# Budget WG

New Zealand

March 2006

# Background

- Cc´s contribution to ICANN - an issue since 1997

- Budget Fee WG created April 6, 2005, MdP

- Bart, Dotty, Young-Eum, Eva

# During the years

- Various budgets

- % and # of the budget

- Discussions with ICANN Staff (Kurt, Theresa, Melanie)

# Areas that raise the budget

- Policy development support
- Internet governance
- Outreach
- IDN project
- IANA functions
- Anycast

# Sources of revenue

- RIR

- GtId´s

- CctId´s

# Current Status for cctld´s

- Strategic plan broken down into a budget
- Kurts doc from december was sent to the list in december 2005
- Proposed 20% of the ICANN Budget funded by cc´s
- Sent to the list december 2005

# ccNSO – ICANN Budget WG

moving forward

# objectives

1. proportional contribution by ccTLD's to ICANN budget

   ➤ what is a reasonable proportion?

2. how to determine the actual contribution

   ➤ not just "a number"

   ➤ also "some percentage" is not good enough

3. ending up with a stable framework

   ➤ based on general principles vs. "cherry picking"

# rationales

1. general support of ICANN structure

2. costs needs to be covered

3. financial contribution should correlate with benefits

4. financial contribution should correlate to representation

# rationales

1. general support of ICANN structure:

    – there are common interests in having an ICANN structure

    – as a constituency, the ccTLD's should help pay a reasonable share of the operational costs of ICANN

2. costs need to be covered:

    – constituencies need to cover reasonable costs to their abilities

# rationales (cont')

3. financial contribution should correlate with benefits:

- not %-based contribution

- benefit-based contribution

- solidarity ccTLD's

- no cross-funding

4. financial contribution should correlate to representation:

   ➢ linking-up contributing and influence:

   - general ICANN direction and strategy

   - scope and extent of activities

# area's of benefit

- IANA-services

- global platform for dialogue

- ccTLD-support

- deployment DNSsec (?)

- IDN.IDN (?)

hardly beneficial to ccTLD community:

- policy development support

- outreach

- promotion of global competition

- legal advice & support

# way forward

1. obtaining further insight in the ICANN budget

2. applying rationales > ICANN Marrakech

3. work out individual apportionment

➢ periodically determining ccTLD contribution to the ICANN budget

➢ continued involvement in ICANN strategy and budget process

# question to the constituency:

## are these rationales the proper way forward?

1. general support of ICANN structure
2. costs needs to be covered
3. financial contribution should correlate with benefits
4. financial contribution should correlate to representation