Item 13.3 SOPWG update

The SOPWG has submitted its comment on the FY 18 Ops plan and Budget (https://ccnso.icann.org/about/ccnso-sop-wg-comments-24apr17-en.pdf).

The SOPWG will meet in Johannesburg and will meet with ICANN staff to discuss SOPWG submission and responses, review the SOPWG methods and review the SOPWG charter to align with current practices and the ccNSO Working Group Guideline.

Item 13.4 Written update TLD-OPS Steering Committee

See meeting notes circulated by Cristina Hesselman

Item 13.6 update CCWG UCTN

The Cross-Community Working Group ccNSO WG on Use of Names of Countries and Territories as Top-Level Domains (CWG-UCTN) sought public comments on its Interim Paper

(https://ccnso.icann.org/workinggroups/ccwg-ctn-interim-paper-09feb17-en.pdf[ccnso.icann.org], specifically on its draft conclusions and recommendations.

Read the announcement here: <u>https://www.icann.org/public-comments/cwg-uctn-interim-paper-</u> 2017-02-24-en[icann.org]

After the closure of the public comment forum (21 April 2017), staff worked on a summary of the comments received. Staff has posted a summary of the comments

received: <u>https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/report-comments-cwg-uctn-interim-paper-03may17-en.pdf</u>

The comments received refer to three categories:

- 1. The recommendations of the CWG;
- 2. The text of the Interim Report;
- 3. Proposals and rationales with respect to the use of 2- letter codes, 3-letter codes and full names of country and territories as TLDs.

The CWG has not discussed any of the comments received to date.

Staff has send out meeting invites for 2 or 3 calls leading up to ICANN59, to work towards a final report in time for that meeting. In preparation of the Johannesburg meeting, the text of the Interim report and the suggestions by commenters on the text, and provides a red-line before the first call or a suggestion for rationale not to include the suggested change.

Item 14.2: ALAC Update

Dear all,

During the month of April the ALAC had a meeting with ITEMS team in order to try to make them reconsider some recommendations in their At-Large review report but it seems that ITEMS will not change any of them and will keep the same position. April was also the policy statements month, ALAC members drafted several statements detailed in the link below:

https://community.icann.org/display/alacpolicydev/At-Large+Policy+Advice+Development+Page

Regards

Wafa