

ICANN – CCNSO - FOIWG

Report (draft V1) for 4 August 2011 – 13:00 UTC

1. Present / apologies

ccNSO :

Becky Burr, NomCom (Vice Chair)

Keith Davidson, .nz (Chair)

Chris Disspain, .au

Stephen Deerhake, .as

Daniel Kalchev, .bg

Patricio Poblete, .cl

Kathryn Reynolds, .ca

Nigel Roberts, .gg

Bill Semich, .nu

Dotty Sparks de Blanc, .vi

GAC:

Mark Carvell

Suzanne Radell

Ruth Puente

Liaisons:

Cheryl Langdon Orr, At-Large

Staff Support and Special Advisors:

Jaap Akkerhuis, ICANN / ISO

Kim Davies, IANA

Gabriella Schitteck, ICANN

Bernard Turcotte, ICANN

Apologies:

Ugo Akiri

Martin Boyle

Dejan Djukic

Eberhard Lisse

Bart Boswinkel

Kristina Nordström

2. Meeting report for 21 July 2011

2.1. The report was accepted.

3. Terminology Paper (FOIWG-terminology-V3.7BT)

- 3.1. There were no comments and the Chair noted that he would appreciate any last substantive comments on the working group mailing list in the next 48 hours with a view to approving the document at the next meeting.

4. Consent Paper (FOIWG-Consent-V2.0BT)

- 4.1. First meeting where this paper is considered by the working group.

- 4.2. Section 4.1.1.2 – Inferred-Questionable - BB, NR and BT will resolve which term to use for the next version of the document.

- 4.3. Section 4.3.2.5.5 – NR objected to the implication that RFC1591 accepts AC and TC consent as a substitute for manager consent. It should be clearly stated that this is what IANA has evolved as a procedure. General agreement. BT will fix.

- 4.4. Section 6.3.3.1- PP – “Consent that is a clear, definite, exact, and unmistakable.” - typo, BT will correct.

- 4.5. Section 6.3.5.2 – various objections

- 4.5.1. “ICANN-IANA cannot be responsible for ensuring that the consent that is given by a Manager is Informed. The text requesting consent should be clear as to what is being asked and what the results of granting or not granting consent will be. It should also advise the Manager to seek legal advice prior to granting consent so that its consent is informed.”

- 4.5.2. BB, NR and BT will consult to produce an amended version.

- 4.6. Section 6.3.6 – NR objection to proposed definition.

- 4.6.1. “Involuntary (legal definition) - Performed against one’s will.”
- 4.6.2. BB, NR and BT will consult to produce an amended version.

- 4.7. Section 6.3.7 – Voluntary – various objections - BB, NR and BT will consult to produce an amended version.
- 4.8. Section 6.3.8 – BB and EL have proposed an alternate definition which should be discussed on the mailing list. BB will present the proposed definition on the group mailing list.
- 4.9. Section 6.4 no comments
- 4.10. Section 6.5 – General discussion of the definitions. These discussions should be completed on the mailing list.
- 4.11. Consideration of the Paper was halted at this point.

- 5. Report on the ad-hoc working group on property
 - 5.1. BB has not yet provided a formal document summarizing the results.

- 6. Any other business
 - 6.1. No participants had any other business

- 7. Future Meetings (all meetings are 2 hours unless previously specified otherwise)
 - 7.1. 11 Aug at 21:00UTC (Terminology, Consent)

- 7.2. 1 Sep at 21:00UTC (Initial review of consultation on Terminology, start Valid Admin Contact)
- 7.3. 8 Sep at 05:00UTC (Final review of consultation on Terminology, continue Valid Admin Contact)
- 7.4. 22 Sep at 13:00UTC (Finalise Valid Admin Contact)
- 7.5. 6 Oct at 21:00UTC (Finalise everything for Senegal)
- 7.6. 13 Oct at 05:00UTC (Spare just in case)

8. Conclusion of the meetings

- 8.1. The meeting was concluded at about 06:30 UTC.