Notes Programme Working Group Telephone Conference
24 August 2010

Attendees:

Ondrej Filip (Chair), .cz
Young-Eum Lee, .kr
Patricio Poblete, .cl

Staff Support:

Bart Boswinkel
Gabriella Schittek

Apologies:

Juhani Juselius, .fi
Hiro Hotta, .jp
Vika Mpisane, .za
Peter Van Roste, CENTR

1) Survey Evaluation

- The survey results from the Brussels meeting show that people were happy in general with the meeting – 95% had ranked meeting day 1 either as "Good" or "Excellent"; 83% ranked meeting day 2 either as "Good" or "Excellent".

- It was noted that the ICANN Board session had caused most reactions amongst the respondents. In general, people found the session informative, but there were also suggestions to restructure the session to make it more constructive. As the Working Group members did not know how to restructure it, it was suggested to contact Peter Dengate-Thrush and Mike Silber, share the results with them and ask whether they had any input and suggestions on how to lead the session.

  Action: Gabriella Schittek to contact Peter Dengate-Thrush and Mike Silber and inform them about the survey results and ask for input on the Board Update session. Ondrej Filip to be cc'd into the email.

- It was discussed that when sending out the Agenda to the community, it should be pointed out more clearly which sessions had been taken onboard as a result of the survey feedback, to demonstrate the community that the Programme Working Group is taking onboard the replies received.

- It was discussed how to receive more feedback from the community on topics and sessions for the meetings. It was agreed that the community should be asked via email about what general sessions they wish to see and also to invite them to give brief updates on cc news - however, emphasizing the fact it should be on new developments, not general updates.

Action: Gabriella Schittek to draft an email to the community, inviting cc's to suggest topics for sessions and inviting for short cc news updates. The email is to be sent to the Programme Working Group prior to posting, for approval of the language.

- The Programme Working Group members noted that several respondents had indicated they would like to have more panel discussions and it was agreed to try to use this model more often in upcoming meetings.

2) Upcoming Meeting – Blocked Sessions

- The Programme Working Group ran through the sessions that already had a fixed place on the agenda:

  - ccNSO Review – This sessions still needs to be confirmed as it is dependant on whether the ICANN Board Working Group will have produced their recommendations in time for the meeting. It was noted that the timing of the session needs careful scheduling, as several ICANN board members need to attend it.
  - It was decided to reschedule the Delegation & Redelegation session so that it is the last item on meeting day 1. The IDN PDP Working Group 1 & 2 session can be moved to Monday, if needed, but will be kept in the general ccNSO meetings agenda for now, subject to community input regarding requests for sessions.
  Action: Gabriella Schittek to make the necessary rescheduling to the agenda.
  - The Regional Organisation Update sessions generally score somewhat worse in the meetings compared to other sessions; however, it was felt that it was important to continue receiving the updates. It was agreed that there should be some discussions on whether the format of the updates can be changed.
  Action: Gabriella Schittek to contact the Regional Organisation managers to discuss a possible change of format in the Regional Organisation Update sessions.
  - It was agreed that the suggested RAA session could be held as a panel disussion, however, the time would need to be extended to 75 minutes. Lesley Cowley, who suggested the session, should be contacted for more input on how it could be structured. ICANN's Policy Department should also be asked for input.
  Action: Gabriella Schittek to contact Lesley Cowley to get input on how to structure the RAA session; Bart Boswinkel to get input from ICANN's Policy Department.

3) Upcoming Meeting – Suggestions for New Sessions

- The Programme Working Group members noted that some of the input to the meeting survey, suggesting topics like ENUM, or IPv6 updates, rather would fit under the Tech Working Day.

Action: Gabriella Schittek to contact Eberhard Lisse, informing him that these topics were on the survey "wish list" and ask whether they could be covered during the Tech Day.

- Jörg Schweiger had indicated in an email that he would like to talk about DENIC network infrastructure changes during the Cartagena ccNSO meeting - it was agreeed that he should be asked to clarify what his presentation would cover and it would then need to be considered whether it would be more suitable for the tech day.
  Action: Gabriella Schittek to ask Jörg Schweiger to clarify what his presentation would cover.

- The Working Group noted that DNSSEC and Security issues were mentioned as topics, which the community would like to hear more about at future meetings. However, it was decided that the group would wait with deciding what topics should be dealt with until the community has delivered input to what they would like to see on the Cartagena agenda.

- It was agreed that an email should be sent to the Programme Working Group list, asking for input for sessions for the Cartagena meeting agenda.
  Action: Gabriella Schittek to send out an email to the Programme Working Group email list, asking for input to the Cartagena meeting agenda.

4) AOB

- The next Programme Working Group call is to be scheduled in the week 13-17 September 2010, so that a first draft agenda can be presented to the ccNSO Council in the upcoming Council call.
  Action: Gabriella Schittek to schedule a call for the Working Group in the week 13-17 September 2010.

.