don’t remember, two years ago or something. But, it doesn’t change, definitely to change the rules we need all member’s vote, to change the Rules of the ccNSO, that particular document we do need member’s vote. But, still, it does not mean that we need two thirds of members to vote for every change that we have in every Guideline or to approve any new Guideline. For that, we have another process in the Rules of the ccNSO and that’s the Council approves the document, and within seven days, ten percent of members can ask for the vote. Okay, Alejandra?

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you. I would like to suggest that maybe whenever we are approving a Guideline to send a message either to the mailing list or through the social media so people are more aware of when and which Guidelines are being approved. I think this would beneficial since we that are on the Council, of course we know about this but I’m not sure if everyone is so aware of when the Council Calls take place and what is being discussed in every single Council Call, though they are published, I
don’t think that people are practically looking for it for new things in the website, for example. So, that would be my suggestion.

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah, thank you. Yeah, well, first, we do discuss the Guidelines before they are approved by the Council. Remember, they are sent to the Community and when we receive any comment, the Guideline Review Committee reviews the comment and tries to incorporate them into the Guideline, or at least discussing them, definitely.

And, yeah, we used to inform people about the upcoming Council Calls on the main things that we are planning to discuss at some point. But then, again for some reason that, well, we do not send a particular announcements to mailing list but yes, as you say, Agendas are put online and yeah, and monthly newsletter thing with also highlights from the ccNSO Council. Well, that’s about the process. We can definitely we can always try to improve everything that we do. There’s nothing wrong about that. On the contrary, it’s something that needs to be done and speaking about this discussion, yeah, I think that GRC has to move forward and in Cancun, we definitely can again raise the issue with the fact that we need to update the Rules of the ccNSO to make sure that they reflect the reality and do not prohibit the ccNSO to be efficient organization.

Okay, that’s one thing. And second thing, again, as you saw, was it today or yesterday? Another concern, that’s about two secondment that we received from one of the members. According to the Guideline, which is applicable, according to Guideline, each member or in this case maybe
not member, everyone who submitted their nomination, the secondments can nominate and second only one Candidate. So, basically if you nominate, you can nominate only one. If you second, you can second only one. That’s according to the Guideline.

The Guideline is not very detailed. It is does not describe all those possible situations when someone nominates one person then seconds another one or submits several secondments or several nominations, how to react. And therefore, there have been theoretical discussions whether we should count the first secondment or the second secondment, in this particular case when we have two secondments from one person who seconded two Candidates.

If we look at the, well, remember I studied the theory behind e-elections, so what are the requirements for e-elections. And one of the requirements is of course to ensure, to make sure that the last vote is counted. But that is for elections, which are supposed to be, well, they are not public, let’s say. Well, normally e-elections in a country for example, they are not public. And in that case, yes, it is a requirement that the last vote counts. In our case, we talk about nominations and secondments which aren’t made public. Which means, again, these are nominations and secondments, those are nothing but pre-vote. No, it’s one nomination and it requires one secondment. And this is not a vote, it’s a nomination and a secondment, so it’s really important to know that this is not a vote.

If, for example, the Candidate I support has been nominated and I see that the Candidate’s been seconded, yeah, well, there’s no need for me to send another secondment. We are not voting. I see that the
Candidate has been nominated and seconded. That’s it. If, for example, we count the second or say, the last, secondment of perfect person, in that case, we can end up with a situation when, for example, someone seconds the Candidate so nobody’s worried or we see that we have valid nominations and secondment, and then, for example, five minutes before the end of nomination period, seconds another Candidate, which basically means that the first Candidate is not valid anymore.

And that is the basic difference between electronic voting, which is not public, and nominations and secondments that are public. And yeah, again, we do not vote. So, in that case clearly, at least I’m absolutely sure that it is the first nomination or secondment that counts, and we need to apply same process to both secondments and nominations, same with if, for example, somebody nominates several people. The first nomination is valid. Same with secondments.

In case of the vote, we vote, yes it’s not public and nobody knows how a particular ccTLD votes. Yeah, and in this case, the first secondment was to second Nigel Phair and yeah, I believe that there’s absolutely no reason to consider this nomination invalid. Okay, that’s the comment from my side with my experience with electronic voting. Now, I really would like to hear your thoughts. Are there any? No thoughts? Yeah, Stephen.

**STEPHEN DEERHAKE:** I think this is kind of a sticky situation and we may not see the end of it yet. I really don’t care one way or the other whether Nigel Phair is on the Candidate list or not. I do have some trepidation in this
interpretation in that the Guideline is pretty clear in that it says one seconding permitted and I feel this is somewhat creative but I’m not going to die in a ditch over it. Thank you.

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah, thank you, and yeah, another thing is that we have received clarification from Jimmy saying that, sorry, the first one, the one he really meant to send and so he probably shouldn’t have sent the other one. Okay. In any case, I think it’s really wrong to start discussing this after this is closed, the nomination process is closed, here it being closed because there’s no option for anyone to second the Candidate. Okay, Margarita?

MARGARITA VABLES: Hello. I’m not sure if it’s my comment will follow your comments, but in talking with Patricio about this double secondment that happened in this election about the Board Seat that we need to do, Patricio told me that if it’s possible to resign or not refuse, but how to deal with this double secondment and if he could resign to relieve this [inaudible] secondment.

KATRINA SATAKI: Well, thank you. In any case, I think the seconder already has revoked the secondment so to speak. But, yeah, well, anyhow, I think this is definitely a situation that needs to be, I’m sure that our nomination manager will note this as an issue, and we can think how to address that in the future. Any other comments? Margarita, please lower your hand.
MARGARITA VABLES: Okay.

KATRINA SATAKI: Unless you want to add something. Any other comments? No comments. Okay, then let me give the floor to our Nomination Manager for an update. Joke, please.

JOKE BRAEKEN: Thank you, Katrina. So, for the Board Seat #11 Nominations, the Call for Nominations closed on the 2nd of October and then those were that nominated and seconded had some time to accept or reject the nomination. All have done so. So, there are three Candidates. This has been shared with the ccNSO Mailing List and has been published on the ccNSO website.

So, in the meanwhile, the due diligence verifications have started, and we expect elections to start, according to the timeline previously agreed by the ccNSO Council in January 2020. So, that is the after the due diligence verifications have been completed. And the Q & A Session with the Candidates will be held at ICANN66 on Day 1 of the Member’s Meeting, if I’m not mistaken. Thank you.

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah, thank you. Stephen?
STEPHEN DEERHAKE: I just want to remind everyone that there is a hard stop date by which this process needs to be completed which I believe is the 22nd of April of 2020 due to a Bylaw Requirement that the ECA submit to ICANN Corporate Secretary the elected Candidate six months prior to their taking their seat, which will be at the Hamburg Meeting I believe. Thank you.

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah, thank you. We’ve discussed the timeline, we approved the timeline, and we’re following the approved timeline which has been drafted to make sure that we meet the deadline. Okay.

STEPHEN DEERHAKE: Then we should be good. Thank you.

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah, absolutely. Okay, thank you. Second one is update on Council Election Procedure and I would like to give the floor to our Election Manager which is also Joke. Joke, please.

JOKE BRAEKEN: Thank you, Katrina. So, regarding the Council Elections, the nominations have closed earlier this week and those that have been nominated and seconded have been contacted to see whether they accept their nominations. Not all Candidates have done so, but they still have time until next week, the 23rd of October. After that date, those that have accepted their nomination, the list will be published on the ccNSO
website and shared with the members. And again, there will be a Q & A Session at the upcoming ccNSO Member’s Meeting in Montreal. Thank you.

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah, thank you very much. So, of course, I would like to encourage all Candidates, all nominated Candidates to accept their nominations. Yeah, well, I saw that that’s on my private note, I saw that Hiro decided not to continue on the Council. I’m going to miss you very, very much. But, of course, we will have official farewells and thank you’s, but I just want you to know I’ll really miss Hiro’s wise guidance and attention to detail. So, thank you very much Hiro for being here for us. Are there any questions? Any questions to our Election Manager? No. Okay. Let’s move to the next Agenda Item then, Agenda Item Number 9. Stephen, you haven’t said anything today. Please, an update.

STEPHEN DEERHAKE: Trying to unmute here. Making progress. We pretty much have text pretty much locked down and we’re moving on to stress testing and I expect our face-to-face meeting will be devoted to stress testing some edge conditions that we’ve identified along the way. And I’ll take any questions if anybody has any.

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah, thank you. Thank you, Stephen. So, are there any questions? No. Okay. Thank you. Let’s move forward then. Council Workshop in Montreal. So, yesterday I sent you a summary and proposal for those,
actually, three parts of our Workshop. So first will be an Icebreaking Exercise, next one will be Working Groups, and then Brainstorming. And at the end, as you saw, Jordan had a very good proposal for discussion and yeah, at the end of the Workshop he would give a brief summary of his idea and that would feed into the planning of the next Workshop and that would be in Cancun.

So, again, thanks a lot to everyone who contributed, thanks to those who agreed to organize those Sessions and discussions. So, does that look okay to you, the proposed Agenda and time that we spend on each item? Maybe any comments, suggestions? Does that look okay? Are you ready for the Workshop? I hope you are because I hope this is going to be very fruitful Workshop. Okay, no comments. I assume we all agree with the way forward.

Next one. Yeah, those who work on their particular parts, please if you need some help, support, from me, from the Secretariat, from other colleagues on the Council, please do not hesitate to ask. Regular Agenda for Montreal. Right after the Council Workshop, we’ll have a Prep Meeting and on Wednesday we’re going to have Council Meeting. On Monday during lunchtime, we’ll have a joint meeting with the GNSO Council. Here you see the proposed topics for discussion.

On Sunday, we’re have a joint meeting with ALAC. Unfortunately, it’s in parallel with SOPC Workshop, so that Councilors who are on the SOPC will not be able to join but as you remember, the main topic of this meeting with ALAC will tell them more about ccTLDs, what we are, what we do, what is a ccNSO and so on because we did that sometime ago, well several years ago, four maybe five years ago, but now there are
new people on ALAC and they would like to have the same onboarding regarding the ccTLD world. Tech Day Member’s Meeting, here I’d like to give the floor to Alejandra.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you very much, Katrina. Kim, can we... There it is. Thank you very much. So, this is the Block Schedule regarding the ccNSO relevant Sessions. Basically, not much has changed since last update except that there, as we have already talked about, the Community Action Approval Forum, it has been confirmed. And also, the Prep Session with the Session Chairs has been moved to an early start. It was scheduled in the afternoon on Monday. Other than that, everything is as was shown before.

Just wanted to say also, I put it in the chat, that the ccNSO and ALAC Session is not only parallel to the SOPC Workshop but also to a TLD Ops Workshop. And that will be a very busy day. Please, Kim, can we move to the Member’s Agenda? While it is displaying, so again, most of the content remains in its place. What we have been able to do is to confirm some Chairs and also now you will see in your right column with the engagement level, that’s how much interaction we’re expecting from those Sessions with the audience, one being the lowest and three the highest.

We have a confirmed Session for the Accountability and Transparency Review Session, that’s Demi, thank you Demi. And also, as you can see we have the ccTLD News Session is now full. For this, we had some positions to make since we got more volunteers that we could hold so
we had to select which presenters to keep for this meeting and which ones to defer to Cancun and this is the final list. We also have a confirmed Chair on the Organizational Review and it’s Nick. Thank you, Nick, also. This document will be updated.

And, can we please move forward to Wednesday? Thank you very much. Also, for the IANA Naming Function Session, we have almost confirmed presenter for the CSC, that will be Lars-Johan Liman, who is Chair right now of the CSC. But he needs to check his schedule to see if he can confirm that. It’s most likely to be him. Finally, we have also Chair for the Panel Discussion on ccTLD Perspective on Internet Governance and it will be Young-eum Lee, so thank you again, Young-eum.

And the Google calendar has been also updated so you can subscribe to it if you have not done so already I will put email on the chat, ccNSO.agenda@gmail.com. So, if you want you can subscribe to the calendar and all the relevant ccNSO Sessions have been placed there and you can add them to your calendar, and that’s all I have for today. Thank you. If you have any questions, suggestions, please let me know.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you very much. One more addition to this, we received information, email, from Pierre Bonis, who is the Chair of our Internet Governance Liaison Committee, and on their call the group discussed the opportunity to pay homage to Tarek Kamel at the beginning of their Session just to acknowledge his involvement in Internet Governance and his contribution to all those Internet Governance related activities.
So, it would be nice if all Councilors be there at the time. Alejandra, you have your hand up. Is that something you wanted to say?

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Yes, thank you. Just that I forgot to mention that regarding the ccNSO Networking Event, you should receive a link to which you have to rsvp if you’re going to attend. Kim sent a reminder in the chat the she will send remind Networking Event Registration link next week but please be aware of this so you can...

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you. So, is that it because I thought I lost you? But if that’s all, yeah. Thank you. Any questions regarding the Agenda? No. Then we, yeah, we have also Working Group Meetings, some Working Groups will submit their reports in written form. Then we have joint Meeting with the Board. We submitted our questions. Please scroll further. I hope this is going to be an interesting discussion. Any additional questions that we can ask during our Meeting. Then there is, wait no, too far. Let’s go back. One page back please. Peter?

PETER VAN ROSTE: Hi, Katrina, can you hear me?

KATRINA SATAKI: Yes, we can hear you.
PETER VAN ROSTE: Good morning, good afternoon everyone. Katrina, just on that third question that I already circulated on the list. I think if you frame it this way, I’m sorry, if you ask it this way it frames the discussion differently. Whereas I’m generally interested to find out what the Board, how the Board is linking this to ICANN Strategy, in particular it says it will disclose a bit more, they’re thinking about the definition of abuse. I would also link it to the operational resources and plans.

I think for everyone who was on Tuesday’s webinar on abuse, highly recommend it by the way, if anybody would have an hour time to listen to the recording, it’s quite good. I think that shows that this topic, even though it is playing in the context of the gTLD world, it is incredibly relevant for what we’re doing. One of the points being that ccTLDs, there are uses of examples of how abuse can be prevented and mitigated. So, we should definitely take note of that.

So, just back to the question, I think the way it is framed now, even if I understand that there will be follow-up questions, this question basically makes a statement and I don’t think that is the purpose of this exchange. Which is not to say that I don’t agree with the statement but it’s [inaudible].

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah, thank you, Peter. Well, that’s why we had a Google doc and that’s why we asked everyone to look at it and contribute. Well, yes. I think we can try resubmitting this question. I’m not sure that, maybe they have already started looking into it but maybe we could add some more follow up, I’d say, a follow up question or something that could drive
the discussion to another direction. If you could suggest the exact question you want to add to the question we already have, we can try to ask them to accommodate.

PETER VAN ROSTE: I did put it in the Google doc late, but I did put it in there so...

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah, it was... Yeah, probably send it to the mailing list and then we'll try to reach out to the Board Ops, no, it was Ops apparently, and ask if they would allow us to alter the question a little. Okay.

PETER VAN ROSTE: Thanks. Much appreciate.

KATRINA SATAKI: Please, please, please be informed because we’re really trying, we always try to meet deadlines that others...

PETER VAN ROSTE: Microsoft artificial intelligence had put it in, so...

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah, it’s understandable. Okay, let’s move forward then. We have a topic suggested by the Board. And that’s Community, Board, and ICANN Org Readiness to Implement the following three particular plans that will shape ICANN’s future; Strategic Plan, Operating Financial Plan, Work
Plan to improve the effectiveness of ICANN’s MultiStakeholder Model. And then, yeah, they asked us to provide three suggestions. We did that.

And they’re compiling a list of suggested actions for the Community, Board, and ICANN and they would like to share and discuss them with us in Montreal and the list will be sent on or before 11 October. I’m not sure if they have sent, I’ll have to recheck, but can you scroll down? Do we have the list already? No, we don’t have the list. I don’t remember receiving the list, speaking about the deadlines, right? But, yeah, I will recheck. Okay, thank you. I think during our Prep Meeting we will talk in more detail about everything.

Update from ECA and CSC, there is, yeah, already discussed the fact that we’re going to have Community Forum and we all have to be there, eight a.m. on Sunday right before Council Workshop and Council Prep Meeting and Stephen wants to add something else. Yes, please, Stephen.

STEPHEN DEERHAKE: With regards to the ECA update, yes, we do have the Community Forum and I encourage everyone to come. Since we last spoke there’s been minimal amount of paperwork pushed back and forth. So, that’s the ECA update. Thank you.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you. Then CSC, and I’m afraid I do not see Byron on the call. Bart?
BART BOSWIN KEL: Yeah, while Alejandra is helpful for future reference. Byron is no longer subscribed to the CSC as of the 1st of October. But, most importantly is you will shortly receive as a Council, a request to approve a new SLA on IDN Tables and this is according to the amended SLA change procedure on the DNR Naming Function. So, this is the first one where the ccNSO and GNSO Councils need to approve a new SLA. That’s one.

And secondly, which is also very relevant I guess for the ccTLD Community and also for you as a point of contact from the CSC perspective is that PTI has opened a public comment on what is called change of the SLA on ccTLD transfers. So, that’s the processing time around ccTLD transfers. They will discuss it at their update in Montreal, but public comment period is open as of last Monday and remains open until the end of November. So, if at this point this Council with a broader ccTLD Community wants to comment up on it, be aware of the closing date. Thank you. That’s all.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you very much. Any questions? No. Thank you. Any updates from Councilors, Regional Organizations, Secretariat? No. Working Group Updates. Guidelines Review Committee, I’m still working with specific IANA Function Review together with GNSO Drafting Team on this one. Next Monday, we’re going to have a call to discuss how and what we’re going to present to both Councils and what input we would need from you guys.

Yeah, then we still have a list of things we need to do with respect to Guidelines that have already been process and we received comment
from some Community members and so we need to incorporate all those. Actually, we discussed already which suggestions we would incorporate, which ones do not reflect the idea of Guidelines, but we need to finalize them and then they will be ready for approval of the Council. Yeah, and apparently at some point, we’ll need to start working on the Rules of the ccNSO document, the Rules of ccNSO, to see what we need to put into that one and how we can make sure that it reflects our current situation and the needs of the organization. That’s about the GRC. Internet Governance Liaison Committee. Anyone would like to comment on that, maybe Joke?

JOKE BRAEKEN: Hi, Katrina. Thank you very much. So, the Internet Governance Liaison Committee had its last meeting yesterday, during which they discussed the planning for the Panel Discussion on Wednesday during the ccNSO Member’s Meeting in Montreal. The Panel Discussion will focus on the ccTLD Perspective on Internet Governance and it will have contributions both from a national, regional, and a global point of view, as well as ICANN Org. The group has some prep meetings as well to make sure that this Session is running as expected and you will also receive in Montreal some information on the recent surveys and the results that were gathered so far from the IGLC. Thank you.

KATRINA SATAKI: Yes, thank you. I believe that nothing much has happened around SOPC, but I believe they are working on to have an interesting Workshop in Montreal and I hope that the Workshop will be open for everyone
who’s interested in the work of SOPC. Meeting Program Committee, we already heard their presentation. Anything else you’d like to add, Alejandra, please?

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Yes, thank you, Katrina. Just an announcement that I’ve been chairing the MPC for the last three years and I believe that it is important to renew the leadership to keep it dynamic and to give chance to new ideas and approaches, so I’ve observed Barbara’s participation and involvement within the MPC Activities and thought she would be a good Candidate to chair this group. So, I reached out to her after Marrakech and after a Skype call, she agreed, and she’s now been shadowing me on all the activities, and we let the MPC group know about this in our last call and she got support. So, this is to let you know that this change will happen. So, I hope you all agree with this decision and I’m here still for anything else. I will remain in the MPC. Thank you.

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah, thank you very much Alejandra. Thanks a lot for your contribution to the work of the MPC and yeah, this is something that we have on our Agenda in Montreal. The group agrees, and yeah, let’s welcome some change. And thank you very much, Alejandra, again. It’s great to have a great replacement and it was really fantastic to have you on board for all these years, so thanks a lot. CCWG Auction Proceeds. Stephen?
STEFHNE DEERHAE: Thank you, Katrina. I do suffer through those calls on behalf of the ccNSO. They are getting close to wrapping up their way forward with regards to the structure of what would be together to start distributing funds from the auction proceeds. Whether or not that actually comes to pass is another question, but I continue to keep an eye on what’s going on there and I will entertain any questions, either presently or offline. Thank you.

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah, thank you very much. I hope your suffering will be over in some foreseeable future.

STEFHNE DEERHAE: I do, too.

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah, anyhow, thanks for being there to represent the ccNSO. Yeah, as we see, Joke has posted her comment in the chat window, CCWG Auction Proceeds will meet next week, Wednesday, and on Wednesday in Montreal. So, hopefully there’s going to be more clarity around that. Then we have written updates and next meeting is in Montreal. Again, let me remind you we’re going to have Workshop, a Prep Meeting, and Council Meeting. And then one is on December 19, very close to Christmas to those who celebrate. And then we will start also working on schedule for the next year.

And again, I would like to remind you when we send out the suggestion for meetings next year, please look carefully whether they overlap with
some regional meetings, regional meetings that could influence the ability of Councilors to participate on these calls. And any other events, so if you notice anything that might disrupt the normal week of the ccNSO Council please speak up so that we can adjust the schedule. Thank you. And then we have any other business. Is there any other business? Yes, please, Adebiyi?

ADEBIYI OLADIPO: Yeah, hi. Good evening everyone.

KATRINA SATAKI: Good evening. Yeah, we can hear you well.

ADEBIYI OLADIPO: At the last meeting of the Community Onboarding Program Committee, we had an agreement that we should briefly counsel on how far we’ve gone, like sort of like a temporary or preliminary briefing on how far we’ve gone, so I just felt I could just quickly give that update here if it’s okay with everyone.

KATRINA SATAKI: Absolutely, thank you Adebiyi.

ADEBIYI OLADIPO: Alright. Okay, now the program was designed to engage and have retention of new participations. You know, we’ve had this discussion separately about having to transition and bring in new people into the
work of the ccNSO. And what has been completed so far in 2019 is that the Council adopted the Terms of Reference and the Selection Committee was appointed. So, it’s myself, Laura, and Alejandra.

We made a call for COP Mentors and Mentees, and then for the sort of the pilot phase, we had one Mentor, one Mentee. So, Mary Udumu was picked as a Mentor and [inaudible] was picked as a Mentee. And we’ve had several engagements both with the Mentor and Mentee and joint discussions also. The feedback has been very good. We’ve had feedback by via SOA and also the Incentive Intermediate Report. And we think that the program is actually going on quite well.

In terms of next steps, the two of them are built to attend the meeting in Montreal, Visa permitting because I’m aware that one of them haven’t yet gotten Visas, but we’re hoping that as the days draw nearer, the Visas will be approved for them. The Mentor and the Mentee will submit to the COP Selection Committee a report by the time we finish the Montreal, so we know exactly where they both are from the last discussions that we have had.

Even though this program has been introduced by the ccNSO Council on an experimental basis, but we think that it’s working well in that the Mentee seems to be coming up to speed faster with the work of the ccNSO and she’s getting to understand things and she’s meeting quite a number of people. And Alejandra has been quite helpful in introducing her to the Fellowship Program and she, also, is coming up to speed with the way the ICANN structure works. So, that’s about it.
We think that the program is going well and by the end of the one year, we would come back with a full report on the program and make our recommendation to the Council on what next and what should happen with the Onboarding Program. Just a last side, in the meeting we had last week, we actually feel that we should expand the scope of the program but we’re still discussing that once to come up with a full suggestions or make that presentation to the Council. Thank you.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you very much, Adebiyi, I think it’s very, very interesting and I’m really happy to hear that things are progressing very well, so thanks a lot for your efforts and for this report. I also forgot to mention that we actually had two webinars for newcomers and that was first thing like that that we did, we've hosted webinars before meeting, an actual meeting in ICANN. But I must say that, there was some I don't know if I should use the word old-comers, but yeah, some experienced members of our Community also joined the webinar and yeah, I think their input on how to improve those webinars for newcomers was also very important and very valuable to us. We’ve had a pretty good feedback on those two webinars.

And we’re going to continue and to make things more interesting and more engaging for those who participate. Actually, one of the things that came out of those webinars was that maybe we should have whole webinars not only for newcomers but also for more advanced members of the Community. Because if you have been attending ccNSO Meetings for years, you do not consider yourself a newcomer but maybe you still
not fully aware of all the things that are happening within the ccNSO and within ICANN At-Large. So, yeah.

One of the ways I would like to invite you to think about that, how we could make maybe webinars, maybe some other materials for those who are already involved in, yeah, a dinosaur. Thank you very much for dinosaurs. Webinars for dinosaurs. That’s a good term, perhaps. So, yeah, something like that. Yeah, maybe you’re too shy to join a webinar for newcomers so you could join the one for dinosaurs. No, no, not seniors. No, not seniors. We do not consider ourselves being seniors, no. Okay, this is something to think about and probably to contribute during your discussions during ccNSO Council shop. Are there any… Alejandra.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, Katrina. I just wanted to give a super brief update on the Mentoring for the Fellowship Program, since I am the ccNSO Mentor. Just to tell you that I have in my group nine Fellows. From this nine Fellows, three are still pending on Visas, so we don’t know if they will be able to make it. But, from those nine I have five, no wait, four, that are completely ccTLD related. So, I hope all of them can make it to Montreal. They are working really hard on all the preparations and I will be trying to introduce them to the Community once we’re on site. And that’s it. Thank you.

KATRINA SATAKI: Yes, thank you very much. And yeah, also thanks to Nick who belongs to meet ICANN newcomers on Sunday. Tell them more about the ccNSO.
Anything else? Any other business? Okay, if there is none, then thank you very much for being on the call. Have a safe trip to Montreal and see you all there. Thank you. Bye-bye.

ADEBIYI OLADIPO: Thank you. Bye.

STEPHEN DEERHAKE: Bye all.

NICK WENBAN-SMITH: Bye.

PETER VAN ROSTE: Bye all.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Thank you, bye-bye.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]