

Programme Working Group Telephone Conference

25 November 2014

Attendees:

- Dina Beer, .il
- Ondrej Filip, .cz (Observer)
- Young-Eum Lee, .kr
- Eberhard Lisse, .na (Observer)
- Allan MacGillivray, .ca
- Barrack Otieno, AFTLD
- Patricio Poblete, .cl
- Alejandra Reynoso Barral, .gt
- Sanna Sahlman, .fi
- Katrina Sataki, .lv (Chair)
- Svitlana Tkachenko, .ua
- Peter Van Roste, CENTR

Staff:

Gabriella Schitteck

- **Survey Feedback:**

Survey Format: Some informal feedback on the survey format itself was given to the Secretariat, stating that the current format is worse than the previous one, as it is harder to reply to. It was reiterated that although the number of replies also have diminished, the current format actually brings more valuable input, as those commenting actually think through what they write more carefully.

More interaction: It was felt that it needs to be made clear to the community that their active input to this is crucial. If the community members are inactive during the meetings, there will be no interaction, even though the session is scheduled to be interactive.

It was suggested to add a question to the survey, asking “Which option for interaction did you use? *Email *Asked question in Adobe room *Asked question directly”

ACTION 1: *Gabriella Schitteck* to add the question “Which option for interaction did you use? *Email *Asked question in Adobe room *Asked question directly” to the meetings survey.

More diversity: Some sessions are fixed, re-occurring ones, which cannot be changed. For the rest, the Working Group is trying to provide a diverse agenda. The origin of the speakers depends on who is available and willing to contribute.

Interpretation: The Secretariat is looking into the costs of such a service. A possible cooperation with Tech Day regarding this will also be considered.

However, it was pointed out that the community needs to be made aware of that it is them who will have to pay for these services in the end. Surveys made on this topic have indicated that the community is not interested in doing so.

It was also mentioned that the logistics around adding interpretation booths into the meeting rooms is an additional problem, which needs to be considered.

Content: It seems people like sessions, directly related to the participants day-to-day work are most appreciated by the survey respondents.

The wish for a Marketing Session will be met at the upcoming meeting.

- **Agenda:**

It was asked whether the suggested 2-letter code follow-up session still is valid, as the issue seems to be irrelevant today.

ACTION 2: *Gabriella Schittek* to check whether the 2-letter code session still needs to be held.

Marketing: As this topic has been mentioned several times in the surveys, the next meeting will have a Marketing Session. .ph was mentioned as a possible candidate, as well as .ar and .cz. Peter Van Roste offered to explore whether CENTR also could provide input by presenting a recent marketing survey they conducted.

ACTION 3: *Gabriella Schittek* to contact the three suggested registries to inquire whether they could give a presentation on marketing.

ACTION 4: *Peter Van Roste* to explore whether CENTR can deliver a presentation on their latest marketing survey.

It was suggested to add the IANA Transition as a topic, including an overview of the survey results on IANA Transition. Given the importance of the issue, the IANA Transition should also be the topic for the Panel Discussion. It was suggested to rename the Panel Discussion to “IANA Discussion”.

Allan MacGillivray, .ca and Lise Fuhr, .dk are asked to give a basic introduction on the topic and where it stands. The angle of the discussions as well as further speakers are yet to be decided.

ACTION 5: *Allan MacGillivray* to contact Lise Fuhr regarding a presentation and the setup of the IANA Discussion.

It was agreed that ICANN Accountability also should be included in the agenda.

.fi offered to give a presentation on their Dispute Resolution process. This would fit under the “Legal session” scheduled for Wednesday morning.

It was pointed out that the session with the ccNSO appointed Board members was missing on Wednesday. This will be added.

It was suggested to add a session on how ccTLDs are serving their local community - .il and .ca offered presentations on the topic. It was discussed whether this also could include presentations on how registries cooperate with their governments to serve their communities.

The inclusion of this topic is subject to space on the agenda and might be moved to the following meeting.

ACTION 5: *Gabriella Schittek* to update the agenda with the discussed items.

It was pointed out that there should be made a call for speakers for the topics that will be discussed.

ACTION 6: *Gabriella Schittek* to send out a call for volunteers for the topics on the upcoming meeting agenda.

- **Next meeting**

It was felt that another meeting probably will be needed. A poll will be posted to find out the most suitable date/time.