

# Looking back at ICANN81

## Overview survey results

### Table of contents

#### [1. MPC feedback during the meeting itself](#)

- [a. What went well?](#)
- [b. What can be improved?](#)
- [c. Any other comments?](#)

#### [2. Community feedback](#)

- [a. Mentimeter polls at session end](#)
- [b. Post-meeting satisfaction survey](#)

### 1. MPC feedback during the meeting itself

#### ☰ ICANN81 MPC feedback

##### a. What went well?

List your suggestion	Add your name (optional)
Variety of rooms was appropriate to accommodate different meetings (working groups = smaller rooms; general sessions = larger rooms)	Everton
For most sessions, people gathered well by the start time. (I thought it was a large room, but the seats seemed quite full.)	Yuri
For the ccTLD News session, although some people couldn't attend the Dry run, they were followed up at other times and everyone participated cooperatively in the session.	Yuri

##### b. What can be improved?

List your suggestion	Add your name (optional)
Minor formatting issues on some slides	Everton
Mentimeter was fairly well used for session rating	Everton


Sometimes, the sound was a bit muffled and hard to hear clearly.	Yuri
--	------

c. Any other comments?

List your suggestion	Add your name (optional)
TLD-Ops TTX was excellent, awesome problem-solving methodology	Everton + Yuri
I think we should decide whether in-person speakers should also turn on their cameras during presentations. (Personally, I think having them ON would be better.)	Yuri
The time tracker that Alejandra brought was a nice tool to make speakers aware of their allocated time.	Yuri
Presenters should be advised to improve contrast on their presentations	Everton


## 2. Community feedback

a. Mentimeter polls at session end




b. Post-meeting satisfaction survey

Q1




Q2



- especially regarding DNS Abuse and Internet Governance.
- I felt as though the topics covered were both wide-ranging and relevant. I particularly enjoyed the agenda items on internet governance.

- It had a good diversity of presentations, working sessions and initiatives.
- Interesting themes and discussions
- I only did attend that part of the meeting that was relevant for us. Also because I had to cover some other meetings. So it is difficult to review the meeting as a whole.


Q3



- appreciate ccNSO's obvious attention to planning.
- Absolutely, session participants and ccNSO staff were clearly well-prepared and had given great thought to the respective sessions.
- It would be great to hear from more ccNSO committee members at sessions as opposed to 'the same voices'. Workshops and interactive formats in other conference sessions worked well and facilitated more discussion and learning and an opportunity to get to know other attendees better
- Yes, I like the sessions

Q4


## How would you rate the quality of the ccNSO Members Meeting sessions?



- The WSIS+20 session was hard to follow. For non native English speakers is easier to have sessions with some visual aids.
- Is good to have the opportunity to know about the candidates


Q5

## I was able to connect with peers, colleagues, or other stakeholders during the meeting.



- Would be good to have some closed or separate sessions for committees to facilitate more conversation between committee members and deeper discussions.

Q6



- Mentimeter makes participation easy and anonymous.
- As a relative new person to the industry not yet confident speaking up in public sessions... would contribute more in smaller groups, closed session,

Q7

**What improvements, if any, would you suggest for the overall ccNSO Members Meeting experience? Please be as specific as possible.**

- Assure more engagement with the audience, use interactive approaches instead of monologues followed by questions
- None that I can think of.
- Nothing comes to mind, I truly thought ccNSO staff and session participants did a great job.
- Some closed sessions for working groups at conf to build those relationships particularly where new members, more working groups and bigger rooms for some sessions that are popular eg: dns abuse
- No physical participant should be left out of the get together cocktail
- I think the effort for translation is not used enough
- None at the moment

- More networking.
- I would suggest to consult with all council members if they are interested in chairing or organizing a session
- Interactivity is good, I like the mentometer

Q8

**Which topic or session should be on the agenda at the next ccNSO Members meeting?**

- DNS Abuse and IG.
- Appreciate continued focus on internet governance topics.
- "ccTLDs Financial Contribution review
- News on Universal Acceptance"
- Na
- New gTLD
- uDRP revision
- AI policies and tools.
- GDC and WSIS +20

Q9

**Is there anything else you would like to share about your experience at the ccNSO Members Meeting?**

- Thank you to organizers and planners.
- Thank you for all the hard work!
- All good. Great job!
- Na
- No
- Very good meeting, thanks for the secretariat <3
- The best global community 🙌