IANA Function Review

GRC Update

26 – 27 June 2018, Panama City

IANA Naming Function Review

Bylaws, Article 18

Periodic IFRs	Special IFRs

Section 18.2   Section 18.12

Caused by the Board
Carried out by an IANA Function Review Team (IFRT)

Periodic IFRs

- First Periodic IFR – no later than **1 October 2018**
- **No less** frequently than every five years
- Expected duration – approximately 1 year
- Defined in ICANN Bylaws
- Initiated/triggered by the ICANN Board
- Call for Nominations launched by ICANN Org (GDD) (to be expected soon)

Scope of Periodic IFRs, inter alia

- Review and evaluate the performance of PTI,
- Review and evaluate the IANA Naming Function SOW,
- Review and evaluate the performance and effectiveness of oversight by the EC and CSC
- Initiate public comment period for community input on PTI's performance
- Propose improvements, etc.

Special IFRs

- May be initiated outside of the cycle to address any deficiency, problem or other issue;
- Follow the same procedures and requirements applicable to Periodic IFRs, except:
  - The scope shall be focused on the PTI Performance Issue,
  - Review and analyse the information relevant to the scope,
  - Each recommendation must address the PTI Performance Issue.

Major Recommendation IFR: amend IANA Naming Contract

- The IFR Recommendation has been approved by the vote of:
  - a supermajority of the ccNSO Council **and**
  - a GNSO Supermajority.
- After a public comment period, the Board has approved the recommendation, and
- The EC has not rejected the Board's approval.

In any case where a recommendation of an IFRT focuses on a service **specific to ccTLD registry operators**, no such recommendation shall be made by the IFRT in any report to the community (including any report to the Board) if **opposition** to such recommendation is expressed **by any IFRT member appointed by the ccNSO**.

Section 18.5. (c)

Composition of IFR Teams

- 2 ccTLD registry operator representatives – appointed by the ccNSO;
- 1 non-ccNSO ccTLD representative – appointed by the ccNSO;
- 2 representatives – appointed by Registry SG;
- 1 representative – appointed by Registrars SG;
- 1 representative – appointed by Commercial SG;
- 1 representative – appointed by Non-Commercial SG;
- 1 representative – appointed by GAC;
- 1 representative – appointed by SSAC;
- 1 representative – appointed by RSSAC;
- 1 representative – appointed by ALAC;
- 1 liaison – appointed by the CSC;
- 1 liaison – may be appointed by ASO;
- 1 liaison – may be appointed by the IAB.

Composition of IFR Teams

- **2** ccTLD registry operator representatives – appointed by the ccNSO;
- **1** non-ccNSO ccTLD representative – appointed
- 2 representatives – appointed by Registr
- 1 representative – appointed by Registra
- 1 representative – appointed by Commer
- 1 representative – appointed by Non-Comm
- 1 representative – appointed by GAC;
- 1 representative – appointed by SSAC;
- 1 representative – appointed by RSSAC;
- 1 representative – appointed by ALAC;
- 1 liaison – appointed by the CSC;
- 1 liaison – may be appointed by ASO;
- 1 liaison – may be appointed by the IAB.

Shall NOT appoint members who are citizens of countries from the same ICANN Geographic Region

Expression of Interest

- Why the candidate is interested?
- What particular skills the candidate would bring?
- The candidate's knowledge of the IANA functions.
- The candidate's understanding of the purpose of the IFRT.
- That the candidate understands the time necessary to participate in the IFR process and can commit.

Thank you!