

**Secure Email Communication for ccTLD Incident Response Working Group
(SECIR) Telephone Conference**
2 September 2014

Attendees:

Abibu Ntangagiye, .tz
Erwin Lansing, .dk
Jacques Latour, .ca (temporary Chair)
Frederico Neves, .br

Staff:

Gabriella Schitteck

Apologies:

Cristian Hesselman, .nl

- *Erwin Lansing* ran through his input to the latest draft of the final report. No discussion arose around the amendments.
- The discussion then focused on the use of an OPS-TRUST system.

It was highlighted that the system meets most requirements and is relatively easy to use. It will validate the signatures of the sender and encrypt the posted message, however, a requirement is that all subscribers use a PGP key.

Some discussions were held around whether PGP clients also would be available for windows, but the participants were rather sure this was the key.

However, it was felt that some training might be required

- The group was informed that discussions had been held with Keith Mitchell from DNS-OARC regarding using their OPS-TRUST platform, which they are currently look at hosting their own version. During informal discussions that were held, DNS-OARC had offered to host the ccNSO as an independent sub-group to their OPS-TRUST platform.

The group was asked whether they think the ccNSO should look into at hosting their own system, or if the DNS-OARC offer would be of interest.

The Working Group members agreed that the DNS-OARC offer was meeting the majority of the set requirements and it was decided that

Jacques Latour would get back in touch with Keith Mitchell to find out whether DNS-OARC formally could offer to operate a ccTLD community platform on behalf of the ccNSO.

ACTION 1: *Jacques Latour* to contact Keith Mitchell to establish whether OARCs offer to host the platform for the ccNSO OPS-TRUST platform still is valid.

Before there is any official reply, this information should not be shared with the community.

Should the DNS-OARC offer not be valid anymore, other options need to be explored.

If the DNS-OARC offer is acceptable, the working group would need to further define requirements, identify the gaps, and determine how the changes would be implemented in the new platform to meet our requirements (who, how, how much \$, when, etc...)

- It was furthermore decided that the SECIR Working Group should present this offer (if still valid) to the community at a short 10-min session during the Los Angeles meeting and see what the feel for this is.

ACTION 2: *Gabriella Schittek* to find a time slot on the meetings agenda for an update from the SECIR Working Group.

It was suggested that this also could be done by a short survey prior to the meeting. There was no decision regarding this during the call.

- It was noted that coming conference calls would have to deal with administrative questions, such as membership criteria and according to what principles subscribers should be added.
- It was discussed whether the group would manage to meet the timeline of having a system up and running by December. It was felt that there is a desire to try to meet this goal, if possible.
- As two people of the Working Group would have problems attending the scheduled call on the 30 September, it was decided to make a doodle poll for the dates 22 – 25 September. Another call could also be scheduled on the first week of October.

ACTION 3: *Gabriella Schittek* to set up a doodle poll for a call the week 22-25 September 2014.