MINUTES

ccNSO Council meeting 219 Thursday, 17 July 2025 | 18.00-19.30 UTC

Table of contents

Resolutions	2
Action Items	2
Attendees	3
Apologies Received	4
Background and supporting documents	4
Agenda	4
ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS	4
1. Welcome	4
2. Relevant Correspondence	5
3. Minutes & Action Items	5
4. Intermeeting Council Decisions (since meeting 218, June 2025)	7
5. Intermeeting Decisions Triage Committee since Council meeting 218	7
UPDATES	7
6. Update ECA, IFRT & CSC (written updates)	8
7. Update Working Groups (written updates)	8
8. Update Liaisons (written updates)	8
9. Update ccNSO Website redesign	
10. Progress Board Consideration ccPDP4-IDN	9
11. Update Chair, Vice-chairs, Councillors, Regional Organisations and Secretariat	9
ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS & DECISIONS	9
12. Adoption ccNSO portfolio FY 26-27	9
13. Call for nominations Board Seat 11: decision regarding election	10
14. Approval of 2nd IANA Function Review Team recommendations	11
15. Appointment members or chairs/vice chairs to committees and working groups, if any	11
16. CSC related matters	12
SUBSTANTIVE MATTERS & DECISIONS	
17. Progress Review of Reviews	12
18. ICANN84	13
19. Any Other Business (AOB)	14
20. Next Council Meetings	15
21 Adjourn	15

Resolutions

• Resolution 219-01

The ccNSO Council adopts the FY26-27 workplan as proposed by the Council Triage Committee, and included in its note to Council circulated on 10 July 2025. The ccNSO Secretariat is requested to publish the workplan on the ccNSO website. This is an administrative decision and becomes effective upon publication.

• Resolution 219-02

The ccNSO Council support the suggestion to strike the option "None of the above" from the ballot, and support the suggestion that no election is needed if only one candidate has been duly nominated, seconded, and accepted the nomination. The secretariat is requested to adjust the Board Nomination timeline accordingly (i.e. for the case of only one candidate) and the ballot. Although administrative in nature, this decision affects members directly, hence this decision becomes effective seven (7) days after publication.

• Resolution 219-03

The ccNSO Council appoints the following people:

- Member IGLC: Jaijit Bhattacharya
- Member MPC: Heidy Isabel Hernandez Lopez
- Members ccPAIG: Irina Danelia, Peter Koch, Nick Wenban-Smith, Jordan Carter and Chris Disspain

The secretariat is requested to inform the various working groups and committees and persons appointed and publish this resolution as soon as possible. This resolution becomes effective upon publication.

Action Items

• Action item 219-01

The secretariat is requested to publish the adopted FY26-27 workplan

• Action item 219-02

For the ongoing Board Seat 11 nomination process, the secretariat is requested to publish the resolution on adjustment of voting process, in the event of only one candidate.

• Action item 219-03

The Guidelines Review Committee (GRC) is requested to consider formalizing Council voting periods, specifying clear opening and closing times for online votes.

• Action Item 219-04

The secretariat is requested to include approval of IFRT recommendations on the agenda for Council Meeting #220.

• Action Item 219-05

Regarding the appointment of the members to the various working groups and committees, the secretariat is requested to inform the respective working groups and

enable the appointees to fulfil their role. The secretariat is requested to publish this resolution as soon as possible on the ccNSO website.

• Action Item 219-06:

The Secretariat is requested to circulate a note to the Council outlining the proposed ICANN Bylaw and CSC Charter changes. The note should cover:

- Provisions to accommodate alternates, including term limits, roles, and replacement procedures.
- Adjustments to the timing between consecutive CSC Effectiveness Reviews.

The purpose is to seek comments from Council members to inform the next iteration of the draft changes.

• Action Item 219-07

Chair to keep Councillors posted on progress development charter Review of Reviews and related matters.

• Action Item 219-08

The ccNSO Council Chair, together with Peter Koch and Luis Diego Espinoza (the ccNSO-appointed members of the RSSAC Governance Working Group), are tasked with preparing the joint session between the ccNSO and RSSAC.

• Action Item 219-09

The Secretariat is requested to include, on Council Agenda Meeting 220, an item to vote on the ccNSO's support for the proposed transfer of intellectual property rights on IANA from the IETF Fund to the IETF IPMC.

Attendees

Atrica			
	Ali Hadji Mmadi, .km		
	Biyi Oladipo, .ng (Vice-Chair)		
	Molehe Wesi, .za		
Asia/Aı	ustralia/Pacific		
\checkmark	Jordan Carter, .au (Vice-Chair)		
\checkmark	Ai-Chin Lu		
\checkmark	Jiankang Yao, .cn		
Europe			
<u>.</u>	Chris Disspain		
\checkmark	Peter Koch, .de		
\checkmark	Nigel Roberts, .jj, ge		
Latin A	merica/ Caribbean		
_	Everton T. Rodrigues, .br		
	Jenifer Lopez, .pa		
\checkmark	Alejandra Reynoso (Chair)		

North America
☐ Sean Copeland, .vi
☑ Stephen Deerhake, .as
☐ Pablo Rodriguez, .pr
T abio (touriguez, .pr
NomCom appointed Councillors
☐ Jaijit Bhattacharyya
☐ Olga Cavalli
_
✓ Wafa Dahmani
Liaisons to ccNSO, appointed by ALAC and GNSO ☐ Desiree Miloshevic, GNSO liaison to ccNSO Council ☐ Laura Margolis, ALAC liaison to ccNSO Council
IOANIN OL W
ICANN Staff
☐ Joke Braeken
☑ Bart Boswinkel
☑ Claudia Ruiz
Invited Guests
none
Regional Organisations
☑ Barrack Otieno, AfTLD
☐ Phavanhna Douangboupha, APTLD
☐ Peter Van Roste, CENTR
☐ Rocio de la Fuente, LACTLD

Apologies Received

Sean Copeland (.vi), Councillor North-American region Joke Braeken, ccNSO Secretariat

Background and supporting documents

- Council workspace for this meeting: https://icann-community.atlassian.net/wiki/x/F4wlBg
- ccNSO correspondence: statements and responses: https://ccnso.icann.org/en/about/statements.htm

Agenda

ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

1. Welcome

Alejandra Reynoso, ccNSO Council Chair, opened the meeting and gave an update to agenda: On item 14. After this item was included we were alerted that the IFR Team has not yet formally adopted its Final report, so any decision would be premature.

With respect to item 16(a), Alejandra noted that no decision is required at this stage regarding the possible extension of the call for volunteers. On the final day of the call, two complete applications were received. The next step in the process will be for the group to select a preferred candidate. Prior to the final selection, formal coordination with the Registry Stakeholder Group will be necessary. This coordination will be undertaken by the CSC Selection Committee. Alejandra reminded all that the members of the CSC Selection Committee are Molehe [Wesi], Jiankang [Yao], Peter [Koch], Jennifer [Lopez], Pablo [Rodriguez], Olga [Cavalli], and Jaijit [Bhattacharya].

No questions were raised.

Two items of Any Other Business (AOB) were noted. The first concerned the transfer of intellectual property rights from the IETF Trust to the IETF Intellectual Property Management Corporation in Delaware. The second related to the recently published Continuous Improvement Framework. The Chair inquired whether there were any additional items of business to be raised. As no further items were identified, the meeting proceeded to the next agenda item.

a. SOI updates

Consult the ccNSO SOI Guideline
Complete the SOI template
Check the ccNSO Statements of Interest

No updates for SOI

b. Meeting quorum

Claudia Ruiz from the ccNSO Secretariat noted that apologies for the meeting had been received from Sean Copeland. She also confirmed that the meeting was quorate.

2. Relevant Correspondence

a. Letter to Jonathan Zuck re Community Reconsideration Request

3. Minutes & Action Items

a. Minutes Meeting 218

Circulated to the Council mailing list on 30 June 2025. The 218th meeting's minutes are approved. No questions or comments were raised.

b. Action Items Meeting 218

Alejandra Reynoso, the Chair, then turned to the review of action items. Two action items remain ongoing. The first is Action Item 5 concerning the 360 Review. This item is still in progress, and members were reminded to complete their reviews as soon as possible. It was noted that the process does not take much time and, as previously agreed, provides valuable input.

The second ongoing action item relates to the deferral of the third CSC Effectiveness Review, which is scheduled for completion by mid-August. All other action items have been completed.

The Chair invited questions regarding the status of the action items. Nigel Roberts, ccNSO Councillor from the European region, indicated he will not complete the 360 review survey, due to him being too short a time on Council.

No questions or comments regarding other action items were raised.

• Action Item 218-01 (Completed)

The ccNSO secretariat is requested to publish the ccNSO Council Election Process guideline as soon as possible.

• Action Item 218-02 (Completed)

The ccNSO secretariat is requested to publish the proposed timeline for the ccNSO Council election as soon as possible.

• Action Item 218-03 (Completed)

The ccNSO secretariat is requested to publish the proposed timeline for the Board Seat 11 Nomination Process as soon as possible.

• Action item 218-04 (Completed)

The Secretariat is requested to circulate the 360-degree Council review surveys by 1 July 2025 to all eligible Council members. The deadline for responses is 18 July 2025.

Once the survey period has closed, the Secretariat will share the results with the Chair of the Council. In the case of the Chair being reviewed, the results will be shared with both Vice-Chairs.

Action item 218-05 (Ongoing)

After the closure of the 360-degree Council review surveys, the Chair of the Council will meet individually with each Councillor who was the subject of a review. In the case of the Chair being reviewed, both Vice-Chairs will jointly meet with the Chair to discuss the results.

• Action item 218-06 (Completed)

The ccNSO Secretariat is requested to publish the resolution regarding the adoption of the ToR for the Study Group on Disaster Recovery as soon as possible, and to launch a call for volunteers, once there is a decision regarding the timeline.

• Action item 218-07 (Completed)

The ccNSO Secretariat is requested to publish the resolution regarding the adoption of the ToR for the Study Group on IANA Public Records as soon as possible, and to launch a call for volunteers, once there is a decision regarding the timeline.

• Action item 218-08 (Completed)

The secretariat is requested to update the overview of policies, procedures and practices relevant to ccTLDs, and to publish the updated version of the overview.

• Action item 218-09 (Completed)

The secretariat is requested to publish the resolution regarding the closure of the ccPDP3-RM WG and the update of the policy overview as soon as possible.

• Action item 218-10 (Completed)

The ccNSO Secretariat is requested to publish the resolution regarding the call for volunteers for the ccNSO Policy Implementation Assistance Group review Mechanism (ccPAIG RM) as soon as possible.

• Action item 218-11 (Completed)

The ccNSO Secretariat is requested to launch the call for volunteers for the ccNSO Policy Implementation Assistance Group review Mechanism (ccPAIG RM) as soon as possible.

• Action item 218-12 (Completed)

Regarding the appointment of the members to the various working groups and committees, the secretariat is requested to inform the respective working groups and enable the appointees to fulfil their role. The secretariat is requested to publish this resolution as soon as possible on the ccNSO website.

• Action item 218-13 (Completed, see item 16 a)

The ccNSO Secretariat is requested to launch a call for volunteers for one CSC member and one alternate, as per the timeline approved by Council. Furthermore, the Secretariat is requested to publish the resolution regarding the adoption of the timeline and the decision regarding the launch of the call for volunteers as soon as possible.

• Action item 218-14 (Completed)

The secretariat is requested to publish the resolution regarding the deferral of the 3rd CSC Effectiveness Review as soon as possible.

• Action item 218-15 (Ongoing)

The ccNSO Council chair is requested to - jointly with the chair of the GNSO Council - inform the chair of the ICANN Board of Directors that the ccNSO and GNSO Councils will defer defining the method on how the 3rd CSC Effectiveness Review will be conducted.

4. Intermeeting Council Decisions (since meeting 218, June 2025)

- a. Decision on procedure on how to handle ALAC's Community Reconsideration Request (25 Jun 2025)
- b. Decision not to support the ALAC Community Reconsideration Request (special Council meeting 8 July 2025)

There were no additional intermeeting decisions. No questions or comments were raised.

5. Intermeeting Decisions Triage Committee since Council meeting 218

There were no intermeeting decisions by the ccNSO Triage Committee.

UPDATES

With respect to items 6, 7, and 8, it was noted that the written updates remain pending and will be circulated to members in due course. The Chair invited any immediate comments from the floor; none were raised.

6. Update ECA, IFRT & CSC (written updates)

Regarding the CSC, the matter would be discussed under item 16. As for the IANA Function Review, it was reported that the final report will be published shortly and will include two recommendations requiring Council action. Consideration of this item, which had been included on the agenda, will therefore be deferred until August.

The Chair invited Peter Koch, ccNSO appointee to the IFRT to provide any additional remarks or to note any points that may have been overlooked. Peter provided additional information concerning the IANA Function Review. He reported that, together with co-chair Ashley, the findings had been presented to the GNSO Council during the previous week. At that time, while the report had not yet been formally published, it was already finalized. He noted that all comments received during the consultation had been reviewed. However, no changes were made to the report, and the recommendations therefore remain unchanged. Two of the recommendations will require amendments to the contract. For that reason, both the ccNSO and the GNSO will need to approve these recommendations by supermajority before the IFRT can transmit the report to the ICANN Board. Peter confirmed that the recommendations had been set out in detail in the report already shared with members. He further invited questions regarding the review, the report, or the comments received—whether during the meeting or bilaterally—and indicated his willingness to provide clarification as needed. Bart Boswinkel, ccNSO Secretariat, inquired of Peter whether two separate informational letters would be required—one from the GNSO Council and one from the ccNSO Council—or whether a joint letter would suffice. In response, Peter Koch indicated that he would defer to the IFRT support staff on this matter, noting that they were better placed to provide guidance and expressing the hope that the back-channel communication would be effective. The Chair, Alejandra Reynoso, concluded that the issue would be addressed at the August meeting.

7. Update Working Groups (written updates)

- A. ccPDP4-IDN Dormant
- B. DASC
- C. FIN2 WG
- D. GRC
- E. IGLC
- F. MPC
- G. OMC
- H. SOPC (no meeting since ICANN83)
- I. Tech WG (no meeting since ICANN83)
- J. TLD-OPS SC
- K. Triage Committee
- L. UAC
- M. CIP-CCG
- N. Planning Prioritization Process group
- O. RZERC
- P. RSS GWG

Q. ICANN How We Meet Group (dormant)

8. Update Liaisons (written updates)

Written updates - if any - are published on the wiki space for this meeting.

Update ccNSO Liaison to ALAC
Update ccNSO Liaison to GNSO Council
Update ccNSO Liaison to UASG

9. Update ccNSO Website redesign

Alejandra Reynoso provided an update regarding the ccNSO website redesign, she indicated that according to current planning, the website will go live in December 2025, depending on progress of developing new content for the new website. The steering group is making progress in developing new and reviewing existing content and ICANN hired a proof reader.

10. Progress Board Consideration ccPDP4-IDN

Bart Boswinkel, ccPDP4 Issue Manager, invited Patricio Poblete, Chair of the Board Caucus, to supplement his remarks if needed. Bart explained that staff support is in the process of assessing the recommendations contained in the policy document. He observed that the document is lengthy and includes complex areas, in particular those relating to variant management and confusing similarity. The review has now progressed to the section concerning validation procedures. While acknowledging that certain issues remain challenging, Bart emphasized that steady progress is being made. He also highlighted scheduling constraints affecting both staff and the caucus, noting that this is an ongoing consideration. Patricio Poblete added that he had no substantial points to supplement Bart's report, which he considered to be complete. He remarked that the caucus is making good progress, although a few issues may still present difficulties. Alejandra thanked both Bart and Patricio for their updates and invited questions or comments from members. No questions were raised, and the meeting proceeded to the next item.

11. Update Chair, Vice-chairs, Councillors, Regional Organisations and Secretariat

a. Secretariat update: change affiliation Pablo Rodriguez

Alejandra reported that no objections had been raised by the North American members to Pablo Rodriguez, ccNSO Council Member from the North American region, in continuing in his role in a private capacity. Accordingly, Alejandra confirmed this matter is considered closed. Pablo expressed his gratitude to his colleagues from the North American Region for their support and reaffirmed his commitment to the ccNSO and the broader community.

b. Roundtable 16 July 2025 - Review of reviews

Alejandra noted that the roundtable held on 16 July would be addressed under item 17.

ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS & DECISIONS

12. Adoption ccNSO portfolio FY 26-27

The Council approved the resolution regarding the adoption of the ccNSO Portfolio FY 26-27. The resolution was moved by Pablo Rodriguez and seconded by Wafa Dahmani. There were no questions regarding the resolution, the motion was adopted.

Alejandra noted that the Council has received a proposal from the Triage Committee to adopt the FY26-27 Portfolio of Activities and Work Plan. The proposal includes an overview of all current and anticipated work items requiring a duration of at least two months, an analysis of resourcing from both volunteers and staff, and suggestions regarding prioritization and scheduling of upcoming work items. Alejandra invited members of the Triage Committee to provide any comments or clarifications. Chris Disspain, ccNSO Triage Committee Chair, responded that the report is self-explanatory and offered to address any questions from members.

• Resolution 219-01

The ccNSO Council adopts the FY26-27 workplan as proposed by the Council Triage Committee, and included in its note to Council circulated on 10 July 2025. The ccNSO Secretariat is requested to publish the workplan on the ccNSO website. This is an administrative decision and becomes effective upon publication.

• Action item 219-01

The secretariat is requested to publish FY26-27 workplan

13. Call for nominations Board Seat 11: decision regarding election

The Council approved the resolution to adjust the Board Seat voting process in the event of only one candidate. The resolution was moved by Wafa Dahmani, and seconded by Chris Disspain. Nigel Roberts abstained.

Alejandra noted that the "none of the above" option, introduced in 2017, has caused questions due to its unclear meaning, minimal use, and the fact that it requires an election even with a single candidate. The GRC did not propose an update, but Section 5.2 of the Board's Nomination Guideline allows the Council to act if the option's impact is unreasonable.

Stephen Deerhake, ccNSO Council member from the North American region, commented that the option has been problematic from the outset and should be removed.

Nigel Roberts, ccNSO Council member from the European region, expressed agreement with Stephen, noting that the "none of the above" option was never requested by a significant portion of the membership and had been introduced unilaterally by the GRC at the time. While he agreed that the option is unnecessary and should be removed, Nigel emphasized concerns about the process. He highlighted the importance of adhering to the ccNSO rules, and cautioned against changing election rules once an election has commenced. Nigel indicated that, although he viewed the option as problematic, he was uncomfortable removing it mid-election and would likely abstain from the vote.

Bart Boswinkel, ccNSO Secretariat, clarified that the nomination period had just opened and that voting had not yet commenced. He noted that the ccNSO rules require a minimum seven-day period after nominations open to allow members to raise concerns or request a review, ensuring the process aligns with established procedures.

Nigel responded that, while he agreed on substance, he remained concerned about the process and the need for formal membership endorsement for such changes.

Jordan Carter added that, substantively, the "none of the above" option is unnecessary and has little practical effect. He observed that contested elections should be addressed through nominations and that, in terms of process, amending the electoral process is within the powers provided by the existing guideline. He expressed comfort with proceeding to a vote.

Peter Koch, ccNSO Councillor European region, acknowledged Nigel's concerns about timing. He noted that, while the change could have been considered earlier, he did not view it as a Constitutional issue and expressed support for the motion once moved. Alejandra explained that the change is minimal, does not interfere with the election, and removes an unnecessary step that the Council broadly agrees should be eliminated. Stephen Deerhake raised a general point that Council voting periods should be formalized, with a clear opening and closing time, rather than being rushed. He clarified this was a broader observation and not specific to the current item. Alejandra Reynoso acknowledged his suggestion and noted it could be taken up with the GRC. Alejandra added that the current procedure for the item under discussion was followed, and the item was approved.

• Resolution 219-02

The ccNSO Council support the suggestion to strike the option "None of the above" from the ballot, and support the suggestion that no election is needed if only one candidate has been duly nominated, seconded, and accepted the nomination. The secretariat is requested to adjust the Board Nomination timeline accordingly (i.e. for the case of only one candidate) and the ballot. Although administrative in nature, this decision affects members directly, hence this decision becomes effective seven (7) days after publication.

• Action item 219- 02

For the ongoing Board Seat 11 nomination process, the secretariat is requested to publish the resolution on adjustment of voting process, in the event of only one candidate.

• Action item 219-03

The Guidelines Review Committee (GRC) is requested to consider formalizing Council voting periods, specifying clear opening and closing times for online votes.

14. Approval of 2nd IANA Function Review Team recommendations

This item was noted as premature, as the final report has not yet been formally published, although it has been circulated. Discussion and consideration of this item will be deferred to the August meeting.

• Action Item 219-04

The secretariat is requested to include approval of IFRT recommendations on the agenda for Meeting #220.

15. Appointment members or chairs/vice chairs to committees and working groups, if any

The Council approved the resolution regarding the appointment of members or chairs and vice chairs to committees and working groups. The resolution was moved by Everton Rodrigues and seconded by Wafa Dahmani. No further questions or comments were raised.

• Resolution 219-03

The ccNSO Council appoints the following people:

- Member IGLC: Jaijit Bhattacharya
- Member MPC: Heidy Isabel Hernandez Lopez
- Members ccPAIG: Irina Danelia, Peter Koch, Nick Wenban-Smith, Jordan Carter and Chris Disspain

The secretariat is requested to inform the various working groups and committees and persons appointed and publish this resolution as soon as possible. This resolution becomes effective upon publication.

• Action Item 219-05

Regarding the appointment of the members to the various working groups and committees, the secretariat is requested to inform the respective working groups and enable the appointees to fulfil their role. The secretariat is requested to publish this resolution as soon as possible on the ccNSO website.

16. CSC related matters

a. Progress Selection of Member

This subitem has been overtaken by events and will not be discussed at this time. Councillors were reminded that a replacement for Frederico Neves will need to be selected, with two candidates under consideration. Councillors will be asked to indicate their preferred candidate to proceed with the selection process.

b. Bylaw and Charter Update to accommodate Adjustment frequency of reviews and Alternates

Bart Boswinkel, ccNSO Secretariat, provided an update on proposed CSC Bylaw and charter changes. In coordination with GNSO support staff options were explored regarding the formal introduction of alternates. In addition, changes to the charter and Bylaw were discussed regarding the adjustment of the frequency of reviews. The staff note regarding the proposals regarding the CSC Alternates will be circulated to Council. Feedback on the draft is requested within one week to inform the next iteration.

If feasible, the updated CSC charter and related Bylaws changes will be presented at the August meeting. The ccNSO and GNSO Council will need to support the relevant changes to the charter, before they will go for public comment.

Third topic is that the CSC has adopted a framework for a general review of PTI/IANA service SLAs, as recommended in the second CSC Effectiveness Review. This review process will begin in October at the CSC meeting at ICANN84.

No questions or concerns were raised.

• Action Item 219-05:

The Secretariat is requested to circulate a note to the Council outlining the proposed ICANN Bylaw and CSC Charter changes. The note should cover:

- Provisions to accommodate alternates, including term limits, roles, and replacement procedures.
- Adjustments to the timing between consecutive CSC Effectiveness Reviews.

The purpose is to seek comments from Council members to inform the next iteration of the draft changes.

17. Progress Review of Reviews

Alejandra Reynoso reported that since 8 July, progress has been made on establishing a small cross-community group to manage the Review of Reviews process. The ALAC Request for Reconsideration of the ATR4 Board resolution will not proceed; the ASO NRO supports the ccNSO Council's position. A draft charter has been prepared, and ICANN is compiling relevant review data. Key points agreed:

- Up to 18 members, maximum of two nominees per SO/AC nominating group; members act as experts, not representatives.
- Final outcomes require SO/AC approval.
- Preliminary findings to be shared in Dublin, proposals refined for Mumbai, final report presented at the next ICANN meeting.

The cross-community group will launch once the charter is finalized. Alejandra Reynoso requested the Council's mandate for Vice chairs Biyi Oladipo, Jordan Carter, as well as Councillor from the European region Chris Disspain, and herself to continue discussions and finalize the cross-community group charter. Peter Koch, ccNSO Council member European region, sought clarification on the scope of the mandate and the process for final decision-making. Chris noted in the chat that "the Board would make the final decision on the charter, but it would be valuable for the team to act first". Jordan Carter clarified that the mandate does not pre-approve any recommendations; final outputs will still require approval from the chartering organizations. Alejandra confirmed that the green ticks reflected trust to finalize the charter, with all subsequent nomination processes handled internally once the group is kick-started.

• Action Item 219-07

Chair to keep Councillors posted on progress development charter Review of Reviews and related matters.

18. ICANN84

Tentative block schedule: for discussion

Claudia Ruiz, ccNSO Secretariat, provided a quick review of the draft schedule for ICANN84 in Dublin, which will take place Saturday through Thursday 25 - 30 October 2025. She noted the draft is very early and tentative. The schedule remains in flux, with coordinators continuing discussions to finalize blocks and topics. She went over the weekend sessions, and added that Tech Day will have a block for DASC.

Bart Boswinkel highlighted that some sessions, such as the DASC/GAC joint session, are intended for educational discussions on domain abuse and financial fraud, following the Prague model. He noted that the Review of Reviews process may require additional consultation sessions with ccTLDs, which could impact the schedule, particularly for Disaster Recovery sessions.

Stephen Deerhake, Council member North American region, queried whether sessions would be new or a continuation for GAC participants, given high turnover; Bart confirmed the domain abuse session is new and focused on education.

Peter Koch, Council member European region, asked whether the study groups follow up on the PGA recommendation. Bart Boswinkel clarified there are two components:

- Disaster Recovery study group: Charter adopted, likely launched prior to the session; the session provides an opportunity to introduce the topic and gather feedback.

- IANA data accuracy study group: Informal gathering for interested participants; penciled in tentatively.

Peter noted that while the sessions appear in parallel, they had agreed they should be staggered. Bart confirmed the clarification. Peter furthermore inquired about the joint session on Thursday, between ccNSO and RSSAC. Alejandra Reynoso explained that the session was proposed by RSSAC Chair Jeff Osborn. He suggested a joint session with the ccTLDs to provide a general introduction to Root Server operations and RSSAC activities. Alejandra noted it would serve as a "refresher" and myth-busting session for participants. Bart Boswinkel confirmed that planning has not yet started. The session is still being coordinated with the MPC, and final content has not been determined.

Peter Koch observed that if a draft report from the RSS GWG is circulated by Dublin, it could be included in the session. He also highlighted the need to avoid dedicating the session only to Root Server operators without acknowledging the working group's policy work. Bart agreed and suggested taking the discussion offline, inviting Peter and Luis (both ccTLD reps on the RSS WG) to participate in structuring the session. Alejandra confirmed they would be involved once the conversation with RSSAC begins.

Alejandra furthermore noted that Monday's Community session block one is already reserved for the Review of Reviews discussion. A second block was initially considered for continuation but remains tentatively available if needed. Overall, the schedule remains very tentative; further adjustments are expected.

Claudia Ruiz noted that during Sunday block one, there will be a small TLD Ops group meeting alongside Council preparation. She explained that the purpose of the Council prep is to coordinate discussion on which councilors will attend other meetings and report back. Unlike past practices of meeting a week in advance, this prep will happen on-site.

• Action Item 219-07

The ccNSO Council Chair, together with Peter Koch and Luis Diego Espinoza (the ccNSO-appointed members of the RSSAC Governance Working Group), are tasked with preparing the joint session between the ccNSO and RSSAC.

19. Any Other Business (AOB)

a. Introducing Note Maarten Simon on transfer IANA related Intellectual property rights from IETF Fund to IETF IPMC, and expected role of the ccNSO (Council)

Bart Boswinkel, ccNSO Secretariat, summarized that Maarten's note regarding the transfer is straightforward and self-explanatory. The Council will need to take a decision, which is planned for the August agenda. He emphasized that any questions could be directed to him or Maarten and clarified that ccNSO approval is required for the transfer, as it represents the relevant parties on the IANA Naming Function.

• Action Item 219-09

The Secretariat is requested to include, on Council Agenda Meeting 220, an item to vote on the ccNSO's support for the proposed transfer of intellectual property rights on IANA from the IETF Fund to the IETF IPMC.

b. Continuous Improvement Framework - introduce final report

Alejandra Reynoso introduced the Continuous Improvement Framework, noting that it will replace the Organizational Reviews of the ccNSO. She mentioned that a workshop is planned in

Dublin, inviting working group and committee chairs, as well as Councilors, to discuss and reach agreement on its implementation.

Bart Boswinkel, ccNSO Secretariat, clarified that the relevant GRC discussion took place at the previous Monday's meeting. Sean Copeland, GRC Chair, sent his apologies and could not join the call. Chris Disspain, Council member European region, confirmed he had nothing further to add and supported the proposed approach.

Alejandra concluded that the matter will be further discussed and decided at the August meeting.

There were no additional items for Any Other Business.

20. Next Council Meetings

- 21 August 2025 | 12:00 UTC (Meeting 220)
- 18 September 2025 | 21:00 UTC (Meeting 221)
- 30 October 2025 | time TBC, Meeting 222 (ICANN84)
- 20 November 2025 | 12:00 UTC, Meeting 223
- 18 December 2025 | 18:00 UTC, Meeting 224

21. Adjourn