## Overview and Analysis of comments on Interim Paper IDN ccPDP WG 2

The IDN country code policy development process Working Group 2 (IDN ccPDP WG 2) has sought input from the community on its work to date, on developing recommendations for the inclusion of IDN country code Top Level Domain managers in the country code Names Supporting Organization.

Building on the Issue Report (see: <a href="http://ccnso.icann.org/policy/cctld-idn">http://ccnso.icann.org/policy/cctld-idn</a>), the WG identified potential issues that need to be resolved to include IDN ccTLD's in the ccNSO and published its Interim Report for public comment on 22 November 2010 (see: <a href="http://ccnso.icann.org/workinggroups/idn-pdp-wg2-final-interim-report-22nov10-en.pdf">http://ccnso.icann.org/workinggroups/idn-pdp-wg2-final-interim-report-22nov10-en.pdf</a>). According to the Interim Paper the WG has identified the following clusters of issues/topics regarding the inclusion of IDN ccTLD's in the ccNSO:

- 1. Membership definition.
- 2. Roles of members
  - a. Eligibility and selection of Councillors to the ccNSO Council
  - b. Initiation of PDP
  - c. Voting (Policy development process, selection of Councillors, other)
- 3. Quorum for voting
- 4. Scope of PDP as defined in Annex C

To resolve these issues the WG has also identified potential solutions.

The WG sought input from the community on the following:

- Are all topics and issues identified? If not, which topics should be included?
- Should other alternatives be added to resolve an issue identified?
- What is preferred solution, if any?

Comments could be submitted until 21 January 2011 at <a href="mailto:idn-cctld-ccnso@icann.org">idn-cctld-ccnso@icann.org</a>.

To date no comments were received.

The Working group reviewed the impact of lack of submission on its Interim Report and also takes into account the results of the discussions with the community during the Cartagena ICANN meeting (December 2010). Accordingly the members believe this indicates that 1. the working group has indentified all issues and 2. the direction to resolve the issues - IDN ccTLD should be treated similar as (ASCII) ccTLDs and one vote per ISO 3166-1 listed territory - is supported. The working group will now focus on a draft final report.

## **Background**

The purpose of the IDN country code policy development process Working Group 2 (IDN ccPDP WG 2) is to report on and identify feasible recommendations for the inclusion of IDN ccTLDs in the ccNSO within the framework of the IDN ccPDP.

The scope of the IDN ccPDP WG 2 is to focus on, without limitation, examination of Article IX of the ICANN Bylaws and associated Annexes (Annex B and C of the ICANN Bylaws). It shall also take into account the proposals and recommendations of the IDN country code policy development process Working Group 1 (IDN ccPDP WG 1) on the selection and delegation of IDN ccTLDs associated with the territories listed in the ISO 3166-1 (IDN ccTLDs).

As this IDN ccPDP WG 2 undertakes its activities within the framework of the IDN ccPDP, the limitations on the scope of a ccPDP, in particular by Article IX of and Annex C to the Bylaws, shall limit the scope of this WG accordingly.